TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

MOTOR VEHICLE CRIME PREVENTION AUTHORITY BOARD MEETING

Hilton Downtown Crystal A Ballroom 815 Main Street Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Via Microsoft Teams and in person

1:00 p.m. CT Friday, July 18, 2025

BOARD MEMBERS:

Mike Rodriguez, Chair Charla Brotherton (absent) Rebecca Cantu-Serrano Scott Jackson Sharon Jones Thomas "Tom" Sloan Katherine "Kit" Whitehill

STAFF:

William Diggs, MVCPA Director David Richards, MVCPA General Counsel

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM		PAGE
1.	Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	6
2.	Pledges of Allegiance	6
3.	Chair's Reports A. Approval of Transcript as Minutes (April 16, 2025) (ACTION ITEM) B. Chair's Report	7
4.	MVCPA Director's Report (BRIEFING ONLY) A. Staffing Update B. Border Taskforce Commander Meeting in Eagle Pass Recap	8
BRIE 5.	FING AND ACTION ITEMS MVCPA FY 2026 Budget Overview (BRIEFING ONLY)	10
6.	MVCPA Grant Management Tracking System Update (BRIEFING ONLY)	11
7.	Senate Bill 224 State Partner Agency Update (BRIEFING ONLY) 7A. TxDMV - Enforcement 7B. TxDMV - Vehicle Titles & Registration 7C. TxDLR 7D. TxDPS - Regulatory Services Division 7E. TxDPS - Criminal Investigations Division	14 15 19 22 31 39
8.	Senate Bill 224 Advisory Committee update (BRIEFING ITEM)	41
9.	Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee Update (BRIEFING ITEM)	43
10.	FY-26 SB224 Catalytic Converter Grant Award Recommendations (ACTION ITEM)	44
11.	FY-26 Task Force Grant Award Recommendations (ACTION ITEM)	60
12.	MVCPA 2026 Conference Venue recommendation and selection (ACTION ITEM)	65
13.	Insurance Refund Requests (ACTION ITEM)	66
14.	Recommendation to increase MVCPA FTE Count in FY-28 (BRIEFING ONLY)	72

CLOSED SESSION

15. The Committee may enter into closed session -under one or more of the provisions of the Texas
Open Meetings Act, Government Code, Chapter 551,
including but not limited to:

Section 551.071 - Consultation with and advice from legal counsel regarding:

- pending or contemplated litigation, or a settlement offer;
- a matter in which the duty of the attorney to the government body under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with Government Code Chapter 551; or any item on this agenda.

Section 551.074 - Personnel matters.

- Discussion relating to the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, and dismissal of personnel.

Section 551.076 - Deliberation Regarding Security Devices or Security Audits.

the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices; or
a security audit.

Section 551.089 - Deliberation Regarding Security Devices or Security Audits; Closed Meeting.

- security assessments or deployments relating to information resources technology;
- network security information as described by Section 2059.055(b); or
- the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel, critical infrastructure, or security devices.
- 16. Action Items from Closed Session

17. Public Comment none

18. Adjournment 79

<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

(1:21 p.m.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Mike Rodriguez. And I am pleased to open the Board meeting of the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority.

It is 1:21 p.m. and I am now calling the Board meeting for July 18, 2025, to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on July 10, 2025.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in silent mode. Also, as a courtesy to others, please do not engage in side conversations in the meeting room.

I want to welcome those who are with us for today's Board meeting. If you wish to address the Board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker sheet at the registration table.

Please identify the specific item you are interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone, or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

In accordance with the Department's

administrative rule, comments to the Board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has been provided at the podium. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow when the speaker has one minute left, and then red when your time is up. Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers.

Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet. When addressing the Board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

There are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoothly, and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record. Please identify yourself before speaking. Speak clearly and slowly.

Do not speak over others. And please ask the Chair for permission to speak. And be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I would like to thank our court reporter who is transcribing this meeting.

Before we begin today, I would like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our Board meetings. In the Department's administrative rule, the Chair has the authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting, or is otherwise violating the timing or

1	presentation rules I just discussed.
2	Roll call. I would like to have a roll call of
3	the Board members. Please respond verbally when I call
4	your name.
5	Board Member Jones.
6	MS. JONES: [inaudible].
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Board Member Whitehill.
8	(No response.)
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Brotherton.
10	(No response.)
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
12	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Present.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
14	MR. SLOAN: Present.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jackson.
16	MR. JACKSON: Present.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And let the record reflect that
18	I, Mike Rodriguez am here, too. We have a quorum.
19	Agenda Item 2, Pledge of Allegiance. Please
20	stand and honor our country.
21	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
22	recited.)
23	(Whereupon, a pledge to the Texas flag was
24	recited.)
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	Agenda Item 3, Chair's reports. Moving to item
2	3, approval of transcript from April 16, 2025, meeting as
3	minutes. Members, we'll now take up Item 3A, approval of
4	transcript.
5	Are there any public comments?
6	MS. FLINT: No, Mr. Chair. There are no
7	comments. Thank you.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, if everyone has
9	reviewed the transcripts, would anyone like to make a
10	motion to approve the transcript as minutes.
11	MR. JACKSON: Member Jackson. I move that the
12	Board approve the April 16, 2025, meeting transcripts as
13	minutes.
14	MR. SLOAN: Member Sloan. I second.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
16	Jackson, and a second from Board Member Sloan. Any
17	further discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I will now call for the vote.
20	Board members, when I call your name, please state your
21	vote for the record.
22	Member Jones.
23	MS. JONES: Aye.
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
25	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
2	MR. SLOAN: Aye.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jackson.
4	MR. JACKSON: Aye.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I, Chairman Rodriguez, vote
6	aye. Let the record reflect there are five votes for,
7	zero against. The motion passes.
8	Item 3B, I do not have a Chair report today, so
9	we are not taking up Agenda Item 3B.
10	We will now move on to Agenda Item 4, MVCPA
11	Director's Report. Director Diggs.
12	MR. DIGGS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and
13	Board members. My name is William Diggs. I am the
14	Director of the MVCPA.
15	Agenda Item 4A is a brief update as far as our
16	staffing update. I am happy to report that we remain
17	fully staffed. All 15 of the FTEs are we do not have
18	any vacancies at this time. We are fully staffed. And
19	that will complete Agenda Item 4A.
20	I would now like to move on to Agenda Item 4B,
21	Border Taskforce Commander Meeting that took place in
22	Eagle Pass. This is just a brief recap.
23	The MVCPA Border Taskforce commanders met in
24	the City of Eagle Pass on May 20th. We met with our
25	federal, state, and other local law enforcement agency

partners. The MVCPA law enforcement border members, as well as MVCPA leadership attended this day-long meeting. The group discussed challenges and trends related to vehicle theft, and the impact that it has on the U.S.-Mexico border crossings.

The MVCPA will be represented at the upcoming District Attorneys office, or District and County Attorneys Association meeting in Round Rock. They have a conference there. It is in September, September 23rd and the 25th. We have actually purchased booth space, so that we can be represented there, and develop some good relationships with DAs across the state.

After we have finished up the meeting in Eagle Pass, we actually took a tour of the International Bridge Number 2 in Eagle Pass. I want to thank the Eagle Pass taskforce for sponsoring this meeting. It was excellent. They did a fantastic job.

So, thank you guys for that.

We also -- we determined that we need to continue these conversations as it relates to the issues that face the border. And we do plan to meet in El Paso with the taskforce commanders that are up and down the border in October. And that will conclude my recap of that meeting in brief.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Diggs.

1 Moving on to Agenda Item 5, MVCPA fiscal year 2 2026 budget overview. Glenna Bowman. 3 MS. BOWMAN: Good afternoon, Chairman 4 Rodriquez, Board members. For the record, my name is 5 Glenna Bowman. I am the Chief Financial Officer for Texas 6 Department of Motor Vehicles. 7 This item is providing an overview of the FY 8 2026 budget. We will talk about revenues, expenditures, 9 other estimated funding needs, and estimated remaining 10 balances or future Board needs as they may come up. 11 As you all know, MVCPA is self-supporting, and it is funded from motor vehicle insurer fees deposited to 12 the General Revenue Fund. In FY 2026, the Comptroller has 13 14 included in its biennial revenue estimate revenues of 15 \$22.7 million for the Crime Prevention program and \$28.4 16 million for the catalytic converter program. 17 In addition, the Board has the authority to carry forward funds from prior years. And at this time, 18 19 that amount looks like it is \$3.3 million for crime 20 prevention and \$14 million for catalytic converter. 21 Staff also expect to receive an estimated \$8.2 22 million for crime prevention. And another \$438,000 for 23 catalytic converter program in additional insurance 24 collections that were identified during the audit process.

The Board staff has estimated expenditures and

25

funding obligations in FY 2026 of \$31.7 million for crime 1 2 prevention and \$32.2 million for catalytic converter. 3 this includes amounts for grant awards operating 4 expenditures, and interagency contracts with Texas state 5 agency partners, program partners. 6 The Board has received a request for a refund 7 of overpayments of vehicle insurer fees. If the Board 8 approves that refund request, then MVCPA will be 9 responsible for a portion of that refund. And we are 10 estimating that amount to be approximately \$1.5 million. And in addition, Board staff has set aside a contingency 11 12 amount in case there are other needs that come up, or if 13 our revenue estimates -- if they don't live up to 14 expectations. 15 With that, that completes my formal 16 presentation. I am happy to answer any questions. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: That is 31.7 and 32.2, correct? MS. BOWMAN: Yes, sir. 18 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Perfect. 20 Any other questions for Ms. Bowman? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Ms. Bowman. 23 MS. BOWMAN: Thank you. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Moving on to Agenda Item 6, 25 MVCPA Grant Management tracking system update.

Barron, Chief Information Officer from Texas DMV.

MS. BARRON: Good afternoon, Board members.

For the record, my name is Wendy Barron. I am the Chief

Information Officer with the Texas Department of Motor

Vehicles.

The current grants management system in place that the MVCPA Division uses to manage the grants is a legacy system that has provided a good service -- good technology product, but it has grown long in the tooth. It has outlived its useful life and its ability to grow and adapt to the changes in the additional funding that has been allocated to MVCPA to provide additional grants. And so, the Division does need to replace that system.

So, we have worked in partnership -- the IT
Division has worked in partnership with the MVCPA staff to
evaluate and assess potential grant management systems
available in the market. We have identified several
different solutions that look like they will be very good
products. We have scheduled a demonstration for the Board
that will be held next week, and so you guys can get a
preview of some of the products that are available and out
there.

Once we wrap up those demonstrations, we will be finishing out the solicitation and putting it out for bid early this fall, with the intent to get the

application initiated. And we'll begin the development 1 2 before the end of the fiscal year. 3 And that concludes my presentation. I am here 4 to take any questions, if you have any. 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions for Ms. Barron? 6 I have a question. On this, so it is going to be for the 7 grant management, also for the grant submission. 8 MS. BARRON: Yes, sir. 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: This offer that we are looking 10 at, will they be able to be customized to add additional modules to it? 11 12 MS. BARRON: Yes, so we are looking at 13 potentially leveraging some technology tools that are 14 already in place at the DMV, in terms of platforms, to 15 include Salesforce, and a product called Box for content 16 management. Those solutions are very customizable. 17 can tailor them to meet your needs. There have been multiple different types of 18 19 grants management solutions to include grants management 20 that support law enforcement, using both of those tool 21 sets. And so, we will be -- we can potentially customize, 22 tailor that to meet the needs for the MVCPA and change, 23 and adapt, and grow. These are modern, very robust

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

And the benefit also is that because the DMV

24

25

technologies.

already has those platforms in place, there is some 1 2 potential cost savings, rather, you know, go buy a whole 3 new platform that is a different -- we can leverage off 4 the platforms that we already have. We have resources in 5 house that are familiar with those platforms as well. 6 that can help with ongoing maintenance and support. 7 But these are, you know, current technologies, 8 and provide very robust customizable solutions for the 9 future. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Great. Thank you, Ms. Barron.

MS. BARRON: Thank you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Moving on to Agenda Item 7, Senate Bill 224, State Partner Agency update.

Director Diggs.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DIGGS: William Diggs, Director MVCPA for the record. Mr. Chairman, Members, today I have asked our state partner agencies to come forward and provide us with an update we have provided either through a shared funding agreement with the Texas DMV, and/or through interagency contracts with some of our other state partner agencies.

And today a number of them will be here and provide an update to you on how those funds are actually being used.

So, the first up is Enforcement Director Corrie Thompson with the Texas DMV.

MS. THOMPSON: Good afternoon, Chairman, Board members, Director Diggs. Corrie Thompson, Director of the Enforcement Division.

And if I am able to advance my own slides. There we go. It is going to cooperate with me at some point.

So, SB224 saw the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles reaching into a new sphere of regulation. So, historically, the Department has regulated the motor vehicle sales and distribution industry. And with the implementation of House Bill 224, we see the Department now getting into the repair sector.

So, we are looking at our licensees, which cover franchise dealers, independent motor vehicle dealers, salvage dealers here are regulated by the Department. However, they are specifically excluded from SB224 regulation. But it sees us visiting those dealer communities to ensure that they comply with the recordkeeping requirements that come with SB224.

So, we have done a number of things within the Department. On the front end, starting to collect information that the Department doesn't currently have about our licensees. We have added application questions asking when somebody comes for a new application or a renewal application, whether or not you are engaged in

this business concerning catalytic converter transfers or sales. We are also asking the location of where those repairs and that work might be conducted, when you become a licensee, or when you come up for renewal.

So, those requirements of course, mandate that the dealers maintain the record for two years, following the date of the transaction, and that they maintain certain specifics regarding those records. So, a description of the catalytic converter that is in accordance with industry standards, the date the transaction occurred, and then, the person with whom the transaction was conducted.

So, I would like to remind everyone that the Department doesn't have commissioned officers with the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. We are a regulatory agency. And so, when we are imparting sanction actions on our regulated entities, what that looks like is either a monetary penalty, or if something is serious enough to warrant revocation of that entity's license.

And so, we have been looking towards kind of sanction activity when we go out and start conducting inspections with regard to SB224.

Now, the Enforcement Division has approximately 47 motor vehicle investigators. And with the implementation of a separate bill, House Bill 718, also

known as the Metal Plate Bill, we have an additional 26 employees within the Enforcement Division under dealer compliance. All of those staff combined though, are able to more efficiently go out, visit our dealer populations, and ensure that these requirements in line with the catalytic converter bill are being met.

So, since September of 2024, my investigative and my compliance staff have visited over 1,500 dealership locations to ask whether or not they are engaged in this activity, and to ensure compliance with these new requirements. And to date, of those 1,500-plus inspections, we have only seen 87 of those entities affirmatively say that they are engaged in this business.

So here, I'm just providing a little bit of a heat map of the locations we have been able to visit to date. We will continue to grow this information.

I mention the application questions being asked on the front end. But we know that the dealers who already have licenses issued by the Department or that haven't come up for renewal yet, we are gathering information on them as well, too. And everything is being added to this map that we will continue to grow and be able to provide to law enforcement as a resource when needed.

Just including a couple of pictures of our

investigative and compliance staff out at dealer locations, doing some good work. What we are finding a lot of times is the dealerships are not meeting our premises requirements. So, the Department calls for a number of things to be in place, for a dealer to be legitimately operating a business in the State of Texas. And so, what these additional inspections have also allowed us to do is to locate these separately noncompliant dealers to get them out of the system, as well as licensees.

So, a couple of these are actually up in the Fort Worth area from March of 2025. But you will see that they have large areas of vehicles in disrepair. Many of these entities, though, end up not being engaged in catalytic converter repairs.

We are inspecting the premises to see if they have any onsite. Again, checking records in accordance with the statute.

And the last one there, yes. Still dealing with some exhaust work there maybe, but not necessarily touching the catalytic converter aspect in totality.

And that is all I have for my formal presentation. I am happy to answer any questions you may have.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Anyone has any questions?

(No response.) 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: You said 1,500 dealerships, you 3 inspected? 4 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: That is great. This is 6 awesome. 7 How many of us are doing dealership inspections 8 today? 9 So, I think the push is going to be for also --10 for us to also augment this dealership inspection initiative. Just because we see it in every city, right, 11 12 that a lot of them are doing things that they are not supposed to. And so, definitely something that we have 13 14 been talking about, as to how we can get more of our 15 taskforces to engage in this type of inspections. 16 But thank you for this. This is great. 17 work. MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. 18 19 MR. DIGGS: So, Mr. Chairman, next up is 7B, 20 Texas DMV Vehicle Title and Registration Director, Annette 21 Ouintero. 22 MS. QUINTERO: Good afternoon, Chairman 23 Rodriguez, Board members, Director Diggs. My name is 24 Annette Quintero. I am the Vehicle Title and Registration 25

Director.

As you know, SB224 amended the Occupations

Code, Chapter 2305, and introduced new recordkeeping

requirements for UAPRs and MREs, when buying and selling

catalytic converters. The bill also requires coordination

with the Texas Department of Public Safety and the Texas

Department of Licensing and Regulation. The goal is to

establish a shared framework to support real-time

reporting and enforcement, and reduce opportunities for

fraudulent transactions.

To support these efforts, VTR has hired two full-time staff members directed to fraud prevention activities tied to SB224 and other related initiatives. These new team members will help bridge the work of our Division with MVCPA, ensuring seamless communication and consistent policy alignment. They are also supporting innovation in our systems and processes, looking at how we can better use data to flag suspicious activity and support enforcement partners.

One of the biggest areas of development planned are enhancements to our webSALVAGE system. Our goal is to provide UAPRs and MREs access to webSALVAGE and enable them to report catalytic converter transactions electronically. This function will satisfy the new recordkeeping requirements in SB224.

We are also planning to build out data sharing

capabilities, so that this reported information can be shared with law enforcement, DPS, and TDLR. Ultimately, this will support a more transparent real-time view of catalytic converter transactions helping us to identify patterns, and prevent abuse.

Beyond catalytic converters, we are also leveraging this effort to improve fraud prevention across the salvage and non-repairable title space. This includes expanding on the titling capabilities to reduce reliance on paper titles, which are more vulnerable to forgery, allowing recyclers to report scrapped or destroyed vehicles electronically to help us more efficiently update motor vehicle records.

These changes will streamline operations and help us act faster when tracking vehicles that have been dismantled, or should no longer appear in the system. We are also planning enhancements that allow salvage dealers to apply for rebuilt titles electronically, further closing the loop between salvage events and rebuilt vehicle activity.

A key component involves major component parts, those damaged during insurance total loss event, and later reported as repaired or replaced during the rebuilding process. By comparing these two sets of data, we aim to identify discrepancies that may indicate fraudulent or

unsafe repairs, or even the reuse of stolen parts. 1 2 is a critical layer of fraud prevention that we believe 3 will significantly improve the integrity of salvage vehicle rebuilds in Texas. 4 5 Thank you again for the opportunity to share 6 this update. I am happy to answer any questions. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Ms. Quintero. Any questions for Ms. Quintero? 8 9 (No response.) 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. MR. DIGGS: Next up will be Agenda Item 7C. 11 will be the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation, 12 13 Consumer Protection Manager, Michael Strawn. 14 MR. STRAWN: Good afternoon, Chairman and 15 Members. Michael Strawn, Consumer Protection Manager for 16 Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation. It is an 17 honor to be a part of this taskforce and stand before the fine women and men around me today. 18 19 I am here to give our update for TDLR, and give 20 a little bit of information about our agency. Much like 21 you heard from our DMV partners, we are a regulatory and 22 licensing agency. We are not a law enforcement agency, 23 which differs greatly from a lot of members in this room. So, as you heard earlier, a lot of our 24

authority surrounds our ability to assess administrative

25

penalties, revocate licenses, and attach ownership or common ownership to prevent bad actors from obtaining licenses with other individuals across the state.

We have a little bit to talk about today, to talk about the impact that we have had. We have had some legislative impact I wanted to address.

I know there were some concerns with TDLR. Some rule amendments, and some updates to our pending IACs that are coming up as well.

First and foremost, while this doesn't deal directly with this initiative, we did -- recently at TDLR, you may have heard, we have the Lottery Commission being moved over to us. I wanted to come here before you all today, and state that this is not going to have an impact on our functions within this taskforce Senate Bill 224, or our ability to communicate with our partner agencies around here. While this is a large overtaking, the way it is moving into our structure, the individuals that are currently doing the work with this taskforce and other programs are going to be separated from the Lottery Commission that is moving over to us. So, besides a few individuals helping transition that over to us, we don't expect any impact to this program, and our ability to do our job.

Next, we have a heat map as well. This is our

auto parts recyclers around the state. And we have 986 inspectable premises across the state.

In the past year, or eleven months, we have done 316 inspections across the state. Our inspectors, as it is a large state, have traveled up to 14,000 miles as a part of this, to go out to these auto parts recyclers, look for catalytic converters, and other issues that may exist.

And then, I will touch on this here in a little bit. One of the things that we are happy about is the ability that we just hired a program specialist that started this week, while I was here at this conference. That program specialist, I think you heard it in DMV's as well, is set up to be a liaison.

We look at this partnership as something that needs education, data sharing communication. And I hope that that is somebody that is able to do that.

And next, we have inspectors. We have been training them. They have gone through an extensive four-week training period for this. Whether knowing what they should go out and look for with catalytic converters across the state, looking for any potential fraudulent illegal sales, procurement on those items. It is a little bit difficult for us.

You will hear in some other areas like metal

recycling entities, they are required to have each catalytic converter identified with a VIN on that catalytic converter. Our auto parts recyclers do not have that requirement. So, it can be a little bit more burdensome for our inspectors to prove if those catalytic converters were tied to theft or illegal procurement during that time.

That being said, you know, we estimate that we have looked at over 60,000 catalytic converters, and their records tied to them. Of those, we have sent 55 of our auto parts recyclers direct to enforcement. And so, what that means is we have a violation that meets a certain threshold where it cannot just be an easy fix-it on site. You have ten, 20 days to correct this action.

We have seen egregious action taken. And so, we send them directly to our enforcement team, where our investigators and our prosecutors will then dive further into that, assess penalties, revocate licenses as needed.

And you will see 76 corrections as needed.

That is where we worked with our APRs out there to correct the findings that we have. What we don't have is the ability within this -- and I apologize, it didn't quite move far enough over, is -- we don't have the ability at this time to really dive into what of those DTE violations

were related to catalytic converters, partly due to the fact that our rules just came out for this program for implementation of Senate Bill 224, May of this year. So, we haven't had a lot of time to capture that.

In fact, we list over here that we have no violations cited for our new 8781. But, we have manually went through the process. We don't have a database that can correlate all of this for us.

We will find eight violations directly related to catalytic converters. It is our hope that as we get into this, refer to our database, our policies, and our rules, then we are going to be able to really dive into more data that is meaningful and actionable to this taskforce and our law enforcement community around us as well.

Another big part of what we are doing when we are out, we are not just inspecting businesses, collecting data, or anything else. We are trying to educate our auto parts recyclers about their requirements throughout the state.

Again, the liaison, the program specialist that is coming on board. That will be their big initiative as well. That would be in communications, developing training across the state, not only to our auto parts recyclers, internally to our staff, and externally to law

enforcement or other entities that may need our services, or information on our auto parts recyclers.

There is a staffing update. I am but one person. Our division handles a wide multitude of programs. So, I have been trying to do this with one hand tied behind my back.

And luckily, this week, I did have a new program specialist, Morgan Dryer, who is in new hire training, could not join me this week, to see this fun conference and everything. But she'll be dedicated to this program, and the related programs that are tied to it, towing, vehicle storage facilities. And that is our hope, as I was saying, that as we develop our policies, have new database systems, that she is going to be able to use her background in data analytics program management to really drive this forward, and again, have meaningful intelligence information that we can share with you all moving forward.

If I can get this to go. I am just going to speed past that. Next up, I want to talk about the interagency contract that Director Diggs mentioned earlier.

We know that that is coming up for renewal here soon. It is on our agenda for our department. I am waiting on a response at this point in time about our

funding.

Right now, we are looking at enhancement of our inspection software. There is some new software we are using in a couple of our other programs.

And our field inspections division is considering that software for them, because at the moment, everything that they are doing for this task force is basically tracked manually. And we know that that is not the best way to have data that we can provide to you quickly, meaningfully, and be able to look at that across multiple programs -- I talk with my hands -- across multiple programs. And we're hopeful that if we can acquire that new inspection software, it is going to enhance our ability to combat crime within this industry.

And then, I am going to come over here. So, some of our initiatives for our converter rules was to just go through and look at our program rules from top to bottom with industry partners, our advisory Board.

And so, there is a lot of cleanup that needed to happen within this program, whether that was a small item such as definition changes, updating correct references so our laws and rules weren't pointing to obsolete areas of the Transportation Code, to new record and retention areas. And so, this is important, because this will tie into 87.81, which increases the general

retention to three years; one year of retention for component parts, not excluding catalytic converters.

Those are moving to a two-year retention for all of the records. And of course, a requirement that they must produce records to the Department that sadly was missing from this program. So, if you didn't comply with this in the past, we really couldn't hold your feet to the fire on it.

Now, we will be able to. So, that definitely gives us a little bit more teeth in our regulatory environment.

And then, there is some new information about the removal of license plates and registration immediately upon taking that vehicle into the auto parts recyclers.

This is part of our education initiative around the state, what does that look like. But the goal is that we would have that APR remove the plates and the registration as soon as practical, so that they are able to protect that.

They are not being stolen. We have heard of the use of plates across the state in jugging and other operations. So, we want to protect those registrations and plates as often as possible.

And then finally, 87.81. This is related to our catalytic converter theft. So now, any time that an APR is buying a component part, regarding a catalytic

converter or on a vehicle, we need to have identity, name of buyer, transferee, quantity, description of the catalytic converters, and the date of that transaction so that we can tie it back.

Again, we don't have the VINs that we can directly correlate everything. But this is the requirements they are required to have and maintain for at least two years, so we can inspect them.

We hope that these new regulations strengthen our theft prevention efforts and really benefit the law enforcement agencies around the state. We do have stricter recordkeeping requirements. And we are going to continue to work with our partners to see how we continue to improve the data, improve the recordkeeping at our APRs across the state.

And then I'll add, just really want to make sure that we keep everything up to date across the board with those new specials coming on board, making sure that we are at the forefront of this program, driving it forward. And that is our mission.

You know, in the past -- I think, we are in our infancy, being on this taskforce. And we are really excited about what we can do here in the future with you all.

That being said, I am open to any questions. I

hope I didn't go too long. And I appreciate your time. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 3 Any questions for Mr. Strawn? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 6 MR. STRAWN: Yes, sir. 7 So, next up will be 7D. Texas DPS MR. DIGGS: 8 Regulatory Services Division. Program Supervisor, Josh 9 Martin. 10 MR. MARTIN: Good afternoon, Board members. name is Josh Martin. I am a Compliance and Enforcement 11 Specialist with the Regulatory Services Division of DPS. 12 13 This update today, my focus is going to be on 14 the effects of Senate Bill 224, as well as the successes 15 we have had at the Department as a result from the grant funds received from the MVCPA. 16 17 So, as you may know, last year, the Department created 16 grant-funded positions that are dedicated 18 19 specifically to the Texas Metals program. I can say today 20 that all of these positions are filled. And these 21 individuals are working hard and becoming the subject 22 matter experts that we need in the field. 23 This includes 14 program investigators. Again, 24 these investigators solely go out to metal recycling 25

entities, conducting inspections and investigations.

addition, we have two case support specialists that are headquartered in Austin, and they provide support to the field, as well as analyze data and generate reports.

I always like an opportunity to promote Texas
Online Metals. Texas Online Metals is the electronic
statewide database where the metal recycling entities are
required to report all their regulated transactions. This
is not only a tool for us here in DPS, but any law
enforcement agency that is dealing with metal thefts.

We highly recommend gaining access to Texas

Online Metals. That can be done on our website, or you

can go to TexasOnlineMetals.dps.texas.gov to find out more

information on how to access that site.

As a result from Senate Bill 224, it is now law for all metal recycling entities are required to make a declaration in their profile as to what their intent -- extent and intent to be involved with catalytic converters. In other words, they have to choose one of these four things. Either they are doing full conversion, or they are just acquiring them only, or they just have incidental contact, or they have no intent at all in dealing with catalytic converters.

So, they make this declaration in the Texas
Online Metals database. So, this is a step for an
investigator, when they are doing an inspection, is to

look at their declaration status and to verify that it is in there, and what it is. And so, in the event they come across catalytic converters on their property, they can cross reference with this. And if it says no intent, and yet they are in possession of catalytic converters, that is a violation of their declaration.

Year to date, there have been 21 instances where metal recycling entities have been in violation of their declaration. Again, this just states that there has been a few instances where we have been out there and the investigators found catalytic converters, their declaration stated they had no intent. And it prompted our investigators to further investigate the situation on how and where and why these MREs are acquiring these.

Our investigators use the penalty schedule in the Texas Administrative Code for their violations. There are specific violations for catalytic converters. They range from \$500 fines up to \$5,000 fines for first offenses.

Due to Senate Bill 224, there are additional requirements surrounding the purchase of catalytic converters at a metal recycling entity. One is being a clear and legible thumbprint of the seller. The MRE is retaining that onsite as part of the record. In addition, they are acquiring the year, make, model, and VIN number

from the vehicle from which the catalytic converter was removed, as well as obtaining a copy of the certificate of title or other documentation indicating that the person has ownership interest in the vehicle.

Once the MRE obtains the catalytic converters, they are required to mark each with a unique number. This is a 13-digit number that we refer to as a CCID number. It must be marked in one inch lettering, in permanent paint pen or marker.

Year to date, there have been 27 instances where metal recycling entities have been in violation of one of these additional requirements surrounding catalytic converters. Again, from Senate Bill 224, it is now law that an MRE may not purchase a catalytic converter from another business unless they verify that that business acquired that catalytic converter in their ordinary course of business, such as automotive wrecking or salvage yards, other metal recycling entities, used auto part recyclers, et cetera.

Also, on this list is included other law enforcement agencies that have acquired catalytic converters. They may sell them to the metal recycling entities.

In these scenarios, a record is required by the metal recycling entity. They must obtain the data, the

transaction, the business name, or the law enforcement agency from whom they acquired the catalytic converters, as well as a description of the volume of the catalytic converters. They must maintain this onsite for two years, and make it available for inspection.

Year to date, there have been nine instances of metal recycling entities in violation of this business-to-business requirement.

So, our grant funding pay support specialists, they generate a catalytic converter report on a monthly basis and they provide that internally to our DPS folks that are involved with metals. These are just some slides from this report. I apologize, this is kind of small.

But the top half of the slide indicates the top businesses over the last year with the most purchases of catalytic converter transactions that were reported to our database. These business names are redacted on this slide. But this provides us an opportunity to see who the big players are in the state.

At the bottom half of the slide, this indicates by region the number of catalytic converter transactions over the last year. This allows us just to see trends, if purchases are increasing or decreasing in certain regions.

This next slide, again, this is redacted. But these are businesses separated by region, showing how many

catalytic converter purchases they made over the last month.

This next slide is the same data, except they have color coded it with that catalytic converter declaration that I spoke of earlier. So, if you see that a business has a high number of catalytic converter purchases, yet it shows that they only do incidental contact or no contact, that would be a red flag and a lead for further investigation.

This is a heat map for the month of May. These are catalytic converter transactions across the state.

This just gives us a 30,000-foot view of what is going on across the state, where the purchases are being made. In this particular month, you can see, it looks like around San Antonio and New Braunfels area had the highest number of catalytic converter transactions for this time period.

Again, all active metal recycling entities are required to be registered and licensed with the DPS. Our most current data shows that there are 621 active registered metal recycling entities in the state.

I spoke about the administrative enforcement action that we take. If they don't pay their fines, then ultimately, the business would be suspended or revoked.

We run reports. And this one just shows over the last 60 days, there has been a total of ten license

suspensions at metal recycling entities. Our investigators coordinate with our -- with local law enforcement, as well as our troopers.

There have been a total of twelve operations year to date that our investigators have coordinated with DPS troopers out at metal recycling entities. Arrests are rare. Out of those twelve, there were three arrests made.

In this particular instance on this photo, you can see this gentleman was working at a metal recycling entity. He purchased a catalytic converter without obtaining any information from the seller. Nor did he obtain any documentation on the catalytic converters.

But we -- the Department does not randomly target metal recycling entities. These are all generated from substantial leads or tips from the public. This just states that it is a criminal penalty if someone knowingly buys stolen regulated material, including catalytic converters.

It is also a criminal penalty to operate as a metal recycling entity without obtaining the proper license from the Department. This is just an example.

Earlier this year, this individual in South
Texas was operating as a metal recycling entity, but he
did not have a license with the state. Again, criminal
tips can be submitted to the Department at

RSDCriminal@dps.texas.gov. 1 2 In this photo, this is an example of a tip. This truck had a truckload of catalytic converters. They 3 4 attempted to sell it at a metal recycling entity. 5 That metal recycling entity did the right 6 thing. They refused the purchase, because they didn't 7 have any documentation. But before they left, they 8 snapped some shots, and got some information. 9 And they were able to submit this information 10 to the Department. This individual was later arrested. We are promoting analytical support to other 11 law enforcement agencies. If you are working a case that 12 13 is involving metal recycling entities, you can reach out 14 to DPSMetals@dps.texas.gov, and our case support 15 specialists can assist in any analytical needs for that location. 16 17 That concludes my update from the Regulatory Services Division. I just want to say that any 18 19 collaborative opportunities are welcome. Again, you can 20 email at DPSMetals@DPS.texas.gov. 21 And I am happy to answer any questions. 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Anyone has a question for Mr. 23 Martin? 24 (No response.) 25 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Martin. Thank

1 you. 2 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman. David Richards, 3 for the record. We are trying to get Kit Whitehill on. And Josh, how long do you think it will take? 4 5 (Pause.) 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We are going to take a ten 7 minute break. It is 1:08? Let's be back -- I am sorry, 8 2:08. Let's be back at 2:20. 9 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. It is now 2:22. We are back in session. Let the record reflect that we have 11 Member Kit Whitehill present. 12 Agenda Item 7E, Texas Department of Public 13 14 Safety, Criminal Investigations Division, Major Sharon 15 Jones. 16 MS. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The hold 17 with TxDOT and permits has been lifted, of course. so, last month, June -- go ahead. Go. 18 19 (Applause.) 20 MS. JONES: It has been a long time since October, November. And we have been working with TxDOT to 21 22 get us to this point. So, the way it is going to work is, 23 the plan with TxDOT -- number one, Texas DPS and TxDOT had 24 to put the -- we had to renew our agreement with TxDOT.

And with that agreement is something -- it is a

simple paragraph sentence or two. That paragraph or sentence or two was passed onto the local agreement between TxDOT and the local, whether it is the city or the counties. And all agreements, past agreements, have to be resubmitted.

So, at some point in time, if an agency has a camera on TxDOT's highway, on the state highway proper, at some point in time, they are going to have to re-sign the amended agreement. Those contracts that were pending with the permits, those agreements are going to be switched up.

And I will say this. You don't have to sign the agreement. It is very simple. There is no additional responsibility on an agency.

All the responsibilities are Texas DPS. So, it benefits the agencies to just sign it. If you don't want to sign it, you don't have to.

Just know that if you already have existing cameras, they are going to be pulled up off the highway. And if you have some that are pending, and you don't sign it, then you simply do not get them. And the plan is for those agencies that are already pending with TxDOT, those are going to be the priorities. However, just understand, it depends on how quickly you get the paperwork done.

So, what is not going to happen is, if your agency -- if you have to go back to city council or

whomever needs to re-sign the paperwork, and it is a 1 2 procedure where your city council does not meet for another month or so, or it sits on someone's desk, then 3 4 the others are not going to be held up simply because of 5 having to wait on paperwork for another agency. 6 As far as the statewide project goes, that will 7 be the last. However, if it is an area where they are 8 having to wait on someone else, we are not going to just 9 sit back and wait. And the first permit since this 10 issue -- the rows [phonetic] have been settled was

So, that is a great sign. The process is there. It is working.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

literally issued this week by TxDOT while we were here.

I get questions. I know that you guys have the paperwork. So, the project is ongoing.

The funding is there. It is just a matter of when it gets to the statewide project, when that happens.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Sharon Jones.

Any questions? Any questions?

Moving to Agenda Item 8, Senate Bill 224

Advisory Committee update. Major Jones.

MS. JONES: The most important decisions that the Committee has made thus far, it pertains to the fact that now law enforcement can actually sell catalytic

converters without a license. That selling means -- does not mean that they can go pull it off of their personal car. You don't get to go cut your car to go sell it.

That means asset forfeiture, if you have a seizure. We all know that you can't identify the catalytic converter -- unless it is one that is etched by one of you guys, you can't identify it. So, if you get a truckload of ten, 800, you cannot just -- with a destruction order, just go in the back office, impound it, and throw it in the trash, because of the metal. There is EPA; EPA will come after you.

But then, especially if you are a smaller agency, but even if you are not, it doesn't make sense to just keep catalytic converters stored forever. Like, it is not feasible to agencies.

So, what the proposal was, and I think it is a great proposal by those who are on the working group, is asset forfeiture. So, basically, you will be able to go into a metal recycling entity in your county, because of course, you can't take evidence across the county lines without the judge being involved. Or however you choose to sell that catalytic converter, and to whom, you need asset forfeiture.

And then also the suggestion strongly suggests is for the agency head or designee to send the officer

with a letter. We will definitely have to educate the MREs, because they are going to be looking for a license.

Law enforcement will have that. But at the end of the day, the catalytic converters are disposed of the way they should.

And then those agencies involved, whether it is a taskforce or whether it is another agency who came up to assist -- and of course, there is the DA's office. Then, that will be part of the sharing process. And also, it might be a great incentive to prosecutors, once they realize the value of the catalytic converters and it is not just a piece of property that the insurance company is going to replace.

Then perhaps we will get a lot more prosecution with those crimes. And also, once David and William start educating the prosecutors, then it should be a positive uptick for us.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Sharon. Major Sharon Jones.

Moving on to Agenda Item 9. Grant Budgets and Reporting Committee update. Major Jones.

MS. JONES: We had a fruitful two days. And pleased to announce that there are only three no-shows for whatever reason, various reasons. And then, there are only two that were not recommended to agencies -- that

were not recommended for funding. 1 2 And then, William Diggs in Item 10 and 11, 3 Agenda Item 10 and 11, he will explain that. Because he 4 is going to do that for me, because my voice is going to 5 go. It will not last. 6 So, Item 10 and 11, William Diggs will present 7 for me. 8 So, good afternoon. William Diggs, MR. DIGGS: 9 MVCPA Director for the record. Mr. Chairman, and Board 10 members. Agenda Item 10 is the recommendations for FY '26 SB224 grant applications. 11 12 Today, we are going to do this in three 13 separate votes because of the necessity that during two of 14 the votes, either Member Sloan or Chairman Rodriguez will 15 need to recuse themselves. So, I am going to do all of 16 the grants, other than Round Rock Police Department and 17 Laredo Police Department, first. And so, you guys know, we went through this 18 19 before lunch. So, you bear with me, please. 20 So, Addison Police Department --21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Director Diggs, I am going to 22 interrupt you real quick. Before we proceed, I know that 23 I had a question on Premont Police Department. Is Premont 24 here? On the request --

CHIEF GARCIA: Yes, sir. Chief Garcia, Premont

1	Police Department.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon, sir. Can you
3	identify yourself and turn on the mic, please?
4	CHIEF GARCIA: Chief Ric Garcia, Premont Police
5	Department.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Welcome, Chief.
7	CHIEF GARCIA: Yes, sir.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And the question. I saw your
9	requests, and it calls for two investigators. Are they
10	investigators or officers?
11	CHIEF GARCIA: An investigator, sir, and an
12	admin.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And you are requesting
14	two fully-marked units. Correct?
15	CHIEF GARCIA: Yes. Equipped, yes.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Fully equipped.
17	CHIEF GARCIA: Uh-huh.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Your population is 2,000?
19	CHIEF GARCIA: 2,800.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: What is your burglary rate and
21	your motor vehicle rate?
22	CHIEF GARCIA: It is low, but it is because it
23	is something that has not been explored fully. Upon
24	taking this position about less than two years ago, there
25	was an extraordinary amount of unreported crimes due to

the situation and trust in the environment that was there.

We have crime stats rise staggeringly, and it is just because people are actually reporting things. So, as we continue to gain that sort of reputation and building that cooperation with our community, we are starting to see that.

We know that there has always been a very hard focus on interdiction. Dealing with narcotics, the north-and the southbound stuff on I-69. But we have not had anybody give the focus to the catalytic converter issues, the auto theft issues. We know that it is happening, but it has never been a hot button issue, because it is not an issue that draws the funds that agencies depend on.

And as such, it is one of those things that when this grant come up, it was something to identify and say this is probably something that we want to start. We want to start developing a resource, start developing education in the communities, start motivating our sheriff's offices, our neighboring cities, to see that this is something that needs to be done. So, the purpose of my application is to start something, to start a new trend.

You know, the interdiction stuff is always going to be important, but I don't think this should just fall to the wayside because it is not hot money and things

like that. I know there is an issue. And I think that if we can build upon it, we can get something done.

Our nearest taskforces are Corpus Christi and down in the Rio Grande Valley. So, we kind of sit in that middle place, surrounded by counties that don't have something like this.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Route 540, that is right -- right up --

CHIEF GARCIA: It is about ten miles south of us. We have got Alice, about 40 minutes north of us. So, it is something that, as this region is growing, and it is growing quickly, I know that I don't want to play catch up. I want to have something established, even if it is small for now and as we continue to get buy-in from these stakeholders.

But I think now is the time to get something going outside of the -- like I said, the hot button interdiction stuff. I think auto theft is an issue that needs to be --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So, how are you tracking it right now? How are you tracking your crime trends and the crime that is happening in your city?

CHIEF GARCIA: Very basically. Right now, it is just as -- we literally just transitioned to brand new COPsync software. We are basically -- when I tell you

this is -- it is a 45 year old agency but it is brand new.

That is why I was brought out of retirement -I was enjoying retirement -- to become the Chief here. It
has been a complete rebuild, so everything is new.

Everything is new, absolutely everything. From evidence
to the way that we manage fleet, to our building, to
painting walls.

Everything is brand new. So, as we are starting to look at these things, as we are starting to see these things, we see the markers. For example, I had an impound lot that I inherited with dozens and dozens of vehicles that had been ignored for decades, missing catalytic converters.

We know that a lot of the narcotics tips that we get out in the county, that we hear everybody talking about, watch this county road. Watch this county road. They are coming from chop shops. Nobody cares about the chop shops. They just care about the dope.

So, I know that this is something that a town like ours could start slow and small, and begin to progress. And as the area begins to develop, as we start to take advantage of a couple years of experience, we start to get buy-in, we can do something with it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: You know, I would like to recommend to the Board that we can start with one vehicle,

one investigator. I know I told Director Diggs to run those numbers.

And the reason I am doing this is because we need to track your crime, right. We need to track where we are going with burglary vehicles. Once you can come back next year and can give us visibility as to, okay, yes, we do have an auto theft problem. We do have a burglary of motor vehicle problem.

Then we can -- this Board could be more comfortable in providing the additional funding that would be needed in your jurisdiction. And another thing I would like to recommend is also to combine your efforts with Falfurrias, which is, I think, your closest jurisdiction.

CHIEF GARCIA: Yes, in Brooks County.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Reach out to them. And maybe, you know, because they also have -- they have no Flock cameras. I don't think they have any kind of investigator, right.

CHIEF GARCIA: We get MOUs with them, with Brooks, with Jim Wells. When I came in, one of the first things that was lacking was any sort of interaction between the locals, the Sheriff's office, the state, the federal agencies. So, that bridge building has been a part of our immediate path to success.

And so, what I want to do is with the MOUs that

we currently have with all those cities and the counties, and the Coastal Bend Police Chiefs Association, and things like that, is, I see I want to have the ability for Falfurrias PD to call and say, hey, we have got something. Yes, let me send my guy over there. Let's do some joint investigations for the Sheriff's office, the same things. Just start building, to show the need for this.

And then, the second part being the education in the community. Hey, this is not okay. You can't be selling these things. You need to report these crimes.

These camera systems are in place to start catching these things. I see the tie-in naturally to the narcotics, because we know that a lot of that stuff. We get all the stolen trucks.

We get the pursuits. We have all that stuff happening. We know that there are shops in the county that are getting these vehicles and fixing them up.

I know that it is there. And I know that if we hit it at this angle as well, I see a beautiful tie in. That is going to strengthen the overall law enforcement in that region.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And this is where we have to, you know, get creative, right. And you have reportings, you have --

CHIEF GARCIA: Absolutely.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then, you have the other 1 2 two. My way of thinking like this, is you put a Flock 3 camera ten miles out, and you can have your interdiction 4 unit there. All you're doing is interdicting auto theft, 5 stolen vehicles, right. So, this is where you combine 6 those efforts. 7 CHIEF GARCIA: Absolutely. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I just want to see if we can go 9 and start off like this, where we just start off with one 10 unit, one officer, give you your Flock cameras. And then, 11 next year, you can have some numbers for us. And that way this Board can be more comfortable in giving you the 12 13 additional funding. 14 CHIEF GARCIA: Absolutely, sir. It starts 15 somewhere. And we just need -- because we are a small 16 town with big ideas and big pushes. We just need a little 17 bit of that apoyo, a little bit of that help. 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely. 19 CHIEF GARCIA: We can do good things with it. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. Appreciate it. 21 Thank you, Chief. 22 CHIEF GARCIA: Yes, sir. All right. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Director Diggs. 24 MR. DIGGS: Yes, sir. This will be three 25 separate votes.

The first recommendation is Addison Police 1 2 Department, \$216,017. The Allen Police Department, 3 \$81,667. Alvarado Department, \$24,000. 4 The Andrews Police Department was not 5 recommended by the GBR Committee. There is no nexus tying 6 the request to the grant requirements. 7 Arp Police Department, \$21,200. Azle Police 8 Department, \$138,750. Bandera Police Department, \$14,167. 9 Beaumont Police Department, \$285,834. 10 Bellmead Police Department, \$7,083. Belton Police Department, \$18,250. Brownsville Police Department 11 12 \$388,517. Bullard Police Department, \$21,600. Bunker Hill Memorial Villages Police 13 14 Department, \$65,417. Cattle Mills Police Department, 15 \$20,833. Caldwell County Constables Offices, Precinct 2, 16 \$18,501. Caldwell Police Department, \$17,791. 17 Castroville Police Department, \$28,800. Hill Police Department, \$55,209. Celina Police Department 18 19 \$112,000. Cibolo Police Department, \$487,118. 20 Clear Lake Shores Police Department, \$25,416. Clute Police Department, \$119,999. College Station Police 21 22 Department, \$301,492. 23 Collinsville Police Department, \$29,600. 24 Commerce Police Department, \$60,000. Converse Police 25 Department, \$288,800. Cooke County Sheriff's Office,

\$66,292. 1 2 Cuero Police Department, \$13,750. Cumby Police 3 Department, \$29,600. Dallas Police Department, 4 \$1,224,538. Eagle Pass Police Department, \$339,133. 5 Eastland County Sheriff's Office, \$29,583. 6 Eastland Police Department, \$46,667. El Paso Police 7 Department, \$370,833. Elgin Police Department, \$43,880. 8 Elm Ridge Police Department, \$104,132. Euless 9 Police Department, \$100,209. Fairfield Police Department, 10 \$79,925. Fort Stockton Police Department, \$60,000. Frisco Police Department, \$586,393. Fulshear 11 Police Department, \$138,500. Galveston County Sheriff's 12 13 Office, \$397,400. Galveston Police Department, \$533,212. 14 15 Glasscock County Sheriff's Office was not 16 present. 17 Groves Police Department, \$63,333. Gunter Police Department, \$12,500. Hallettsville Police 18 19 Department, \$74,000. Harrison County Sheriff's 20 Department, \$213,727. 21 Heath Police Department, \$12,000. Henderson 22 Police Department, \$20,833. Hewitt Police Department, 23 \$65,625. Hidalgo County Sheriff's Office, \$346,528. 24 Holland Police Department, \$202,654. Hondo

Police Department, \$44,320. Hood County Sheriff's

1	Department, \$60,000. Houston Police Department, \$960,642.
2	Jacinto City Police Department, \$24,372.
3	Jefferson ISD Police Department, \$22,625. Johnson County
4	Sheriff's Department, \$208,333. Kemah Police Department,
5	\$55,042.
6	Kendall County Sheriff's Department, \$215,254.
7	Kleberg County Attorneys Office, \$22,917. Kyle Police
8	Department, \$205,000. Lakeview Police Department,
9	\$46,583.
10	Lee County Sheriff's Department, \$84,146. Live
11	Oak Police Department, \$30,208. Lubbock County DA's
12	Office, \$204,245. Mabank Police Department, \$357,200.
13	Mansfield Police Department, \$469,731.
14	Maverick County Sheriff's Department, \$341,100.
15	Mexia Police Department, \$35,000. Midlothian Police
16	Department, \$185,471. Mount Belvieu Police Department,
17	\$153,349.
18	Montgomery County Sheriff's Office, \$200,375.
19	Morgan's Point Resort Police Department, \$10,800. Murphy
20	Police Department, \$15,000. Mustang Ridge Police
21	Department, \$326,340.
22	New Braunfels Police Department, \$438,724.
23	Nolanville Police Department, \$25,800. Palestine Police
24	Department, \$30,000. Palmer Police Department, \$10,000.

Panola County Sheriff's Office, \$76,875. Town

of Pecos City Police Department, \$434,560. Polk County 1 2 Sheriff's Department, \$45,000. Potter County Sheriff's 3 Office, \$348,080. 4 Pottsboro Police Department, not present. 5 Premont Police Department, the adjusted total 6 is \$372,543. Princeton Police Department, \$16,667. The 7 Town of Prosper Police Department, \$309,834. Rains County 8 Sheriff's Office, \$177,907. Roanoke Police Department, 9 \$125,000. 10 Robertson County Sheriff's Office was not recommended by the GBR Board. There is no nexus tying the 11 12 request to the grant requirements. Robinson Police Department, \$21,600. Robstown 13 14 Police Department, \$78,354. Rockwall County Sheriff's 15 Office, \$226,190. Rosebud Police Department, \$20,667. 16 Sachse Police Department, \$16,208. San Angelo 17 Police Department, \$133,962. San Saba County Sheriff's Office, \$19,200. Sansom Park Police Department, \$56,400. 18 19 Santa Clara Marshall's Office, \$28,800. Schertz Police Department, \$362,263. Sealy Police 20 21 Department, \$25,000. Sequin Police Department, \$88,333. 22 Shelby County Sheriff's Office, \$30,000. 23 Silsbee Police Department, \$33,125. Sinton Police 24 Department, \$221,212. Smith County Sheriff's Office,

25

\$29,500.

1	Somervell County Sheriff's Office, \$40,833.
2	Sugarland Police Department, \$42,084. Temple Police
3	Department, \$875,029. The Colony Police Department,
4	\$125,000.
5	Tom Green County Sheriff's Office, \$156,662.
6	Trinity County Sheriff's Office, \$63,333. Universal City
7	Police Department, \$45,642. University of St. Thomas
8	Department, \$70,330.
9	Vidor Police Department, \$48,625. Waller
10	County Sheriff's Office, \$67,500. West Police Department,
11	\$63,200. Wichita Falls Police Department, \$254,166.
12	The Willis Police Department was not present.
13	Wood County Sheriff's Office, \$179,328. Young
14	County Sheriff's Office, \$78,400. Zavala County Sheriff's
15	Office, \$231,532.
16	That will conclude the first vote.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Director Diggs.
18	Are there any questions from the Board members
19	on this first round?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are there any public comments,
22	Cassie?
23	MS. FLINT: Chairman Rodriguez, there are no
24	comments. Thank you.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. I will entertain a

1	motion on Agenda Item 10.
2	MR. SLOAN: Board Member Sloan. I make the
3	motion that we approve and move forward with the vote for
4	that motion that Director Diggs read.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do have a second?
6	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Board member Cantu-Serrano.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a second from Member
8	Cantu-Serrano. Any further discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I will now call for the vote.
11	Board members, when I call your name, please state your
12	vote for the record.
13	Member Jones.
14	MS. JONES: Aye.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
16	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
18	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
20	MR. SLOAN: Aye.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jackson.
22	MR. JACKSON: Aye.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I, Chairman Rodriguez, vote
24	aye. Let the record reflect that there are six votes to
25	none. The motion passes.

1	MR. DIGGS: William Diggs, MVCPA Director for
2	the record.
3	The second vote will be for the Round Rock
4	Police Department for \$423,519 for FY '26, SB224 Grant.
5	MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman. David Richards
6	for the record. Member Sloan will have to recuse himself
7	on this vote.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that
9	Member Sloan will be recusing himself.
10	Do I have a motion on this item?
11	MR. JACKSON: Member Jackson. I move that the
12	Board approve the FY '26 SB224 catalytic converter grant
13	award recommendations as presented by Director Diggs for
14	Round Rock PD.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
16	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Board member Cantu-Serrano.
17	I second.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any further discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I will now call for the vote.
21	Board members, when I call your name, please state your
22	vote for the record.
23	Board Member Jones.
24	MS. JONES: Aye.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MG WITTERNITT
1	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
3	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jackson.
5	MR. JACKSON: Aye.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I, Chairman Rodriguez, vote
7	aye. Let the record reflect there are five votes for and
8	zero against. The motion passes.
9	MR. DIGGS: The third vote for the SB224 FY '26
10	grant will be for the Laredo Police Department, in the
11	amount of \$1,511,895.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a motion?
13	MR. JACKSON: Member Jackson. I move that the
14	Board approve the FY '26 SB224 catalytic converter grant
15	award recommendation as presented by Director Diggs, for
16	the Laredo Police Department.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
18	MR. SLOAN: Board member Sloan. I second.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I will now call for the vote.
20	Member Jones.
21	MS. JONES: Aye.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
23	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
25	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
2	MR. SLOAN: Aye.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jackson.
4	MR. JACKSON: Aye.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record and I,
6	Chairman Rodriguez, am recusing myself. Just forgot that.
7	Let the record reflect there are five votes for
8	and zero against. The motion passes.
9	We'll move on to Agenda Item 11, FY '26
10	taskforce grant award recommendations. Major Sharon
11	Jones. And pass it over to Director Diggs.
12	MS. JONES: I will not get past the Cs.
13	MR. DIGGS: And you guys are just glad I have
14	been working out and I have got some wind now. I don't
15	even take a breath.
16	So, William Diggs, MVCPA Director for the
17	record. The following are the FY '26 taskforce grant
18	award recommendations from the GBR Committee.
19	The first one in this will also be there
20	will be two votes. There will be a separate vote for the
21	Laredo Police Department.
22	The first round will be Austin Police
23	Department, \$532,588. Beaumont Police Department,
24	\$760,241. Brownsville Police Department, \$1,960,343.
25	Burnet County Sheriff's Office, \$744,122.

Corpus Christi Police Department, \$894,028. 1 2 Dallas County Sheriff's Office, \$1,283,888. Dallas Police 3 Department, \$1,732,442. Eagle Pass Police Department, 4 \$361,449. 5 El Paso Police Department, \$2,023,985. Farmers 6 Branch Police Department, \$240,000. Galveston County 7 Sheriff's Office, \$1,075,096. Harris County Sheriff's 8 Office, \$1,240,191. Hidalgo County Sheriff's Office, 9 \$1,052,143. 10 Houston Police Department, \$2,402,936. Lubbock County Sheriff's Department, \$1,088,277. Mansfield Police 11 12 Department, \$963,810. Maverick County Sheriff's 13 Department, \$242,679. Montgomery County Sheriff's Office, 14 \$1,074,187. 15 Paris Police Department, \$608,121. Pasadena 16 Police Department, \$141,733. Potter County Sheriff's 17 Office, \$531,273. Prosper Police Department, \$1,320,829. River Oaks Police Department, \$17,500. San Angelo Police 18 19 Department, \$253,505. 20 San Antonio Police Department, \$1,369,199. 21 Marcos Police Department, \$182,922. Smith County 22 Sheriff's Office, \$420,957. 23 Tarrant County Sheriff's Office, \$2,088,421. 24 Travis County Sheriff's Office, \$1,164,849. Victoria

Police Department, \$315,865.

1	We'll conclude the first round.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Director Diggs.
3	Is there any public comments?
4	MS. FLINT: We have no witnesses or comments.
5	Thank you.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Moving on to entertain a
7	motion this first round.
8	MR. SLOAN: Board Member Sloan. I motion.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
10	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Board Member Cantu-Serrano.
11	I second.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Say again. Motion from Member
13	Sloan and a second from Cantu-Serrano. Any further
14	discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I will now call for the vote.
17	Board members, when I call your name, please state your
18	vote for the record.
19	Member Jones.
20	MS. JONES: Aye.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
22	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Brotherton. Sorry.
24	Member Cantu-Serrano.
25	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
2	MR. SLOAN: Aye.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jackson.
4	MR. JACKSON: Aye.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I, Chairman Rodriguez, vote
6	aye. Let the record reflect that there are six votes for,
7	and zero against. The motion passes.
8	Director Diggs.
9	MR. DIGGS: William Diggs, Director of MVCPA
10	for the record. So, for the second round, for
11	consideration for FY '26 taskforce consideration will be
12	the Laredo Police Department, in the amount of \$1,904,825.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a motion?
14	MR. JACKSON: Member Jackson, for the record.
15	I move that the Board approve the FY '26 taskforce grant
16	award recommendations for Laredo Police Department as
17	presented by Director Diggs.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second.
19	MR. SLOAN: Board Member Sloan. I second.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
21	Jackson. A second from Member Sloan. I will now call for
22	the vote. Board members, when I call your name, please
23	state your vote for the record.
24	Member Jones.
25	MS. JONES: Aye.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
2	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
4	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
6	MR. SLOAN: Aye.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jackson.
8	MR. JACKSON: Aye.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
10	Chairman Rodriguez, am recusing myself. Let the record
11	reflect that there are five votes for, and zero against.
12	The motion passes.
13	Director Diggs.
14	MR. DIGGS: That closes out the voting for the
15	FY '26 grants.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We don't have Round Rock?
17	MR. DIGGS: No, sir.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Director. Everybody
19	got their money, right. Good job, guys. Very good job.
20	All we ask that one very important thing,
21	right, that we track everything that we do, that we take
22	care of all the equipment that we are getting, and making
23	sure that when we come back next year, that we have some
24	good statistics and some good briefs from cases that you
25	guys are developing and working on.

So, that is all we ask for, right. At the end 1 2 of the day, this is what we do. And we do it very, very 3 well. So, thank you for being here and for 4 5 presenting. And being here countless hours, listening to 6 everyone, right. So, thank you. Moving on to Agenda Item 12, MVCPA 2026 7 8 Conference venue recommendation and selection. Member 9 Jones. 10 MS. JONES: Mr. Chair, this year, our attendance reached 445 people. That was the number, 445, 11 which is a huge, huge increase from years prior. I think 12 13 this is year three. So, each year, it increases. 14 Billy Bob's, which to some people in here was 15

the most important night, not the training -- around 9:00 p.m., the count was 405 attendees.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our sponsorship over 30 plus -- you know, over 30 plus sponsors and vendors. Probably about between 35 and 38ish, 35 to 40, with other vendors already telling us they have committed for next year.

So, with that in mind, when I first came here this week, I had a venue in mind. However, that venue will not be able to accommodate this group. It is only going to grow. Especially when the grantees, the new grantees understand that it is strongly encouraged for

them to attend the conference, and definitely the banquet. 1 2 So, with that in mind, I have two locations 3 that I need to vet to make sure that they can hold all of 4 us. You see, we outgrew this room like two days ago. So, 5 I don't have a recommendation yet. I will ask for 6 probably the next meeting, at October, that I have a 7 recommendation for you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Definitely an upgrade from what 8 9 was it, the King's Inn? MS. JONES: Inn of the Hill. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Oh, Inn of the Hill. Yes. 11 we are improving every year. I know that I think we are 12 13 counting on maybe 600 for next year. 14 So, definitely, a bigger, better, and always 15 improving on our conferences. So, get ready for that. 16 And welcome back, next Board meeting. Thank you. 17 We'll now move on to Agenda Item 13, Insurance refund requests. This is an action item. 18 19 And before I turn the meeting over to MVCPA 20 Audit Manager, Dan Price, I would like to state for the record that Member Jackson is required under our statute 21 22 to recuse himself from this agenda item. 23 Mr. Price. 24 MR. PRICE: Good afternoon. My name is Daniel 25 Price. I am the MVCPA audit manager.

1 We have 22 refund requests to bring to you 2 today. They will be done in two groups. One will be --3 the first one will be USAA and its associated companies and subsidiaries. The second one will be Philadelphia 4 5 Indemnity Insurance Company. 6 I will read each time period, and then the 7 individual companies names, the amounts, and the 8 recommendation on each one. 9 So again, for USAA companies, this will be for the first half of 2019. The United Services Automobile 10 Association, \$589,362. Staff recommends denial of this 11 12 refund request. The second one is USAA Casualty Insurance 13 14 Company, \$494,612. The staff recommends denial of this 15 request. 16 The third one is USAA General Indemnity 17 It is \$315,782. Staff recommends denial of this Company. request. 18 19 The fourth one is Garrison Property and Casualty Insurance Company, \$257,030. And the 20 21 recommendation from staff is to deny this request. 22 The next time period is the second half of 23 2020. The United Services Automobile Association, 24 \$761,444. Recommend denial.

The second one for that period is USAA Casualty

1	Insurance Company, \$663,840. We recommend denial.
2	The next one is USAA General Indemnity Company,
3	\$376,816. We recommend denial.
4	And the last one for the second half of 2020 is
5	Garrison Property and Casualty Insurance Company,
6	\$328,528. Recommend denial.
7	I will now move to the second half of 2021.
8	United Services Automobile Association, \$906,760. We
9	recommend approval of that refund request.
10	The next one is USAA Casualty Insurance
11	Company, \$809,232. Recommend approval of that request.
12	The third one is USAA General Indemnity
13	Company, for \$467,632. Recommend approval of that
14	request.
15	The last one for second half of '21 is the
16	Garrison Property and Casualty Insurance Company, for
17	\$421,532. Recommend approval of that request.
18	I will now move on to the first half of 2023.
19	United Services Automobile Association, \$427,550.
20	Recommend approval of that request.
21	USAA Casualty Insurance Company, \$411,174.
22	Recommend approval of that request.
23	The next one is USAA General Indemnity Company,
24	\$305,513. Recommend approval of that request.
25	The last one is Garrison Property and Casualty

1	Insurance Company, \$261,716. Recommend approval of that
2	request.
3	I will now move to the final period, which is
4	the second half of 2023. United Services Automobile
5	Association, \$8,510. Recommend approval of that request.
6	
7	USAA Casualty Insurance Company, \$14,825.
8	Recommend approval of that request.
9	The third is USAA General Indemnity Company,
10	for \$11,555. Recommend approval of that request.
11	And then, the final one for second half of '23
12	is Garrison Property and Casualty Insurance Company, that
13	is \$9,060. Recommend approval of that request.
14	That concludes the USAA portion of the
15	insurance refund requests.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Price. Are
17	there any questions from Board members?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any public comments?
20	MR. PENCE: Deputy Director Pence for the
21	record. There is no public comment.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I will now entertain a motion
23	for Agenda Item 13.
24	MR. SLOAN: Board member Sloan. I will make
25	the motion.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
2	Sloan. Do I have a second?
3	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Board Member Cantu-Serrano.
4	I second.
5	MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards for
6	the record. I just want to clarify, your motion is to
7	approve what Mr. Price presented?
8	MR. SLOAN: That is correct. Board Member
9	Sloan. My motion is approve and move forward.
10	MR. RICHARDS: Thank you.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
12	Sloan, and a second from Member Cantu-Serrano. Any
13	further discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I will now call for the vote.
16	Board members, when I call your name, please state your
17	vote for the record. Member Jones.
18	MS. JONES: [Inaudible].
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
20	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
22	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
24	MR. SLOAN: Aye.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that

1	Member Jackson is recusing himself. I, Chairman
2	Rodriguez, vote aye. Let the record reflect there are
3	five votes for, and zero against. The motion passes.
4	MR. PRICE: With your permission, I would now
5	like to move to Philadelphia Indemnity Insurance Company.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead, Mr. Price.
7	MR. PRICE: Philadelphia Indemnity Insurance
8	Company has two requests before us. The first one is for
9	the second half of 2023. The amount is \$96,529.62. The
10	staff recommends approval of that request.
11	The next one is the first half of 2024. The
12	dollar amount is \$9,644.25. We recommend approval of that
13	request. The total of those two are \$106,173.87.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Price.
15	Do I have a motion on this item?
16	MR. SLOAN: Board Member Sloan. I make the
17	motion that we approve the recommendation as made by Mr.
18	Price.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have got a motion from Member
20	Sloan. Do I have a second?
21	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Board Member Cantu-Serrano.
22	I second.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a second from Member
24	Cantu-Serrano. I will now call for the vote. Board
25	Members, when I call your name, please state your vote for

1	the record.
2	Board Member Jones.
3	MS. JONES: Aye.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
5	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
7	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
9	MR. SLOAN: Aye.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And again, let the record
11	reflect Member Jackson is recusing himself. I, Chairman
12	Rodriguez, vote aye. Let the record reflect that there
13	are five votes for, and zero against. The motion passes.
14	
15	We will now move to Agenda Item 14. And I will
16	turn the meeting over to Yessenia Benavides.
17	MS. BENAVIDES: Good afternoon, Chairman,
18	Members. For the record, my name is Yessenia Benavides.
19	I have the pleasure of serving as the Management Analyst
20	of the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority.
21	I know everybody in this room is really looking
22	forward to my presentation. It has nothing to do with the
23	fact that I was last.
24	It has been a long, but absolutely incredible
25	week. We have gone over 1,000 slides in our

presentations. And as Member Jones stated, our attendance at the conference was 445 people. We have come a long way from Kerrville, which had an attendance of 145 attendees.

Agenda Item 14 is a briefing item. And it can be found on page 23 of your Board books.

Chairman, and Members, I would like to start my presentation with our MVCPA mission. And that is all Texans are free from harm and loss caused by motor vehicle crime. It is important now we take a moment to recognize our mission, as it defines our purpose and our actions that our employees and our stakeholders take towards achieving a common goal. It acts as a compass, really, and a goal we strive to obtain.

At the end of fiscal year 2024, there was a total of 26 million registered vehicles in the state of Texas. Director Diggs mentioned in his opening speech at the MVCPA Conference, and I quote, more vehicle crimes have a devastating effect on people's lives. It is important to recognize this, because for many Americans and Texans, their vehicle is the second most valuable asset that they own, following their home. The total loss from motor vehicle burglary and theft in Texas in year FY '24 was \$2.3 billion.

I've had the privilege of serving the great

State of Texas with the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention

Authority for almost five years. And in the past couple

years, MVCPA has seen significant change, mostly notably

with Senate Bill 224. Throughout many of our meetings, we

have talked on how much of this change has come about.

And for new MVCPA grantees sitting behind me, and for new Board members of the MVCPA in fiscal year 2024, Texas saw an increase in the price of catalytic converter parts, and a sharp increase in the number of stolen catalytic converters. As a result, the prevention of theft of catalytic converters became a priority of the Texas Legislature and law enforcement.

Glenna Bowman, Texas DMV Chief Financial
Officer, highlighted how MVCPA is self-supported, and is
funded from insurance fees that are deposited into the
General Revenue Fund. The sight you see on the screen is
the historical timeline of the MVCPA fee.

In 1991, MVCPA was established by the 72nd Legislature. The MVCPA was \$2 per motor vehicle year, for policies written on or before August 31st of 2019. That fee increased from \$2 to \$4 in fiscal year 2020 -- a change, Mr. Chairman, you might recall, as you served as a Board member at that time.

And in fiscal year 2023, MVCPA's fee increased by \$1, making the fee now \$5 per motor vehicle year of

insurance. In FY '24, over \$107 million were collected in MVCPA fees from the \$4 of the MVCPA fee. Of that amount, over \$24 million was appropriated to MVCPA.

And in that same fiscal year, over \$29 million was collected in MVCPA fees from the additional one dollar. Of that amount, over \$24 million was appropriated to MVCPA.

And this slide in particular is what explains the additional catalytic converter funding that we received, and what has ultimately been what I would consider the catalyst of all this growth. Looking at the past five years, we really didn't see much growth in the number of law enforcement grants awarded from FY '21 to FY '23. It wasn't until FY '23 to FY '24 with the additional SB224 funding that we saw a 142 percent increase in the number of grants awarded.

Fifty-eight grants were awarded in FY '24, 26 of those being MVCPA taskforce grants, and 32 SB224 catalytic converter grants. From FY '24 to FY '25, we saw a 95 percent growth in the number of grants awarded. In total, 114 law enforcement grants were awarded in FY '25, 30 of those being MVCPA taskforce grants, and 83 SB224 catalytic converter grants.

And this week, for FY '26, MVCPA saw even a more significant growth. The Board received 158 law

enforcement grants, 31 of those being taskforce applications, and 127 being SB224 catalytic converter grants.

As we take a step back and examine the last five fiscal years, FY '21 to FY '25, MVCPA has seen a 352 percent increase in the number of law enforcement grants awarded. And I purposely placed that number in a big red circle to draw your attention to it and highlight the journey and progress we as an agency have made.

And it is important to acknowledge the collective effort that has also made this curve possible.

MVCPA currently has 15 full-time employees for MVCPA.

Now MVCPA is led by Director William Diggs. We have

Deputy Director Earl Pence.

Our grant audit team consists of Lauren
Williams, Sterling Fielder, Katherine Norris, and Clarissa
Rodriguez, managed by Dan Price. We have a grant
coordination team that consists of Sandra Castro, Kate
Turner, and Francis Alvarado.

And we also have a law enforcement training team that travels throughout Texas to train auto theft investigators, support staff, analysts, and district attorneys. That team consists of Herman Ebert [phonetic], Amber Ott, and Justin White.

Last but not least, we have our Executive

Assistant, Cassandra Flint, who I would like to say helps keep Director Diggs in check. And finally, we have my position, where I serve as a management analyst.

My apologies. It is thinking. It's a good one, I promise.

So for those that are curious, up on the screen is a picture of how we look like when we dress up.

But every individual contribution, every collaborative project, and every moment of determination have created the success we see today. The growth of the MVCPA program over the last two fiscal years has led to a number of operational impacts to grant applications, grant application reviews, awards, auditing, law enforcement training, coordination with multiple state agencies.

And while a specific number of staff requests is listed in your Board book, we will continue to closely examine our staffing model to determine what additional staffing, if any, is needed. Our plan is to keep working with DMV Executive Office staff, FAO, and the Government Strategics Offices.

And Mr. Chairman, I would like to end my presentation on a great achievement you touched during the conference. To quote John F. Kennedy, the processes of growth are gradual, bearing fruit in a decade, not a day.

1	With the graph you see displayed on the screen,
2	we can see for the first time in several years, a decline
3	in motor vehicle theft. That is a phenomenal
4	accomplishment.
5	That concludes my presentation. Are there any
6	questions?
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All great news, right. Great
8	presentation, Yessenia.
9	MS. BENAVIDES: Thank you.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We really appreciate that. And
11	we need to keep on continuing pushing the fact that we
12	keep on going down on motor vehicle crimes.
13	And our growth, right, we need to celebrate.
14	Hopefully, we'll celebrate more next year, at the
15	conference, with maybe 600 attendees.
16	So, thank you, Yessenia. Appreciate that.
17	MS. BENAVIDES: You are welcome.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Agenda Item 15, there is no
19	closed sessions.
20	Agenda Item 17, public comment.
21	Cass, are there any public comments?
22	MS. FLINT: Chairman Rodriguez, there are no
23	comments. Thank you.
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All right. Agenda Item 18,
25	adjournment.

1	If there isn't any further business, I would
2	like to entertain a motion to adjourn. Who wants to go
3	home?
4	MR. SLOAN: Board Member Sloan. I will make
5	the motion to adjourn.
6	MS. JONES: Member Jones, second.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
8	Sloan and a second from Board member Jones. Board
9	Members, when I call your name, please state your vote for
10	the record.
11	Member Jones.
12	MS. JONES: Aye.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
14	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
16	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Aye.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Sloan.
18	MR. SLOAN: Aye.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jackson.
20	MR. JACKSON: Aye.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I, Chairman Rodriguez, vote
22	aye. Let the record reflect that the vote is unanimous.
23	It is now 3:25 p.m. This meeting is adjourned.
24	(Whereupon, at 3:25 p.m., the meeting was
25	concluded.)

Via Teams

5 DATE: July 18, 2025

LOCATION:

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 80, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Elizabeth Stoddard before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: July 31, 2025

/s/ Carol Bourgeois (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd. #515 Austin, Texas 78752