## TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES BOARD MEETING

William B. Travis Building 1701 N. Congress Avenue Room 1-111 Austin, Texas 78701

> Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:00 a.m.

## BOARD MEMBERS:

Charles Bacarisse, Chair
Christian Alvarado
Stacey Gillman
Brett Graham
Tammy McRae
Sharla Omumu
John Prewitt
Manuel "Manny" Ramirez
Paul R. Scott

## I N D E X

AGENI	DA ITEM	PAGE
1.	Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	6
2.	Pledges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	7
3.	Chair's Reports	none
4.	Executive Director's Reports  A. Introduction of Deputy Executive Director  B. Introduction of General Counsel -     Elizabeth Brown Fore  C. Introduction of Data Management Officer -     Tammi Powell  D. Introduction of Law Enforcement Analyst     Section  E. Tax Assessor-Collectors Association     Conference Update  F. Awards, Recognition of Years of Service,     and Announcements	7 9 10 11 13 15
RULE 5.	ADOPTIONS Chapter 211. Criminal History Offense and Action on License (ACTION ITEM) New, §211.6 (Relating to Fingerprint Requirements for Licensing Applicants for and Holders of General Distinguishing Number (GDN) under Transportation Code Chapter 503 for all Dealer Types under Transportation Code §503.029(a)(6)) (Published 4/22/2022 - 47 TexReg 2122)	
6.	Chapter 215. Motor Vehicle Distribution (ACTION ITEM) Amendments, \$215.207 (Relating to HB 3514, Designating a Person to Decide Motions for Rehearing; Cleanup) (Published 4/29/2022 - 47 TexReg 2498)	55
7.	Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution (ACTION ITEM) Amendments, \$215.505 (Relating to HB 3927, Denial of Access to the Temporary Tag Database) (Published 4/29/2022 - 47 TexReg 2499)	60
	PROPOSALS Chapter 217. Vehicle Titles and Registration (ACTION ITEM)	69

	Amendments, §§217.122 - 217.130 New, §§217.1231, 217.1232, 217.131, and 217.132 (Relating to SB 15, Motor Vehicle Records)	
9.	Chapter 217. Vehicle Titles and Registration (ACTION ITEM) Amendments, §217.27 (Relating to Personalized License Plates)	83
BRIE 10.	FING AND ACTION ITEMS Specialty Plate Designs (ACTION ITEM) A. Texas A&M - Redesign Proposed under Transportation Code §504.851	90
11.	Finance and Audit Committee Update A. Strategic Plan Update	95
	(BRIEFING ONLY)  B. Preliminary FY 2024 - 2025 Legislative Appropriations Request	96
	(ACTION ITEM)  C. Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  Post-Payment Audit of TxDMV	108
	(BRIEFING ONLY)  D. Internal Audit Division Status Update (BRIEFING ONLY)  Internal and External Engagements, including Dealer Licensing Process Audit	112 ng
CIOS	ED SESSION	
12.		116
13.	Action Items from Closed Session	none
14.	Public Comment	none
15.	Adjournment	118

2.3

## PROCEEDINGS

MR. BACARISSE: Good morning. My name is
Charles Bacarisse, and I'm pleased to open the Board
meeting of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. It is
9:00 a.m., and I'm now calling the Board meeting for June
30, 2022 to order.

I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on June 22, 2022.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in the silent mode, and please, as a courtesy to others, do not carry on side conversations or other activities in the meeting room.

I want to welcome those who are with us for today's board meeting. Face coverings and social distancing are not required at this meeting.

And if you wish to address the board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker's sheet at the registration table just outside that door, or you can send an email to GCO General@TxDMV.gov. That's GCO General@TxDMV.gov.

Please identify in your email the specific item you're interested in commenting on, your name and address,

and whether you're representing anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we'll take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

2.3

In accordance with the department's administrative rule, comments to the board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker a timer has been provided. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow for one minute, and then red when your time is up. Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers, and comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet.

When addressing the board, please state your name and affiliation for our record -- we have a stenographer in the back -- and there are few things that will assist in making this meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter back there in getting an accurate record: Again, please identify yourself before speaking, speak clearly and slowly, do not speak over others, and please ask the chairman to proceed and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I'd like to also thank our court reporter who is transcribing this meeting.

Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct

1	at our board meetings. In the department's administrative
2	rule, the board chair is given authority to supervise the
3	conduct of the meetings and this includes the authority to
4	determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the
5	meeting or is otherwise violating the timing or
6	presentation rules that I just discussed.
7	So now I'd like to have a roll call of the
8	board members, so please respond verbally when I call your
9	name.
10	Board Member Alvarado, are you here?
11	MR. ALVARADO: Here.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
13	MS. GILLMAN: Here.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
15	MR. GRAHAM: Here.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
17	MS. McRAE: Here.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
19	MS. OMUMU: Present.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
21	MR. PREWITT: Here.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez?
23	MR. RAMIREZ: Here.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
25	MR. SCOTT: Here.

MR. BACARISSE: And let the record reflect that 1 2 I, Charles Bacarisse, am here too, so we have a quorum. 3 Let's all now please stand and honor our 4 country with the pledges to the American flag and the 5 Texas flag. And I'll turn it over to Member Scott to lead 6 us in the United States pledge. 7 (The U.S. Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman will do the 8 9 honors for the Texas flag. 10 (The Texas Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Good job. 11 12 you both for leading us in the pledges. 13 We don't have any chair reports today, so we're 14 going skip over agenda item 3, and we'll move straight to 15 agenda item 4, and I will now turn it over to Executive 16 Director Daniel Avitia for agenda item 4.A. 17 MR. AVITIA: Chairman, members, good morning, and thank you for the opportunity to make a few brief 18 19 comments and announcements about some new hires we have in 20 the agency I'm very excited about this time, especially with regard to the new talent we'll be bringing into this 21 22 agency and have brought into this agency. 2.3 Item 4.A is the introduction of our new deputy

executive director. I am pleased to announce that Roland

Luna, Sr. will serve as deputy executive director for the

24

Texas Department of Motor Vehicles, effective July 1.

1.3

2.3

Roland joined the TxDMV as the director of the Motor Vehicle Division and also served as the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division director. He began his government service with the Texas Department of Public Safety as a state trooper and ascended into other positions, which include serving as an agent on the protective detail for our very own governor, Mr. Greg Abbott, the then attorney general, a criminal investigation sergeant and a lieutenant in internal affairs.

Roland has served in various directorships as an assistant chief with the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission, deputy inspector general and chief of investigations for the Health and Human Services Commission, Office of the Inspector General, chief inspector general for the Texas Juvenile Justice Department office as well, and the director of administration for the Williamson County State District Courts.

Roland earned a bachelor of business

administration from Sam Houston State University, and a

master's of arts in legal studies from Texas State

University. He is certified in mediation and legal

research, holds a Texas Commission on Law Enforcement

Master Peace Officer license, and is a certified inspector general. Roland is also a graduate of the Governor's Executive Development Program.

Members, please join me in welcoming Roland Luna in his new role as the TxDMV deputy executive director.

(Applause.)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Luna, I will take the privilege of this chair and say thank you and welcome, thank you for your service to the people of Texas. We look forward to more of the same excellence.

And members, any other comments?

MS. GILLMAN: Congratulations. Well deserved.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.

Are there any other questions before we move to agenda item 4.B?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: All right. Let's go to 4.B.

MR. AVITIA: Thank you, Chairman.

I'm happy to introduce formally this morning
Ms. Elizabeth Brown Fore, who now serves as our general
counsel for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. In
this role she advises the department's executive team and
the board on a range of legal matters and manages the work
of the Office of the General Counsel.

Ms. Fore graduated from the University of Texas 1 2 School of Law -- Hook 'em Horns. Upon graduation she was a law clerk in the United States District Court for the 3 4 Western District of Texas. She practiced law with Morgan 5 Lewis in Los Angeles before returning home to Austin, 6 where she was a partner with both DLA Piper Global Law 7 Firm and a boutique patent litigation and prosecution In 2016 she joined the Office of the Texas Attorney 8 9 General, where she served as chief of the administrative 10 law division, prior to joining the TxDMV. Members, please join me in welcoming Ms. 11 Elizabeth Fore as our general counsel. 12 13 (Applause.) 14 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Welcome, Elizabeth. 15 Members, any questions, comments before we move 16 to the next item? 17 (No response.) 18 MR. BACARISSE: If not, go ahead, please 19 proceed. 20 MR. AVITIA: Thank you, Chairman. Data management officer information can be 21 22 found on page 8 of your board books. This newly created 2.3 data management officer position was a requirement for all 24 Texas state agencies with 150 FTEs or more. I'm happy to 25

introduce Ms. Tammi Powell as the TxDMV's new data

1 management officer. 2 Tammi, if you'll raise your hand. There we are from the back of the room. 3 (Applause.) 4 5 MR. AVITIA: Tammi joins the TxDMV from the 6 Texas Department of Information Resources where she served 7 as the open data portal administrator, then management 8 program administrator. Tammi later served as an 9 enterprise data architect in the ITS division where she 10 was a technical lead for the enterprise data warehouse, which included managing both technical and data governance 11 activities. 12 1.3 Tammi is a native Austinite and graduated also 14 from the University of Texas at Austin, with a bachelor's 15 and a master's in Spanish literature. She also has a 16 post-graduate certificate in librarianship from Texas 17 Women's University and a master's in information science from the University of North Texas. 18 19 Members, please join me again in welcoming Tammi to the TxDMV. 20 21 (Applause.) 22 MR. BACARISSE: Welcome, Tammi.

Chairman, members, the new Law Enforcement

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Please continue.

MR. AVITIA: Thank you.

2.3

24

Analyst Section was created to efficiently guide law 1 2 enforcement through the process of obtaining motor vehicle 3 records from the department and support officers on the 4 data available from the Texas Department of Motor 5 Vehicles. This morning I am pleased to introduce you to 6 two of the new members of this very important section. 7 The first is Ms. Michelle Real. Can you raise your hand Michelle? Back of the room over there. 8 9 Ms. Real joined the department on June 1. She 10 previously worked as a crime analyst with the Texas 11 Department of Public Safety's Intelligence and Counter-

Welcome, Ms. Real.

Terrorism Division for five and a half years.

(Applause.)

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. AVITIA: The second individual is Mr. Omar Felix. Mr. Omar Felix came on June 13. He has worked as a case support specialist with the Texas Department of Public Safety for eight years.

Welcome, Omar.

(Applause.)

MR. AVITIA: Chairman, members, the interview process is still underway for the last remaining position.

Once that position is filled, the new section will be complete and we'll be working with our law enforcement.

Currently that program is in pilot and my understanding is

it is working very well.

1.3

2.3

Thank you both for being here this morning.

Chairman, may I continue?

MR. BACARISSE: Please. Thank you. Item E.

MR. AVITIA: Agenda item 4.E, members, can be found on page 10 of your board books.

The Texas Tax Assessor-Collectors Association annual conference was held in Amarillo, Texas this year from June 11 through June 16.

There were 175 county tax assessor-collectors and nearly 400 conference attendees. Chairman Bacarisse, Deputy Executive Director Luna, and I provided the attendees with a TxDMV update of current and upcoming department activities during a general session for all participants on Tuesday, June 14.

We focused our comments to the items of operational importance to this group, including recent legislation implementation, ongoing computer systems work, and temporary tag activities.

The department also participated in several training presentations and panels, including several sessions of title fraud training provided by the Enforcement Division of the TxDMV, and participation in county roundtable discussions by the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division staff.

1 As in years past, the TxDMV had a booth and was 2 available in the exhibit hall, staffed by a multi-3 divisional TxDMV team. The TxDMV staff offered program 4 specific information and answers to questions on an 5 individual and personalized basis to conference attendees. 6 Chairman, members, I'd certainly like to thank 7 the TxDMV representatives who attended. I think you did 8 an outstanding job representing the department. 9 attendance meant a lot to the tax assessor-collector 10 community and helped strengthen our relationship with our TAC partners. 11 12 Vice Chair McRae, I'd like to thank you and all 13 of the tax assessor-collector partners for your humble 14 hospitality. We really enjoyed our time in Amarillo with 15 the entire team. 16 Members, this concludes my remarks on this 17 item. MR. BACARISSE: Any questions, members on this 18 19 item? 20 Thank you, Tammy, again. 21 MS. McRAE: I'd like to. 22 MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae. 2.3 MS. McRAE: Daniel, I would just to personally 24 thank you and your team for the participation. It really 25 did mean a lot to the tax assessor-collector community,

and we value that partnership, so thank you for always 1 2 supporting our group. 3 MR. AVITIA: Absolutely. Thank you, Vice Chair 4 McRae. 5 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Let's go ahead and 6 move to item 4.F. 7 MR. AVITIA: Thank you, Chairman. Item 4.F can be found on page 11 of your board 8 9 book, and it is this time that we celebrate these 10 employees to show our appreciation for their years of service to the citizens of Texas. 11 12 Members, the following employees have reached a 13 state service milestone. They were not able to join us 14 this morning, but I certainly want to take the time to 15 recognize each of them. 16 So first, for 20 years of state service we have 17 Georgina Zentner in the Finance and Administrative Services Division, Jacqueline Duckworth from Vehicle 18 19 Titles and Registration Division, Ms. Marie Virtue, the Motor Vehicle Division, Tonya Graef from the Motor Carrier 20 Division, and Wendy Clark Cook from our GSC Division. 21 22 Members, please join me in congratulating these 2.3 20-year accomplishments. 24 (Applause.)

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. AVITIA: And for our 30 years of state

service we have one recipient: Ms. Sylvia White from our Consumer Relations Division, who was celebrated at the CRD picnic several weeks ago. Congratulations to Sylvia on her 30 years.

(Applause.)

MR. AVITIA: Finally, members, the following

MR. AVITIA: Finally, members, the following individuals recently retired from the agency, and we will miss them and their support to the State of Texas and the Department of Motor Vehicles.

The first is Mr. Andrew Gonzales, and the only one, actually, is Mr. Andrew Gonzales from the Motor Vehicle Division. He was a great individual, really enjoyed with him the entire time I had the opportunity to work with him. Please join me in congratulating him on his retirement.

(Applause.)

2.3

MR. AVITIA: Members, this concludes my remarks for the executive director's report. I'm happy to answer any questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Any questions for Mr. Avitia, members?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I would like to move to agenda item number 5, and I will turn this over to Monique Johnston to lay out the item for us, and then

we'll take questions after her briefing.

Monique, welcome.

1.3

2.3

MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you. Chairman, members, Director Avitia, good morning. My name is Monique Johnston, for the record, and I'm the director of the Motor Vehicle Division.

Today we are asking the board's permission to adopt a rule requiring the fingerprinting of dealers with a general distinguishing number, or GDN. The rule package is listed on your agenda as agenda item 5 and the details may be found in the supplemental information for this agenda item.

At the January 27 board meeting of this year, the board directed the department to review our statutory authority and business processes for fingerprinting two types of applicants: applicants for a new GDN and existing dealers at the time of their renewal.

Further, this board directed us to initiate rulemaking by publishing proposed rules in the *Texas*Register for public comment and the department to bring the proposed rules and any public comment back to the board for a future board meeting to consider for adoption.

As directed, the department reviewed our statutory authority and evaluated the business process changes necessary to implement fingerprinting for both new

and renewal GDN dealer applicants.

1.3

2.3

The Motor Vehicle Division has worked with the Department of Public Safety, or DPS, and IT to define the new processes and to implement this change. On April 22, 2022, the department published the fingerprint proposal for public comment. The proposed rule is in a new section in Chapter 21, Criminal History Offense and Action on a License.

When the department adopted current Chapter 211, it determined which offenses directly related to the duties and responsibilities of license holders and their representatives. Offenses are considered directly related when the offense entails a violation of public trust, issuance of a license that would provide opportunity to engage in further criminal activity of the same type, or the offense demonstrates the person's inability to act honest, trustworthy or with integrity. These offenses includes crimes under the laws of another state and federal crimes.

Adopting this new rule requiring fingerprinting will prevent fraud in the application process in three ways: by verifying the applicant's identity through fingerprints, by providing a complete and comprehensive DPS and FBI criminal background check, and by providing access to the DPS and FBI Rap Back subscription, which

provides ongoing updates on a licensee's criminal activity.

1.3

2.3

If adopted, the fingerprint requirement applies to all dealer types under Transportation Code 503.029(a)(6). This includes franchised motor vehicle dealers, independent motor vehicle dealers, wholesale motor vehicle dealers, motorcycle dealers, house trailer dealers, trailer or semi-trailer dealers, and independent mobility motor vehicle dealers.

The fingerprint requirement is a one-time requirement if an active license is maintained, thus providing minimal burden to our applicants and licensees.

To provide additional insight on how many individuals will be impacted by the fingerprint rule, the department randomly reviewed 50 current applications for a dealer GDN. The sample data indicates that on average the fingerprint requirement will impact one to two individuals per application.

We believe adopting and implementing this rule will substantially decrease fraud in the application process and provide increased public protection, justifying the one-time requirement for GDN dealers.

The comment period closed on May 22, 2022. The department received four written comments in support of the proposed rule and four written comments requesting a

proposed change in the text.

2.3

All comments that were received were addressed in the preamble section and the rule text remains unchanged. If the board adopts the proposed rule at today's meeting, staff anticipates an effective date of September 1, 2022.

We request your approval to adopt this rule package, and members, this concludes my remarks, and I'm happy to answer any questions you have.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Monique.

I know, members, we're going to have a discussion, so I'll just take you, if you want to be recognized, please just let me know.

Member Gillman.

MS. GILLMAN: Just to clarify, you said out of the random 50 applications one or two will be affected. Clarify that for me.

MS. JOHNSTON: So we did a random selection of 50 applications that we have, and so on average one to two people per application for a license or renewal would be required to be fingerprinted, and at the highest range that we saw were anywhere from three to four people on an application, but the average was one to two.

That's how many people would be required fingerprints in regards to ownership if you're breaking it

We broke it down by an LLC, a sole proprietor, a 1 2 corporation, a partnership, and then looked at those 3 different, how many were associated with those 4 applications, and on average we would have to fingerprint 5 one to two people per application. 6 MS. GILLMAN: Per application. 7 MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, correct, per application. All 50 applications would need a 8 MS. GILLMAN: 9 fingerprint. 10 MS. JOHNSTON: Correct, yes. 11 MS. GILLMAN: Okay. 12 MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions. 1.3 MS. OMUMU: I have some. 14 MR. BACARISSE: I'm sorry. Yes, Member Omumu. 15 MS. OMUMU: I'd like to get you to expound on 16 that just a little bit further, because franchised dealers 17 can have extremely complex ownership structures, some that include children, so if a child is a part of the ownership 18 structure, are we fingerprinting children, or is it an age 19 20 limit where you say if you're younger than 18 you aren't 21 required to submit fingerprints? 22 MS. JOHNSTON: Well, there's nothing in the 2.3 rule that specifically states an age; it would just look

at the ownership structure. I'm not aware that we're

aware of their age when we're getting the ownership

24

information at the time, so we do review the ownership, 1 but we haven't discussed anything about an age limit. 3 MR. BACARISSE: Ms. Johnston, could you 4 perhaps, for our education, explain who are the important 5 people in an ownership structure that would be 6 fingerprinted? 7 MS. JOHNSTON: So the ownership structure when 8 you're submitting it, your president, your vice president, 9 and then so what we ask is that the ownership structure 10 when it equals 100 percent of ownership in that section up there, so those are the owners that would be fingerprinted 11 at this time, so president, vice president, however it's 12 1.3 structured for that business. 14 MR. BACARISSE: Any officer with an equity 15 position in the business. 16 MS. JOHNSTON: Correct, with an equity 17 position. MS. OMUMU: But if you're a member -- I'm 18 19 sorry. 20 MR. BACARISSE: Go ahead, Member Omumu. 21 I just want to call it out for the stenographer. 22 MS. OMUMU: But if it's a limited partnership, 2.3 right, and you're a partner and you have a percent 24 ownership and let's say you're a child, then you have 25 ownership in that entity and you have to provide a

fingerprint. I just need that level of clarification. 1 2 MS. JOHNSTON: I mean, if they're part ownership in the business, fingerprinting would be 3 4 required. 5 MS. OMUMU: Because this could potentially hold 6 up buy/sells, right, so I just need that clarification. 7 And at the end of the day, if franchised dealers have to do this, they will do it, but we just have to understand. 8 9 MS. JOHNSTON: Correct. And the fingerprinting 10 will be done at the beginning of the application process, so it would be the first thing that we notify dealers as 11 soon as they put in their application who needs to be 12 13 fingerprinted, the instructions, how to get it done. 14 The turnaround on the fingerprints, from what 15 we've been told by DPS, is we get the results within two 16 to three business days. And there are 139 vendors in 17 Texas that fingerprint, and then there's I think approximately 600 outside of Texas, and they also have 18 19 partnerships with other vendors as well. 20 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Ramirez, please. MR. RAMIREZ: Can you provide some 21 22 clarification on the fingerprinting requirement; I know 2.3 you said for new and for renewals. How often are existing 24 up for renewal?

MS. JOHNSTON: Every two years is when they

renew their license.

1.3

2.3

MR. RAMIREZ: So eventually in two years every person holding a license will have been fingerprinted.

MS. JOHNSTON: Correct, every existing dealer, unless of course if someone is added, if they do a change in ownership, then that person at that time -- the one person who is the new owner would have to get fingerprinted.

MS. GILLMAN: And every two years?

MS. JOHNSTON: No. It's a one-time thing.

MS. GILLMAN: It's one-time.

MS. JOHNSTON: Correct. It's a one-time thing. Once you're fingerprinted, you're subscribed to what's called the DPS and FBI Rap Back, and so we have real-time access to that person's criminal history, so if any changes were to be made, if someone committed a crime or if there was an update in their criminal history, we would get reports on that as long as they're subscribed and have active fingerprints.

Should that person let their license lapse and two years later they come back and want to get a license again, then we would have them re-fingerprinted to ensure that, you know, this is the same person and re-subscribe them to the Rap Back.

MS. GILLMAN: So I'm a franchised dealer, and I

do get fingerprinted already for the OCCC. Does the OCCC 1 submit -- or maybe I should ask is my fingerprinting 3 already right now, because I do that, is it already at the DPS? 4 5 MS. JOHNSTON: I can't speak for the DPS, but 6 yes, if you've gotten fingerprinted by another agency, 7 then that agency would have access to your fingerprints. 8 Correct. 9 MS. GILLMAN: And so if I already have my 10 fingerprints stored and logged on the record at the DPS, why can't the DMV access my fingerprints from DPS? 11 MS. JOHNSTON: The DPS and the FBI do not allow 12 13 sharing of the information; we are not allowed to have 14 access to the information. 15 Each agency is required to submit fingerprints 16 to gain access to that criminal history, so we're not 17 allowed to share among agencies. We as an agency have to 18 submit a fingerprint to get the results. 19 MR. BACARISSE: And Monique, is that --20 Chairman Bacarisse, just for the record -- is that a federal statute or a state statute? Where is the law on 21 22 that? 2.3 MS. JOHNSTON: Well, it's under both at this 24 time, so the DPS does not allow that, but the FBI does not

either, so it's under both. Correct.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah, okay. Thank you.
2	Members, any other questions on this item?
3	MR. RAMIREZ: That does seem like we need a
4	little clarification. Just for efficiency's sake, we're
5	asking these folks to take fingerprints over and over,
6	especially the ones that are already doing it.
7	MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the
8	record.
9	Yes, we did confirm with DPS, we had a couple
10	of meetings with them after our last board meeting just to
11	confirm that this is actually what is required, and they
12	are very strict with the sharing of the information.
13	I've been through a few DPS audits, and you
14	can't even share within your own agency if people aren't
15	properly trained and have the proper training through DPS.
16	MS. GILLMAN: Can we have someone properly
17	trained within DMV?
18	MS. JOHNSTON: We will have the people trained
19	within DMV who can view them within DMV, but that's just
20	to view the results within DMV. Yes, correct.
21	MS. GILLMAN: No. I meant to share with DPS.
22	MS. JOHNSTON: With DPS? In order for DPS to
23	share the results with us, we have to have the training,
24	the people who are viewing the results.
25	MS. GILLMAN: I guess that's what I would love.

1	Can we have someone trained at DMV that the DPS will
2	share?
3	MS. JOHNSTON: The training doesn't allow you
4	to share with other agencies, no.
5	MR. BACARISSE: It's still an operation of
6	federal and state statute.
7	MS. JOHNSTON: Correct, yes.
8	MR. BACARISSE: May be an issue that the
9	policymakers in the legislature, both at the state and
10	federal level, might want to look at at some point, I
11	don't know. Who knows.
12	MS. GILLMAN: Well, it certainly creates
13	MR. BACARISSE: It's a burden.
14	MS. GILLMAN: a ridiculous burden when I
15	know that my fingerprint is already at DPS.
16	MR. BACARISSE: I don't think we disagree on
17	that.
18	MS. JOHNSTON: We did have extensive
19	conversations with DPS to make sure we were clear and we
20	understood what their restrictions were.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions on
22	this item?
23	MR. SCOTT: Yes.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott.
25	MR. SCOTT: Point of clarification. Paul

Scott, for the record. 1 2 If you have multiple licenses, you only need to 3 have one set of fingerprints. MS. JOHNSTON: That's correct. Yeah, that's 4 5 part of our IT enhancements that we're working on so we 6 can track when someone gets fingerprinted they will only 7 get fingerprinted once, and then that will carry over to 8 any other licenses that they hold with us. 9 MR. SCOTT: Thank you. 10 MR. BACARISSE: Any questions, members? Member Gillman, go ahead. 11 MS. GILLMAN: Chairman Bacarisse. 12 13 MR. BACARISSE: I was looking down here to make 14 sure I didn't miss our members down here. 15 MS. GILLMAN: Maybe not a question, it's a 16 Could you have just on the dealer application a 17 check the box: Are fingerprints already in the DPS system? Check yes or no. Just knowing the fact that the 18 19 fingerprints are in the system and available to DPS and 20 knowing that it's there, is that an eligible -- or would 21 that satisfy the knowledge of criminal activity? 22 MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the 2.3 record. 24 The issues with that is that each state has

their own criminal history rules and offenses of what is

acceptable or not acceptable for a license, so it varies from agency to agency. Ao if someone is eligible for one type of license, they could potentially not be eligible for another type of license based on their criminal history requirements.

2.3

And in addition to that, a clever criminal could know that this person has a license and has been fingerprinted and just check the box and steal their identity and use that to obtain a license as well. That could be a possibility.

MR. BACARISSE: Any other questions, members?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, the chair would entertain motion regarding agenda item 5.

MS. McRAE: Chairman, I would like to make a motion.

MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae.

MS. McRAE: I move that the board approve the adoption of New Section 211.6 concerning fingerprint requirements for licensing applicants for franchised and independent dealer general distinguishing numbers, as recommended by staff, with an effective date of September 1, 2022. I also move that the board grant the department the ability to make changes to the adopted section based on non-substantive corrections made by the Texas Register.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member McRae.
2	Is there a second for this motion?
3	MR. RAMIREZ: I second.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez is the second.
5	Is there any further discussion on that motion?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I would call for
8	the vote, please.
9	Just out of sequence here, want to make sure,
10	we need to have some public comment before we do that?
11	Yes, very good. Thank you. Forgot about that.
12	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record,
13	associate general counsel for Texas DMV.
14	We have three commenters on item number 5. The
15	first commenter is David Kohler.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Yes. I'm sorry. Thanks for
17	reminding me.
18	Good morning, Mr. Kohler. Good to see you.
19	Please approach the podium and give us your name for the
20	record and go ahead.
21	MR. KOHLER: Good morning. David Kohler,
22	K-O-H-L-E-R. Thank y'all again for having us here today,
23	and I'm here to speak on this rule adoption. And I'd
24	really like to thank Ms. Johnston for how she's
25	articulating some of your questions.

So three years ago, three and a half years ago when we brought fingerprinting to the table and now we're here, and so fingerprinting, breaking it down, the idea was to fingerprint GDN holders whoever has a dealer license who is inside of your webDEALER system.

2.3

Then when the public comments came out, I submitted another public comment to add beyond that, not just your GDN or license holder. Then come to find out that Government Code 411.122 is an obstacle, and that clearly states the GDN or the license holder, but it also states: "request a determination of eligibility for a license from the agency."

So I don't know what all that legal jargon means. Does the mean the agency can challenge any dealer agents who are inside of webDEALER? I don't know. Does Government Code need to be amended at next session? I don't know.

The other challenge was to fingerprint everybody, and it doesn't take that long to fingerprint.

Today is June 30; you could have, let's just say by

September 1 or September 30, October 30, everybody who is a current GDN holder; there's all kind of timelines to consider. I like the fact that whoever has a pending GDN right now that hasn't been approved that be immediately fingerprinting on that to prevent that from getting

through the system.

1.3

2.3

Again, timelines are up to the agency and the board, and if you have any questions, I'll be more than happy to try to answer them.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.

Members, any questions for Mr. Kohler on this item? Member Ramirez.

MR. RAMIREZ: I've just got a general comment, Mr. Kohler. You mentioned three years ago bringing this issue up and having these ideas, and the speed of government working at the speed at which it does, we're very grateful for your advocacy and for getting us where we are.

You know, without the continued interest and without the continued advocacy, I doubt we would ever be here, so a sincere thank you. I'm very pleased with where we are. I know it's not perfect, but it's 99 percent there. And so I just wanted to say thank you publicly.

MR. KOHLER: Mr. Ramirez, thank you very much.

And again, like I stated in previous meetings, everything that this staff and this agency and you as the board have done over the last two to three, four, five months is light years from the past three years. So again, thank everybody.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Kohler.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

I would note, too, in my short time on this 1 2 board I have seen this agency's attitude change towards 3 law enforcement and partnering with them more effectively 4 and efficiently, and that will remain. That's my 5 commitment to you as long as I'm the chair of this board, 6 and I think the agency feels the same. 7 MR. KOHLER: Thank you very much, and I 8 appreciate you. 9 One last challenge: I know the consideration 10 was to go into effect September 1. I would challenge the agency, with everything that has gone on with webDEALER, 11 to enact it immediately, but I don't know how statute or 12 13 rule applies to that, sir. Thank you again. 14 MR. BACARISSE: You bet. Thank you. 15 Aline, may I ask for a -- are there other 16 commenters? I'm sorry. Are there other commenters on 17 this item? MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 18 19 We have two more commenters. The second 20 commenter is Karen Phillips with TADA. 21 MR. BACARISSE: Sure. Ms. Phillips, good 22 morning. 2.3 MS. PHILLIPS: Good morning, Chairman 24 Bacarisse, board members and Executive Director Avitia. 25 It's nice to be here with you. My name is Karen Phillips, representing the Texas Auto Dealers Association, and my written comments are found on the supplemental material agenda item 5, which was, I believe, sent out yesterday.

2.3

And as you know, the agency's implementation of House Bill 3927 and the adoption of the rules with respect to the temp tags, I think has been very successful and very effective, and since the adoption of those rules, according to your supplemental materials, 75 GDN have been removed from being able to access the temp tag database, and so again, I think that that is an indication that your new rules and that statute are performing.

At this time I think it's questionable that an additional barrier to licensing is the direction that is best served for the public, and I say this because, if you look at your supplemental material, you'll note that there is at least the concern of the ease of replicating the temporary tags, and it continues to be there, and I so I know the agency is looking at options to increase the difficulty for reproducing these temp tags.

And in fact, the statement on page 57 of your board materials states that "The ease of replicating basic temporary tags will remain a vulnerability to the overall process. Legitimate tags can be collected and photocopied." And so that to me is where our focus should be instead of additional barriers to licensing.

So will fingerprinting cure the ease of replicating or counterfeiting the temp tags? No, it will not. Nor is fingerprinting a foolproof method for identification. We would request a practical approach whereby if I have submitted my fingerprints, the Department -- i.e., the Texas Department of Public Safety -- has those on records, allowing me to give you permission to access that through either Rap Back or otherwise. If that needs a statutory amendment, TADA will be more than happy to support you in that regard.

I know that Board Member Omumu mentioned buy/sell issues, and we are also very concerned about that, because when we have a closing date, it's got to be completed promptly because we have just done an inventory of all of our vehicles and parts, we need to close after that inventory is done.

Lienholders are at issue, buyers are at issue, sellers are at issue, the OEM is at issue, and we need to be able to have a certain date that we know we can close. Otherwise, we're not going to be able to and it's going to be bad for not only us but all parties involved.

And I'm happy to answer any questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions for Ms.

Phillips?

1.3

2.3

(No response.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you for your comments, 1 2 and we appreciate them, and you bring up some really 3 important issues. Thank you. 4 Member Graham. 5 MR. GRAHAM: I think we need to make sure we 6 have our heads around the timeline from the point of which 7 someone goes and gets fingerprinted until the point at 8 which DMV can access that, process that, approve that, because she's spot-on, when you have a transaction going 9 10 on, I mean, it is not a week, it's not a day, it's an hour. There's a point in time that that dealership must 11 transfer. 12 13 MR. BACARISSE: Right. 14 MR. GRAHAM: It is imperative that all of that 15 be able to be done in advance, and I just want to make sure that we're not creating a real issue here. 16 17 MR. BACARISSE: Great. Ms. Johnston. MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the 18 19 record. 20 We already prioritize buy/sells as they are, 21 making sure that they do close and we can approve those 22 licenses on the day of the buy/sell, and we plan to

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

soon as the application is submitted. Those will be

The fingerprints are going to be obtained as

2.3

24

25

continue that.

obtained, they'll be given instructions to go get 1 2 fingerprinted, they go to the appointment. Those results 3 are supposed to be, from what DPS has told us, within a 4 couple of days we get those results, look at those 5 results, and move the application through as a priority as 6 a buy/sell, just like we do without the fingerprints. 7 it would still be held as a priority in franchised 8 applications. 9 MR. GRAHAM: And how far in advance can someone 10 make application? What does that timeline look like? 11 MS. JOHNSTON: You can submit an application as early as you like. We work with the applicants and make 12

MS. JOHNSTON: You can submit an application as early as you like. We work with the applicants and make sure that they're in the process to buy/sell, they provide us with the information, we're going to anticipate closing on this date, and then we just need a statement of when that closure -- you know, yes, the deal is closed and then we can approve the application. So they can start it earlier instead of maybe just a couple of weeks before the buy/sell, maybe 30 days, there's no restriction on that.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. GRAHAM: Okay. And what is the cost? I mean, I'm fingerprinted, but I just don't recall what the costs associated with that are.

MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the record.

It's \$38.25 per person, and it's paid directly

to the vendor at the time of fingerprinting. MR. GRAHAM: And then the last comment I think I would have is I believe all franchised dealers are fingerprinted for one reason or another. Perhaps that's something we could look at going into next session to see

6 if there is any possibility of working with DPS to have

some sort of agreement to have access to that. Because I 8 am thinking about my 88-year-old mom, and so that's going

9 to be a project.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir, yes, sir.

MR. GRAHAM: If we could not have to do that. Of course, we'll only have to do it once, so that's the good news. Anyway, okay. Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir, Member Graham.

Mr. Avitia, did you have something you wanted to add?

MR. AVITIA: Yes, Chairman, thank you very much.

Chairman, members, I realize that this rule is pretty significant for the industry, and it is a shift, it is a change. Now, with regards specifically to franchised dealers, I want to submit to you that we will make this process as simple as possible.

We understand the importance of buy/sells, we understand the importance of opening the day that you need to open. And I can tell you as a former Motor Vehicle
Division director and as executive director, many of the
attorneys involved in the buy/sell process call me
directly and they say, Daniel, we need to open today, and
there is significant communication between my office and
Director Johnston's office to make sure that we do
everything we can to support our franchised dealers in
opening the day that they need to open.

2.3

topic?

To further that commitment and to make sure that we're not holding the process up in any way, shape, or form for franchised dealers, you'll look at our appropriations request, and more importantly, our exceptions request with regard to FTEs:

We are asking for nine additional FTEs specifically in Director Johnston's area in the Motor Vehicle Division to make sure that we keep those processes flowing and that fingerprinting isn't the holdup, nor is any part of that process the holdup for franchised dealers. Again, strong commitment to our franchised dealer community coming from the executive director's office.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Avitia.

Members, any other questions on this item, this

MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.

We have one more commenter on item number 5, it's Sqt. Escribano.

1.3

2.3

MR. BACARISSE: Great. Good morning, Sergeant.
SGT. ESCRIBANO: Good morning, Chairman.

My name is Sgt. Jose Escribano. I represent the Travis Count Constable's Office Precinct 3, and I'll be talking about item number 5.

First thing I would like to do is thank you,
Mr. Bacarisse, Chairman Bacarisse, and the board for their
tireless work over the last four or five months. It's
just complete daylight what we have right now. I would
also like to thank Director Avitia and his Deputy
Executive Director Luna, and also I'd like to thank
Director Corrie Thompson for their work on this and
definitely on opening the portal, which is now on the
testing phase and it's really, really working very, very
well.

My comment on the GDN and the fingerprinting, I took in that the GDN and the representatives -- and I was kind of taken back a little bit because I don't really understand that portion of it -- I know they're going to be fingerprinting the new GDN, and I know they're going to be fingerprinting the current GDN, but if anybody else gets into the system, if you go to the manual of the Texas DMV webDEALER manual, it says that the GDN holders are the

administrator of the password, meaning that they can create a password and give somebody access that's not going to be fingerprinted.

2.3

In other words, I can go and give my authorized agents and make them have access to the system. So that would be something that I think would have to be addressed. We've already addressed some of that and we've addressed other issues that I can't discuss right now because we have an ongoing investigation.

Three weeks ago we went ahead and arrested one of the kingpins federally, because we had a case that we started back in 2018, and to bring something up to my colleague with the TADA, fingerprinting is the key, because it took us three years, 20-plus search warrants to Google and other outlets, email, so forth and so on, just to find this person which it could have been very simply done if that person had been fingerprinted.

Plus he had multiple GDN that we're uncovering.

Of course, this individual is now cooperating with us,

and we'll have more information to work with Corrie and

Director Luna and Director Avitia on this issue.

So again, we congratulate you for the efforts, it's been tremendous. The director and his staff have been tremendous on this, and we have some other issues that we're going to go ahead and address.

And also to address the TADA; there are other measures that we have discussed with the director on the tags themselves and being able to have some countermeasures and other measures in there, but the key is going to be you have to fingerprint whoever is going to go into that system, because if you do give them countermeasures -- let's say, for example, hypothetically you issue a certain type of paper that has certain parameters in it and you issue it to a dealer and he does 50 cars a year, well, that person again now would have access to that paper or that information, or they would give it to somebody that has not been fingerprinted and they can distribute that.

2.3

We do know that the cartel -MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
Your time is up. I'm sorry.

MR. BACARISSE: Go ahead, you can wrap you sentence, your thought here.

SGT. ESCRIBANO: We know that the cartels and other criminal organizations do get individuals and you're not going to stop that, and especially when I was in Brownsville looking at dealers that will go ahead and be cooperative with them, and that you're not going to stop.

But fingerprinting for sure will at least assist us, anybody going into that system, and I mean

1	anybody that's going to use it, just like we have to be
2	fingerprinted to use TLETS.
3	That's my comments.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, sir, appreciate it.
5	Members, any questions for Sgt. Escribano?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. BACARISSE: No? Okay.
8	Thank you, sir, appreciate it.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae, did you have
10	a question and a request?
11	MS. McRAE: I would like to request to talk to
12	Aline. Can we take a break?
13	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, we can. It is right now
14	9:46. We're going to take about a five-minute will
15	that work?
16	MS. McRAE: That will work.
17	MS. McRAE: That will work.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Five-minute break. We're in
19	recess for five minutes.
20	(A brief recess was taken.)
21	MR. BACARISSE: It is 9:51 a.m., and I'm now
22	calling the public meeting of the Texas DMV back to order
23	after a brief pause.
24	I think Member McRae has a question that she'd
25	like to pose, so you have the floor, Member McRae.

MS. McRAE: Yes. Monique, I have a question.

Thank you for your presentation. I think it was very thorough, but I do have a question about the effective date, September 1. After listening to our law enforcement, is there any way that the agency could move this forward quicker than the September 1 date?

MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the record.

The anticipated IT launch with all the

1.3

2.3

The anticipated IT launch with all the enhancements in order for us to be able to track fingerprinting is July 25, and so we were going to use August to make sure there were no bugs or problems with the system and make sure everything kind of ran smoothly and get staff trained on using it, but we could potentially launch earlier; yes, we could start earlier if everything goes smoothly during the July 25th launch.

MS. McRAE: Okay. I understand there's protocols that you have to follow, but if at all possible, as soon as we possibly could, I think that would be beneficial to the agency, to our law enforcement, and of course, I realize we'd have to communicate it to our dealer community as well.

MS. JOHNSTON: Correct. Monique Johnston, for the record.

Correct. And absolutely we'll definitely look

and see if we can launch any sooner once the IT 1 enhancements go through on the 25th of July. 3 MS. McRAE: Thank you. 4 MR. BACARISSE: Great, thank you. Any other 5 questions, members, on that point? 6 Yes, Member Scott. 7 MR. SCOTT: Monique, my question is if we say 8 September 1 -- no later than September 1 but as soon as possible, is that something that we need to clean up here 9 10 or could that be done by staff, or how does that happen? 11 MR. BACARISSE: Aline, do you want to give some counsel on that? 12 1.3 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 14 So the issue is that we need to give a clear date to the affected industries so that they can act 15 16 accordingly. And I mean, definitely the rule could be 17 effective 20 days after we file it with the Texas 18 Register. 19 We could file the rule as soon as tomorrow, and 20 the 20 days starts to count from the date of filing. That's just the issue. We need to give a clear date to 21 22 the regulated industry on when this will apply, and 2.3 whatever the effective date is, any applications submitted 24 on or after that date would be governed by this rule.

MR. BACARISSE: I think we may also consider

25

the fact that not only is there technology but there's also the human element of training and resource. The question is do you have the staff currently to be able to manage that additional process?

2.3

And what I don't want to see happen, and I don't think anybody here does, is this new rule gets adopted and it creates a backlog or some sort of problem in managing the new process. So let's speak to that a little bit as well, if you would, Monique.

MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the record.

Absolutely. Yes, we are using current resources in order to implement fingerprinting. We have reallocated resources and made some reorganizational changes for the process to accommodate the fingerprinting, so we have moved some resources and we do have one designated FTE that will be assisting with the fingerprint process.

Is this enough? I can't speak to that until the process is actually going through, but we have already fleshed out how the process is going to work, and just as it was with the max tag limits that we implemented and monitoring those, it's going to be all hands on deck, and as Director Avitia said, we are asking for additional people.

But I will have to say it probably will delay, 1 2 of course, a little bit of the processing time, but we're 3 going to try to get it done as efficiently and as quickly 4 as possible. 5 MR. BACARISSE: Great. 6 Members, anything else on this one? 7 MR. GRAHAM: I have one last question for 8 Monique. 9 MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham. 10 MR. GRAHAM: And I support this 100 percent; I think it is yet another strong foundational block to 11 12 shoring up what's been a pretty leaky system. 13 Just would you clarify, I know there's a 14 section -- it's my understanding a section in the Code 15 411.087 that allows for an exception of the requirements 16 for fingerprints if someone is already fingerprinted by 17 DPS. I presume that would -- I mean, are you familiar with that? I mean, I'm just curious. It sounds like the 18 19 Government Code already says if you're already 20 fingerprinted, that's allowable. I just thought I'd ask. 21 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 22 The provision that you're talking about does 23 not apply to DMV. It only applies to certain agencies and

it deals with child support issues, and DMV is not one of

24

25

those agencies.

But you are right, there is a provision that 1 2 allows certain agencies to not require their applicants to 3 submit fingerprints again if they're on file, but DMV is 4 not one of those agencies. 5 MR. GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you. 6 MR. SCOTT: Follow-up question if I could. 7 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Scott. 8 MR. SCOTT: Monique, when you say we could 9 publish it or it could be effective in 20 days, but we 10 don't have the software, we don't have the people, we can't do it in 20 days. 11 12 And following up from what we've been told, we 13 do have to set a specific date. Correct? Otherwise, 14 because if we say 20 days from today and we don't have our 15 software and stuff ready and this person applies, then 16 they're covered by the fingerprint requirement rule but we 17 don't have the ability to process that application until 18 we're ready. 19 So my comment, it makes sense to me that if we 20 set a specific date that we need to set and set it 21 according based on when we know we'll be ready to go. 22 Right? 2.3 MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the 24 record.

That's correct, and when we were evaluating the

25

1 process, the release of the IT enhancements and the time frame to kind of flesh out any potential bugs or errors or 3 things that did not quite work properly and get staff 4 properly trained and have the person we're reallocating to 5 fingerprints 100 percent available to do the job, 6 September 1 seemed like the most appropriate date at that 7 time. 8 MR. BACARISSE: And folks, just let me say 9 that's two months, and it's summer and people are on 10 vacation, and it's going to go faster than we think. 11 That's my view. Charles Bacarisse, for the record. MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Chairman? 12 13 MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman. 14 MS. GILLMAN: When appropriate, I'd like to 15 make a friendly amendment. 16 MR. BACARISSE: Aline, are we at that point? 17 Right? I kind of lost track, to be honest think we are. with you. We had comments, there is a motion on the 18 19 The maker of the motion could listen. 20 MS. AUCOIN: There is a motion on the table. 21 If the maker of the motion is agreeable, they could accept 22 a friendly amendment. 2.3 MR. BACARISSE: She could hear it and then 24 decide to accept or not.

MS. AUCOIN: Or reject it.

25

MR. BACARISSE: Yeah, okay. So that's up to 1 2 you, Member McRae. MS. McRAE: I would like to hear your friendly 3 4 amendment. 5 Thank you, Board Member McRae. MS. GILLMAN: 6 Number one, I'd like to make exempt any dealer 7 that has previously submitted fingerprints and on record with the DPS. That is number one. 8 9 And number two, because people are not only out 10 of the state but also out of the country for the summer, I would extend the deadline to October 1. I know folks out 11 of the country for the summer. 12 13 MR. SCOTT: Can I comment? 14 MR. BACARISSE: If there's a friendly amendment 15 that's been offered, the maker of the amendment first 16 needs to decide whether to accept or reject. Is that 17 correct? MS. AUCOIN: Correct. The maker of the motion 18 19 would need to decide to accept or reject, and they would 20 also have to be a second to that friendly amendment if the 21 maker agrees to the friendly amendment. 22 MR. BACARISSE: Right. Okay, so the decision 2.3 is where with Ms. McRae. 24 MS. AUCOIN: And I guess legally I would 25 reiterate what Monique correctly said is that just because

1	fingerprints are on file with DPS for one agency, that
2	does not it's not acceptable for DMV. Those
3	fingerprints can't be used by DMV.
4	MR. BACARISSE: They cannot be shared.
5	MS. AUCOIN: Cannot be shared.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Under correct statute. Is that
7	correct? It's not a rule, it's statute. Is that correct?
8	MS. JOHNSTON: That's correct.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Just to clarify. So it's with
10	you, Ms. McRae.
11	MS. McRAE: Thank you, Chairman.
12	Member Gillman, I appreciate your comments and
13	understand your concerns; however, I'm going to reject
14	your friendly amendment.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Members, any other
16	questions? Otherwise, I would entertain a call to vote.
17	(No response.)
18	MR. BACARISSE: Are we ready to vote?
19	Member Scott, you're good?
20	MR. SCOTT: No, I'm fine.
21	MR. BACARISSE: All right. So I will call a
22	vote at this point then, please, on the motion. Would
23	members like it restated or are we good?
24	MR. GRAHAM: Please.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae, would you restate

1	your motion as you first made it, please?
2	MS. McRAE: I move that the board approve the
3	adoption of New Section 211.6 concerning fingerprint
4	requirements for licensing applicants for franchised and
5	independent dealer general distinguishing numbers, as
6	recommended by staff, with an effective date of September
7	1, 2022. I also move that the board grant the department
8	the ability to make changes to the adopted changes based
9	on nonsubstantive corrections made by the Texas Register.
10	MR. BACARISSE: There is a motion and a second.
11	I am now going to call for the vote.
12	Board Member Alvarado?
13	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Board Member Gillman?
15	MS. GILLMAN: Nay.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Board Member Graham?
17	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Board Member McRae?
19	MS. McRAE: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Board Member Omumu?
21	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Board Member Prewitt?
23	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Board Member Ramirez?
25	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Board Member Scott?
2	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
3	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
4	aye as well. Let the record reflect that there are eight
5	votes for and one against, and this motion passes. Thank
6	you, members.
7	We're going to move now on to agenda item
8	number 6.
9	MR. SCOTT: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, I'm sorry. Member Scott.
11	MR. SCOTT: Could I ask a question for Monique?
12	MR. BACARISSE: Absolutely. Ms. Johnston.
13	MR. SCOTT: If a dealer has multiple licenses,
14	do they all have the same expiration date?
15	MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the
16	record.
17	No. It would depend on when they applied for
18	those licenses.
19	MR. SCOTT: Okay. Thank you.
20	MS. GILLMAN: Can I say one other thing?
21	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Gillman.
22	MS. GILLMAN: As a final. I hope you know from
23	my previous comments that I applaud the efforts of law
24	enforcement and DMV for making our dealer application
25	process really strong, and I don't mind at all getting a

reputation.

1.3

2.3

Having a dealer license is a privilege and I respect that so much, and I voted nay because I am concerned that fingerprinting is not really going to solve the problem, but certainly the overall objective of helping keep the dealer licensing process strong and making it difficult is a good thing. So I applaud DMV and DPS for their efforts in that regard.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman, if I may speak. In the short time that I've been on this board, I am still learning a lot, and I have learned a lot from you about the challenges of running a franchised auto dealership.

I've only scratched the surface, but I see the pressure points and the economic issues in play, the personnel issues, all of this is incredibly complex. And I want to see our state remain a pro-business, low regulation state, certainly, and I am with you in that, and I applaud you and your efforts. You're a great member of this board and we love you and appreciate you. So we want you to feel free to represent your point of view and your industry on this board. It's important.

MS. GILLMAN: Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: You're a big part of the state and the state's economy, so I want you to know the respect

1 that each of us have for you. All right? Thank you. 2 MS. GILLMAN: 3 MR. BACARISSE: That's my opinion only. Thank 4 you. 5 Members, anything else on this item? 6 (No response.) 7 MR. BACARISSE: All right. Item number 6, we 8 are going to hear from Andrew Kang, I believe. 9 Andrew, come on up. Thank you. 10 MR. KANG: Good morning, Chairman, members and Director Avitia. 11 12 Today I'm here to present for consideration 13 today the Proposed Rule 215.207 for adoption, which 14 implements House Bill 3514, which passed in the last 15 regular session. 16 HB 3514 amended Occupations Code 2301.71 to 17 authorize the chief hearings examiner to designate a person to decide a motion for rehearing. Previously the 18 19 statute only allowed the chief hearings examiner to decide 20 motions for rehearing, but the board recommended to the 21 legislature to allow the hearings examiner to designate 22 another person to decide motions for rehearing for 2.3 purposes of efficiency, continuity, and also to avoid any 24 possible bias from the hearings examiner deciding his own

motion for rehearing or another decision that he made.

25

And the rule also makes some additional 1 2 nonsubstantive changes to conform to the current practice 3 and statutory language, and the rule itself follows the 4 statutory language as amended by the legislature last 5 session. 6 The rule was published in the Texas Register on 7 April 29, and there were no comments. This rule is far 8 less controversial than the last one, so I assure you will 9 go a lot faster. 10 (General laughter.) MR. KANG: So today we're asking the board to 11 12 adopt Rule 215.207 and have it filed with the Secretary of 1.3 State for publication in the Texas Register, and that 14 concludes my presentation. If there are any questions, 15 I'm glad to answer them. 16 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Kang. 17 Members, any questions for Mr. Kang on agenda item 6? 18 19 (No response.) 20 MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, the chair would entertain a motion on agenda item 6, please. 21 22 MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman? 2.3 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Scott. 24 MR. SCOTT: I move the board approve the 25 adoption of amendments to Section 215.207 concerning final

1	orders for contested cases, as recommended by staff. I
2	also move that the board grant the department the ability
3	to make changes to the adopted section based on
4	nonsubstantive corrections made by the Texas Register.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Is there a second for this
6	motion?
7	MR. RAMIREZ: I second.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez is the second.
9	Any questions for Mr. Kang on this?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. BACARISSE: Is there any public comment on
12	this item 6?
13	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
14	No public comment.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Any questions, any
16	further discussion on this agenda item?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. BACARISSE: All right. Seeing none, I will
19	call for the vote, please.
20	Member Alvarado?
21	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
23	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
25	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
2	MS. McRAE: Aye.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
4	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
6	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez?
8	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
10	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
11	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
12	aye as well. This is unanimous. Thank you very much.
13	MR. KANG: Thank you.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir.
15	MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?
16	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Scott.
17	MR. SCOTT: Can I have one more question for
18	Monique?
19	MR. BACARISSE: Oh, sure. Monique, you need to
20	just get you a front row seat here.
21	(General laughter.)
22	MR. SCOTT: My question is if I have an
23	existing license and my license is not up for renewal for
24	a period of time, like a year or so, can I now knowing
25	that I'm going to have to be fingerprinted when my license

renews, can I go in now at some time and get my 1 2 fingerprints done and sent to DMV so that they're on 3 record when my application comes up for renewal? 4 MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the 5 record. 6 If you're an existing licensee, there would not 7 be anything in DPS's requirements that would disallow that. We could provide you with the information and you 8 9 could go ahead and get fingerprinted so they would be on 10 file. But any new applicants, they would not be able to get fingerprinted until they actually submitted the 11 application. 12 13 MR. SCOTT: Just speaking for existing dealers. 14 MS. JOHNSTON: Correct. If you're an existing 15 licensee, you could do that. 16 MR. SCOTT: Again, as we talked about, there 17 might be multiple people involved in the processing of that dealership license, so rather than wait two weeks or 18 19 three weeks before, since you know that you're going to 20 have to do that, you could be gathering all that stuff in 21 advance and having it on file with the agency, right, with 22 the department? 2.3 MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the 24 record.

Absolutely. We are not authorized to publish

25

any of the information on the website that you would need to go get fingerprinted. There's a specific ID that we have to provide and that is due to DPS restrictions. They don't want people getting fingerprinted and then not submit an application. That would ding us in an audit.

However, someone could reach out to us if

However, someone could reach out to us if they're a current licensee, and we could provide that information to them if they would like to go ahead and get the fingerprinting process started.

MR. SCOTT: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Good to know. Thank you.

We'll now move to agenda item 7, and I would like to ask Corrie Thompson to come up and lay that item out for us, please.

Good morning.

2.3

MS. THOMPSON: Absolutely. Good morning,
Chairman, members, Director Avitia. I'm Corrie Thompson,
the director of the Enforcement Division, and I have
agenda item 7, which starts on page 17 in your board
books.

Before you is a recommendation to adopt amendments to New Rule 215.505. The amendments are going to clarify some rule text that contains the process for denial of access to the temporary tag database when a

dealer has been found to have fraudulently issued tags from that system.

2.3

And the amendments do three things: We're correcting a statutory citation within the text; we're adding some parentheses around one of the examples that constitute fraudulent issuance to provide clarity about when a vehicle is considered to be in a dealer's inventory; and then in the listing of the three items that can constitute fraudulent issuance, between the second and third item we're replacing the word "and" with "or" to make those individual instances that can constitute fraudulent issuance rather than three instances in one.

There's no significant fiscal impact associated with the amendments, and back at the April 14 board meeting, the board authorized the department to adopt the amendments through an emergency rule, and you also authorized us to go through the regular rulemaking process and have this rule published in the *Texas Register* for public comment.

That emergency rule went into effect the day of the last board meeting, so April 14 the rule was effective; however, the proposed amendments were then published in the *Texas Register* in the April 29 issue. The comment period closed May 29 of 2022 and the department did not receive any comments so the text

remains unchanged.

2.3

So I'm happy to answer any questions you may have, but if there are none, then I would ask for approval to both adopt the amendments and approve the publication of the order of adoption in the *Texas Register*.

MR. BACARISSE: Great. Members, any questions for Ms. Thompson on this point?

MS. GILLMAN: Yes.

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Gillman.

MS. GILLMAN: Can you clarify for me what page where it explains when a vehicle is presumed to not be in the dealer's inventory?

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Let me get to rule text again. Corrie Thompson, for the record.

Rule text starts on page 22 in your board book, line 7, so this is the second example. The first example is you've issued an excessive number of temporary tags; number 2, temporary tags for a vehicle or vehicles not in a dealer's or converter's inventory (a vehicle is presumed not to be in a dealer's or converter's inventory if it's not listed in their relevant monthly vehicle inventory tax statement).

We've included that example because the vehicle inventory tax statements are an element of evidence that the investigators collect to compare vehicles actually

listed on those documents to tags issued from the 1 2 temporary tag database. 3 And so that's one way that we gather evidence to determine if what you're reporting on that document 4 5 matches the number of tags that you've issued from the 6 system. 7 MS. GILLMAN: Well, she said a lot, and I'm not sure I understand. 8 9 MR. BACARISSE: Well, let's break it down. Go 10 ahead. MS. GILLMAN: One thing that's going on in my 11 head is that right now almost 100 percent of what I'm 12 selling is inbound units; I don't have any on the ground. 13 14 And so I have a sheet that tells me what's 15 incoming, and sometimes I pay for the vehicle when it 16 leaves the plant in Ohio, but the evening it arrives it's 17 a celebration and my customer comes to the store and they 18 pick it up. 19 So explain to me again access to the temporary 20 tag system. I don't really understand if what I just described is violation of this new proposal. 21 22 MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson, again for the 2.3 I may be able to provide some clarity. record. 24 Again, I would like to point back to the fact 25 that this rule speaks directly to when we are seeking to

deny access to someone to the temporary tag database who has been found that there is evidence of fraudulent issuance.

1.3

2.3

And so to even get to the point where we're using this rule, we have to have some indicator that there's fraudulent issuance of temporary tags from the database. And so based on our historic knowledge of dealers that have actually been found to have fraudulently issued tags, this has been a helpful point of evidence for us.

Obtaining a vehicle in your inventory, as you stated, yes, that's the normal process. I would not say that that is a point in time that we would be looking for any evidence of fraudulent issuance of temporary tags from the system. We have to have evidence of that first before we step into this rule to look at that.

MS. GILLMAN: I'm imagining that the reason this exists is that if a dealer is issuing 10,000 tags and they're only selling ten vehicles.

MS. THOMPSON: Or none.

MS. GILLMAN: Or none. I just was wondering if I was going to be a violator.

MS. THOMPSON: I cannot comment on every specific instance of a dealer taking a vehicle into their inventory, but as you had stated the normal process, if

perhaps you found yourself as part of an investigation, 1 2 there's always an opportunity on the dealer's side to 3 provide proof that a vehicle was in their inventory that 4 would negate any action taken by the department under this 5 rule. 6 But I would say under the circumstances that 7 you outlined, you would not find yourself in this rule as 8 part of the subject of an investigation. 9 MS. GILLMAN: Thank you for the clarification. 10 MR. BACARISSE: It's tricky, it's very tricky. Business is challenging these days. 11 12 Any other questions, members, of Ms. Thompson 1.3 on this item? 14 (No response.) 15 MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none and seeing none, 16 the chair would entertain a motion on agenda item 7. 17 MR. ALVARADO: Mr. Chair, I'd like to make a 18 motion, please. 19 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Mr. Alvarado. 20 MR. ALVARADO: I move that the board approve the adoption of amendments to Section 215.505 concerning 21 22 denial of access to the temporary tag system, as 2.3 recommended by staff, and I also move that the board grant 24 the department the ability to make changes to the adopted

section based on nonsubstantive corrections made by the

25

1	Texas Register.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Alvarado.
3	Is there a second to this motion?
4	MS. OMUMU: Mr. Chairman, I'll second.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Member Omumu seconds.
6	Thank you.
7	Any further discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. BACARISSE: Is there any public comment on
10	this issue, Ms. Aucoin?
11	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
12	We have two commenters on this agenda item.
13	The first commenter is David Kohler.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Great. Mr. Kohler.
15	MR. KOHLER: David Kohler again, for the
16	record. Thank you again.
17	I just want to say I appreciate Director
18	Thompson's diligence in staying on top of this language to
19	strengthen 3927, and I believe it was 75 or 79 GDN have
20	been denied since this rule has been implemented. Kudos
21	to the staff and to the board.
22	And just the board's reminder and staff
23	reminder, 3927, the original intent was never about
24	franchised dealers; it was about weeding out these 75 and
25	79 to give y'all the strength and the power to do that,

because in the four years that I've been doing these paper 1 tags, two franchised dealers that I've come across have made some minor mistakes. Do I want their access turned 3 4 off? DMV can deal with them administratively. No. 5 So thank you again. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Kohler. 7 Any other comments? MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 8 9 We have one more commenter, Sqt. Escribano. 10 MR. BACARISSE: Great. Sergeant. SGT. ESCRIBANO: Sqt. Escribano, Constable's 11 Office, Precinct 3 in Travis County. 12 13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, thank you, board for 14 allowing me to comment on number 7. I'd like to comment 15 that thank you, Director Thompson, for clarifying this. 16 This does a great deal for us. We have in the past made 17 cases here in Travis County on the inventory tax. key component of the investigation, like the director went 18 19 ahead and promoted. 20 If your vehicles are in transit, Ms. Gillman, I 21 don't think you would have to worry about it. They would 22 have to be at the location for you to actually put those 2.3 down on your inventory, and again, franchised dealers are

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

We did have a dealer here in town that we went

24

25

not the problem.

1	ahead and filed on for that specific one, and he never had
2	any inventory whatsoever, in transit or there. So I think
3	this is a key component clarifying that that's going to
4	give us one more tool that we can actually utilize when
5	we're dealing with them either here in Travis County or
6	other places.
7	So law enforcement thanks Ms. Thompson and
8	again the director for your efforts. Thank you very much.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Great. Thank you, Sergeant.
10	Any questions, members?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you, sir.
13	All right. Any other public comment Aline? Is
14	that it?
15	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
16	No more public commenters.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you.
18	We have a motion and a second for this item.
19	Any further discussion amongst members?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, hearing none, I
22	will call for the vote, please.
23	Member Alvarado?
24	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?

1	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
3	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
5	MS. McRAE: Aye.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
7	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
9	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez?
11	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
13	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
15	aye as well. It's unanimous.
16	Thank you, Corrie, appreciate it.
17	All right. We now move to rule proposals,
18	agenda item number 8, and we'll turn it over to Roland
19	Luna, Sr.
20	Mr. Luna.
21	MR. LUNA: Good morning, Chairman Bacarisse,
22	Vice Chair McRae, Board members, Executive Director
23	Avitia. Roland D. Luna, Sr., Vehicle Titles and
24	Registration Division director.
25	This is agenda item number 8. and a

supplemental packet was provided to you. The agenda item before you is a request from the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division for approval of the proposed amendments to new sections of Texas Administrative Code 217.122 through 217.132 related to the implementation of Senate Bill 15, also known as the Texas Consumer Privacy Act Phase 1, from the 87th Legislature, concerning the disclosure of personal information from the department's motor vehicle records in accordance with the board's statutory authority. The amendments submitted for your consideration can be referenced in the supplemental file that was provided to you.

2.3

SB 15, Transportation Code 730 implements

Section 18, United States Code Chapter 123, also known as the Driver's Privacy Protection Act, DPPA, in the interest of individuals and their personal privacy by limiting the disclosure and the use of personal information contained in motor vehicle records.

SB 15 amended multiple sections of the Transportation Code in 730 and created new sections, including creating additional criminal offenses and increasing the punishment for existing criminal offenses.

Transportation Code 730, in conjunction with applicable rules, addresses situations where the department is required to disclose motor vehicle records

and when the department is permitted to disclose motor vehicle records.

1.3

2.3

And for these situations where the department is permitted to disclose motor vehicle records, the rules speak to the procedures for which persons or entities may access motor vehicle records, the associated cost with those records, documentation that's required to prove the permitted use, the limitations on redisclosure of personal information, what records are required to be maintained, and how may disclose personal information, the department's ability to review maintained records by a particular entity, the ineligibility to receive motor vehicle records, the ability to access motor vehicle records after termination, and the requirements related to the provision of an annual report for those who access motor vehicle records, the annual report being a new section.

Various definitions were revised under 217.122 to implement SB 15 and to add clarity and consistency.

New definitions were added that represent the various products available for access to motor vehicle records under a service agreement. New definitions were created for signature batch inquiry, MVInet access, bulk contract, and several other definitions.

Other rule revisions included 217.123, Access

to Motor Vehicle Records. This particular rule describes the procedures required to access individual motor vehicle records. And while the rule edits related to law enforcement are not required to implement SB 15, the department had an opportunity to address obstacles related to requests for motor vehicle records from law enforcement.

2.3

Currently law enforcement can access motor vehicle records by providing a copy of their credential, and after their law enforcement credential, which contains personal information, their height, weight, things that are required for a law enforcement credential, to include their personal identification number that is regulated by the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement.

Currently, and prior to SB 15 and the development of these rules, we would obtain a copy of their law enforcement credential and then provide them with the motor vehicle records that they needed to further criminal investigation.

While we had the hood open, it made practical sense to partner differently with law enforcement, given the challenges that we've had in the temporary tag space, and this was an excellent opportunity for us to do that. So what we have done in particular to 217.123, Access to Motor Vehicle Records for law enforcement access, they can

continue to provide a copy of their credential.

2.3

Another method that was created is they can provide an electronic request on agency letterhead. They can provide that same request from a commanding officer, with someone in the command staff, or they can provide a verbal request for information.

And to talk about how the verbal request would be operationalized, we're seeing that now in our pilot with the law enforcement analyst group that is under Corrie Thompson's leadership in the Enforcement Division.

There is a verification form that is submitted by the law enforcement officer that gives him the opportunity to access information verbally.

And I'll give you an example of how this plays out in practice. Let's say that there is an ongoing criminal investigation involving an auto theft ring and there is some type of covert operations that are being conducted by a law enforcement agency.

Well, if they have already been verified and their identity has already been verified with the department with the Enforcement Division and we know that they are a legitimate law enforcement officer and they need real-time information while they're conducting some type of undercover operation, they need ownership information for a particular vehicle, they need research

conducted for a particular vehicle, they only have a few conditions of the vehicle, like the color of the vehicle, the location that it was in and a partial plate, well, then that information can be communicated to the Enforcement Division and the law enforcement analyst group, and then the research can be conducted and provided to the officer verbally.

2.3

That is a much better way of helping further criminal investigations when officers are not in a position to provide a copy of their credentials or to submit an email or to obtain a letter from their command staff or their commanding officer.

And while the pilot program has been underway, the initial feedback from the Enforcement Division, as well as those officers that are part of the pilot program, is it is working wonderfully. Like any soft launch, there are some things that we've identified that we want to improve, but the initial feedback has been very positive.

Moving on to the types of motor vehicle access.

This section of the rule also addresses access to motor vehicle records under a service agreement, and we have a service agreement for the various types of access.

There's MVInet access; MVInet access is something that dealers utilize now. We also have batch inquiry, master file weekly updates, dealer supplemental file, eTAG files,

and special plate files.

2.3

217.131, which is another new section, this is a new rule to address SB 15 changes to Chapter 730 related to access to motor vehicle records in bulk under contract, and with this particular section we needed to properly identify what constitutes bulk records.

And in close partnership with the General Counsel's Office, as well as our rule development team, we spent an extensive amount of time determining what constitutes bulk records; that's 250 records or more.

And the reason why we arrived at the 250 records or more is because this is consistent with other sections, with other statutes and codes so it made business sense for us to have some consistency.

In 217.132, the annual report is also a new section, and this addresses the provisions required of those that receive bulk records. They're required to produce an annual report by October 1 of each year.

That doesn't prevent the agency at any point from requesting information from any of those entities that have access to bulk record throughout the year. What the annual report does is it provides a consistent deadline for anyone that has access to bulk records so that our contract monitoring unit can review those annual reports accordingly.

In Section 217.126, SB 15 removed references to resale of personal information for motor vehicle records and only references redisclosure.

1.3

2.3

In 217.127, this section touches on records maintained by recipients who redisclose personal information and all references to resale were removed from the current rule language to be consistent with statute.

In 217.131, Notices regarding unauthorized recipient. This is a new rule to implement SB 15's requirement that the department create rules to require the detection of personal information from a requester's records if the requester becomes aware that they are not authorized to be a recipient of the information. So if someone receives it that they're not supposed to, they need to delete the information, and this is the rule that states that.

Lastly, I do want to include that this was a very important rule package for various stakeholders, our dealer community, for all Texans that own vehicles. And data privacy is extremely important to the Department of Motor Vehicles, which is why we worked very closely with the General Counsel's Office, with the Enforcement Division, with our Government and Strategic Communications Division, and various other divisions in the agency, to include IT, to ensure that we had all of the right

individuals that were wordsmithing and considering risks and statutes and the communities that we regulate to make sure that we got it right.

1.3

2.3

This is a rule proposal, and one final thing that I would like to add leading up to the rule proposal is that we had the informal rulemaking process in December, so because this was so important to the agency, we used the informal rulemaking process as a starting point for us so that we could get some initial feedback from constituents and from stakeholders.

We met with entities individually that had questions that we needed to provide clarity on, and they gave us some good feedback that we have already made some finer tuning and some adjustments to the proposed rules that you see before you.

After public comment, if these are approved by the board, we will do the same thing and make the same efforts to make sure that we meet with each of those entities that has concerns or questions or needs clarity regarding SB 15 and privacy.

And that concludes my presentation, and I'm happy to take any questions that you have.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Luna.

Members, any questions for Mr. Luna on this very important issue?

MS. GILLMAN: I have a question. 1 2 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Gillman. 3 MS. GILLMAN: Thank you for your report. 4 have a question just for my education, because I don't 5 Can you give me three people that ask for records 6 under bulk? I just don't know who they are. 7 MR. LUNA: So under bulk it would be entities 8 such as a LexisNexis, those type of entities. So there 9 are companies that provide -- may I, Chairman? 10 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, please, go right ahead. MR. LUNA: There are companies that request 11 current registration information, as well as former 12 13 registration information for vehicles so that they can 14 provide that information to users that pay a subscription 15 fee to access motor vehicle related information. 16 And with those large entities that receive bulk records, with SB 15 they're also required to have a bond 17 and to have general liability insurance for cybersecurity. 18 19 Those are additional requirements for SB 15 to make sure 20 that those recipients of bulk records have the proper 21 provisions in place to prevent wrongdoing. 22 MR. BACARISSE: To my intersection with 2.3 LexisNexis, they are largely a service provider to the 24 legal industry. They have law records, legal precedents,

hearings, all kinds of data regarding the law, both civil

25

and criminal. They probably have other records as well, 1 they're incredibly robust, and they're an international 3 company. I was thinking of like Polk. 4 MS. GILLMAN: 5 MR. LUNA: The majority of our users, Member 6 Gillman, they receive access to a different type of access 7 level, which is MVInet access, which is similar to the 8 dealerships. That's the type of access that's the 9 majority of our users. 10 MS. GILLMAN: And then I was wondering, it says "and not available for resale" but isn't that what they 11 do? 12 1.3 MR. LUNA: So for redisclosure, it's part of 14 the annual reporting. When the information is redisclosed 15 to someone that isn't legally authorized to receive the 16 information, then that person has to enter into their own 17 service agreement with the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. So if the person is legally authorized, then 18 19 they would not require --20 MS. GILLMAN: And if Polk gets it from you guys 21 and then they sells it to me as a subscriber, isn't that 22 reselling it? 2.3 MR. LUNA: Yes. And I do have Erin Dinsmore 24 from our General Counsel's Office, as well as Patricia

Eckert, who is the VTR Operations Section director, that

25

1 have worked very closely on these rules. 2 I can visit with them after this, or they're 3 available now to help with that question, so that I don't 4 misstate the response. 5 MR. BACARISSE: Would you want them to speak on 6 it? 7 MS. GILLMAN: I trust you're doing the right 8 thing; I just was wanting for my education. 9 MR. BACARISSE: That's a good question. 10 Any other questions, members? MR. RAMIREZ: If there's no other questions, 11 I'm going to make a motion. 12 1.3 MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez. 14 MR. RAMIREZ: But before I do, I'd like to add 15 some color. I think we kind of buried the lead. 16 a very meaty rule package with a lot of implications and 17 very, very important to the State of Texas. I mean, I think the privacy protections -- you 18 19 know, we had a lot of issues over the course of the last 20 couple of years with data breaches and privacy concerns of consumers, and so I think this tackles them and makes sure 21 22 that we're protecting that data. 2.3 But for me and from the law enforcement. 24 community perspective, in my mind this rule is almost as 25

important, if not more important, than our work on temp

tags.

1.3

2.3

I think access to real-time information with as little barrier as possible between a state agency, such as the DMV, and our law enforcement officers that are conducting ongoing investigations, and these can be anything from homicides, robberies, sexual assaults, we had barriers in place at the agency.

I know Director Thompson knows we've been discussing this for years on how we can get around to solving this problem, and I think finally we've arrived and where there's going to be a seamless information share with those who are authorized from the law enforcement community.

So I'm very pleased with how this rule kind of rounds out our commitment to cooperate with law enforcement, and I look forward to seeing it adopted.

So with that, I move that the board approve the proposed amended and new sections concerning the disclosure of personal information from the department's motor vehicle records. I also move that the board grant the department the ability to make changes to the proposed sections based on nonsubstantive corrections made in the Texas Register.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Ramirez.

There's a second from Member Gillman?

1	MS. GILLMAN: Yes.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you.
3	Any further discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. BACARISSE: Is there public comment on this
6	item?
7	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
8	No public comment.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing and seeing no further
10	questions or discussion, I will call for the vote on this
11	item, please.
12	Member Alvarado?
13	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
15	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
17	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
19	MS. McRAE: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
21	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
23	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez?
25	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
2	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
3	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
4	aye as well. This is unanimous.
5	Thank you, Roland, appreciate that very much.
6	MR. LUNA: Yes, sir.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Great. We'd now like to move
8	to agenda item number 9, and let me get there.
9	MR. THOMPSON: Chairman, members, Executive
10	Director, good morning. Clint Thompson, deputy director
11	of the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Hey, Clint.
13	MR. THOMPSON: I'll be covering agenda item 9
14	with y'all this morning. It can be found on page 24 of
15	your board book.
16	This is a rule proposal to amend 217.27 related
17	to personalized license plates, and I just want to say it
18	is aptly named; these things are personal.
19	(General laughter.)
20	MR. THOMPSON: Folks love these, which we
21	appreciate, but these are personal to folks. They have
22	selected their own message that they want to display on
23	their plates, so these are important.
24	This doesn't go into data privacy and law
25	enforcement in that regard, but this is definitely a

serious rule. It's not near as meaty as the other rule. I will cover it briefly, but again I just want to say this is very important, because these folks that apply for these messages it means something to them, and also it means something to our staff.

1.3

2.3

Our staff look at these to make sure this message that we're evaluating whether or not it is objectionable in the context of our rules and they work with customers after the fact on the denials.

And these customers want a new plate message and here's why I want this one, can I have this one, there's a lot of work involved in that. And my staff in particular have looked at these rules and said some of this stuff we're denying based on these rules ain't objectionable, and so that's really one of the things that prompted this review and initiated us to bring forward this rule proposal so that y'all can take a look at this and consider, hey, do we need to lessen these restrictions.

And again, working with the public, working with staff, the goal here is to make sure that we do have rules that identify objectionable plate messages, but also those messages that are truly not objectionable, we have the flexibility within our current rules to make sure we can approve those, so starting on page 33, I'll get to the

meat of what we're changing here and the impact.

2.3

So 217.27(d)(2)(A) through (H) is really where the impact is, and starting with letter (A) Indecent, we are still not going to allow indecent plates on the roadway; we're not going to approve those. What we are really focusing on here are those related to sexual content. Blood, sweat and tears, you can't have on a license plate today, those are bodily fluids.

By changing the language here for sexual bodily fluid, that will make sure that we're focusing on those, and again, blood, sweat and tears, are those objectionable in and of themselves? That's something that now would be eligible with this new rule proposal.

- (B) deals with Vulgarity, we just made a minor change here to call it vulgar, we're still not going to allow vulgar words on license plates. Derogatory for (C), same thing, we're not going to allow derogatory messages.
- (D) is one of the bigger changes, and we're really looking forward to making this change potentially and getting public comment on it as well. Today any reference to race, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, whether derogatory or not, is restricted.

BoyMom: can't have it; Boy, gender reference -- and I could go on and on; we have quite a few of them. So we're really glad to be able to offer this to

the board for consideration.

2.3

We are replacing (D), though, with negative commands toward motorists. We've got folks putting MoveOver, Get Out of the Way, SpeedUp on their license plates. Think about when you're on a public roadway and you see this license plate in front of you saying BrakeNow, BackUp, things of that nature, so that's the perspective that we're looking at it from.

- (E) essentially no changes. We're still not going to allow reference to gangs, illegal activities, things of that nature, threats of harm.
- (F) same thing there, drugs, intoxicated states, we're not going to allow those on license plates.
- (G) is another big change that we're interested in moving forward. Today you cannot have USMC for United States Marine Corps on a Marine Corps plate. The rule specifically bars reference to a representation of the military. We're fixing that here.

The restriction would be -- and the same is true for any other branch of service, USAF, US Navy. The change here is a direct reference to law enforcement so that someone doesn't try to take a message and portray themselves as law enforcement or a direct reference to a government position.

Further, in 217.27(f) relative to appeals, it's

just clarification today that says we have 30 days once they submit the appeal, and now it's 30 days from when we receive it. Cleanup.

2.3

And then 217.27(h) is a larger change, not so much a large change but just impactful. If someone is denied a message that they apply for, we're going to automatically initiate a refund. We end up developing long term relationships with customers today, because they can select a new plate and that one gets denied, and they select a new plate and that one gets denied, and their money is tied up during this entire process.

So we thought it would be more beneficial to go ahead and issue a refund, get their money back to them, let them make a new decision on a plate, and reapply with us.

So I recommend approval to publish these for public comment, and I'm glad to answer any questions if I'm able.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Thompson, appreciate that very much.

Members, any questions on this item?

MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman?

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Graham.

MR. GRAHAM: I really just want to say I appreciate these revisions and these changes. You know,

1 it was a problem, and I'm telling you I have had my head ripped off several times by legislators over what was 3 perceived as our heavy-handed perception of what should or 4 shouldn't be on a plate, when people from the community 5 had their plates revoked and went to the legislator. 6 telling you, it's been pretty tough. So hopefully this 7 will alleviate that, and everybody will be a little 8 happier and we'll be in a better place. 9 So thanks for your work on this, glad to see 10 it. Yes, sir. 11 MR. THOMPSON: 12 Members, any other MR. BACARISSE: Good. 13 questions, comments? 14 MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Chairman, I have a motion. 15 MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman has a motion. 16 MS. GILLMAN: I move that the board approve the 17 proposed amendments to Section 217.27 concerning 18 personalized license plates, as recommended by staff. 19 I also move that the board grant the department the 20 ability to make changes to the proposed section based on 21 nonsubstantive corrections made by the Texas Register. 22 MR. BACARISSE: And is there a second for this 2.3 motion? 24 MS. McRAE: I'll second. 25 MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae seconds.

1	Members, any other discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. BACARISSE: Is there any public comment on
4	this item?
5	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
6	No public comment.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
8	Any further discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, I will call for a
11	vote, please.
12	Member Alvarado?
13	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
15	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
17	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
19	MS. McRAE: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
21	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
23	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez?
25	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott? 1 2 MR. SCOTT: Aye. 3 MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote 4 aye as well. It's unanimous. Thank you. 5 MR. THOMPSON: Thank y'all very much. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Clint. 7 We'll now move to specialty plate designs, item number 10. 8 9 MR. LUNA: Hello again, Chairman Bacarisse. 10 Roland Luna, Vehicle Titles and Registration Division 11 director. 12 The action item before is a request from 13 Vehicle Titles and Registration Division for board 14 approval or denial, in accordance with your statutory 15 authority, a specialty plate design submitted for your consideration. It can be found on page 37. The plate 16 17 design is also displayed here to your right and behind Member Graham. 18 MR. GRAHAM: Oh, I've seen it. 19 20 (General laughter.) 21 MR. LUNA: This is a proposed plate design from 22 My Plates, the state's specialty plate license plate 2.3 marketing vendor, and the design is the Texas A&M mascot, 24 which is a redesign from the existing plate under

Transportation Code 504.851. This was posted on our

25

website for eView, electronic view, and 949 people liked the design and 274 did not.

2.3

This concludes my presentation of this action item, and I'll take any questions that you have.

MR. BACARISSE: I just have a question, Mr. Luna. My memory is not the best, but it seems to me that we've seen more than one or two A&M requests.

Would you just walk me, for my educational purposes, walk me through the process for requesting a new plate design from an entity that already has some plates with us? Can you any number of them, or how does it work?

MR. LUNA: So with Texas A&M, in particular, they have multiple plates. The Texas A&M mascot is one plate, they have a classic plate as well. They have three or four different plates, so working with the vendor, My Plates, they can redesign any existing plate as many times as they would like as long as they pay the administrative fee associated with that.

Along with that, they still are required, by December 15 of each year, to ensure that the 200 plate minimum is being met annually, and we have a number of staff members that work with My Plates to monitor the volume to ensure that they are at the 200 plate threshold. A&M has not had any difficulty with their 200 plate minimums.

MR. BACARISSE: Right. Fascinating. It's all 1 2 in the marketing, something for everybody. Right? 3 MR. LUNA: Yes, sir. 4 MR. BACARISSE: Aggie Nation. 5 Members, any other questions or comments? 6 MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman? MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham. 7 8 MR. GRAHAM: I just need the board to know that I will not be able to support this change; I'm voting 9 10 against it. It is not due to the fact that I am an alma mater of a university down in the Waco area, with multiple 11 12 national championships in the last decade' it has nothing 1.3 to do with that. 14 (General laughter.) 15 MR. BACARISSE: Nothing to do with that, no. 16 MR. GRAHAM: What it does have to do is that I 17 have a multitude of in-laws who are so staunchly against it, I'm not sure I could return to Galveston, Texas, 18 19 should I not vote against this. 20 MR. BACARISSE: They being Aggies. Right? 21 It is a long line multi-generation MR. GRAHAM: 22 of Aggies, and they do not approve of this, so I'm just 2.3 going on the record. 24 MR. BACARISSE: We know how you're going to 25 vote.

1	MR. GRAHAM: Yes, that's correct. Just want to
2	make my stand.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. I appreciate your
4	comments.
5	Members, any other comments?
6	MS. OMUMU: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a
7	motion, please.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu, please.
9	MS. OMUMU: I move that the board approve the
10	Texas A&M specialty plate redesign, as presented by staff.
11	MR. BACARISSE: And is there a second for this
12	motion?
13	MR. GRAHAM: I cannot second.
14	(General laughter.)
15	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
16	MS. McRAE: My husband is an Aggie; I'll
17	proudly second it.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Great. Any further discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, hearing none, no
21	public comment on this?
22	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
23	No public comment.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
25	Okay. I'll take a vote.

1	Member Alvarado?
2	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
4	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
6	MR. GRAHAM: Nay.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Got that.
8	Member McRae?
9	MS. McRAE: Aye.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
11	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
13	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez?
15	MR. RAMIREZ: In solidarity with Member Graham,
16	nay.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Oh, okay.
18	Member Scott?
19	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
21	aye as well. Okay. So let the record reflect that there
22	are two votes no and seven votes for, and so the motion
23	does pass, but the objections are duly noted.
24	MR. LUNA: Thank you.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Luna.

All right. Now to a really serious topic, the chairman of F&A, Finance and Audit, Member Graham and his committee did great work on this, agenda item 11 is our Finance and Audit Committee update.

So I want to give you the floor, Chairman Graham.

2.3

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Chairman Bacarisse, Executive Director Avitia, and members.

Yesterday, Members McRae, Prewitt, Omumu of the Finance and Audit Committee joined me in discussing finance and audit items.

Included in that discussion was agenda item

11.A, which was the strategic plan update. Glenna Bowman

provided an update. As part of the TxDMV strategic plan

for fiscal year 2023 through 2027, staff requested changes

to the department's budget structure and performance

measures. All of the requested changes were approved by

the Legislative Budget Board and the Office of the

Governor.

Staff also updated the department's goals and action plans for the report. Since the board voted to discontinue the balanced scorecard initiative in its April 14 meeting, staff simplified the structure so that the components match the TxDMV goals, objectives and strategies in the General Appropriations Act.

1 The plan also includes several other required 2 elements, including the department's of redundancies and impediments, workforce plan, and customer satisfaction 3 4 report. The complete strategic plan was submitted to the 5 Legislative Budget Board and the Office of the Governor on 6 June 1, 2022. The published report is included in your materials 7 8 In regards to agenda item 11.A, Ms. Bowman is 9 here to answer any questions you may have regarding these 10 items. 11 MR. BACARISSE: Great. Members, are there any questions of Chairman Graham or Ms. Bowman on 11.A? 12 1.3 (No response.) 14 MR. BACARISSE: No? Okay. Thank you. 15 MR. GRAHAM: Moving on. 16 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir, 11.B. 17 MR. GRAHAM: Also included in the committee 18 meeting, we covered agenda item 11.B, which was the 19 preliminary fiscal year 2024-2025 legislative 20 appropriations request, which I will refer in this document as LAR. 21 22 Glenna Bowman and John Ralston provided an 2.3 update on the department's appropriations request. 24 department's biennial LAR will be submitted to the Texas

Legislature when it convenes in regular session in January

25

of next year.

1.3

2.3

The LAR will request funding for fiscal year 2024-2025 biennium which begins September 1, 2023 and ends August 31, 2025.

The LAR includes two components: baseline funding, which is the funding level needed to maintain existing operations and capital projects, plus adjustments for essential operational needs that can be supported by revenues and the available balances with the TxDMV Fund 0010; and the second item, which are exceptional items which must include general revenue funded requests that exceed the baseline limit established by the Legislative Budget Board and may include other items that promote transparency and provide visibility with the legislature into the department's high priority needs.

The LAR also includes requests for additional staffing, or FTEs, the maximum salary allowed for the executive director, capital budget authority, and updates and amendments to appropriation riders.

Staff is proposing to submit for this cycle four exceptional items. Those four items are: the first, which is \$5.5 million for Registration and Titling System replacement planning and design. This is Phase 1 of a two-part process to study the entire RTS ecosystem to determine how to approach the replacement of this legacy

system and its many interferences -- interfaces to related systems and components.

2.3

MR. BACARISSE: And interferences too.

(General laughter.)

MR. GRAHAM: Well, it's a lot of things on a lot of levels. This legacy system has been in use for nearly three decades, I think is what was the testimony yesterday, and it will be a significant project.

There are many reasons to get to work on this, one being that it will take many years to achieve this project, and we're not doing ourselves any favors when it comes to security of a legacy system. So it is time to request \$5.5 million for the RTS planning and design replacement.

The second item is to expand regional service center presence in Houston and Dallas. The Houston and Dallas regional service centers are expected to outgrow their current facilities by 2028. In anticipation of future growth and to better serve our customers, TxDMV staff recommend opening a new RSC substation in both cities, Houston and Dallas.

I'll go ahead and say that this was something of a recurring theme in our discussions of these appropriations requests and generally or broadly the approach of the DMV currently, which is with the growth

going on in this state, with all of the challenges that we have to make sure that we're not only just taking care of our customer, the taxpayer, but also making sure that we're protecting the public.

2.3

This is an aggressive request in FTEs and in dollars, but again, the recurring theme is we can't get behind, we cannot afford to be behind the curve when it comes to these services, because when we do, the public pays a price.

So again, the opening of substations in Houston and Dallas is one of the exceptional items that is included in that.

The third is \$14.2 million request to appropriate the full 20 percent of revenues collected under Transportation Code Section 1006.153(e)(1) to the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority, as required by statute.

Clearly we continue to have issues in the state when it comes to not just crime, not just burglary or stealing cars, pieces of cars, catalytic converters, tags. We believe this is the time to go ahead and take those appropriations as required by statute. So that is number three.

And number four is funding authority for an estimated \$100 million for Phase 2 of the Camp Hubbard

renewal project, which includes a new office building and parking garage, site work and demolition of Building 5, renovations to Building 6, and upgrades to the central utility plant.

2.3

It's important to note the cost estimate for this project is a placeholder until additional planning and analysis is completed by the department's contracted vendor, TxDMV management and the Texas Facilities

Commission.

And I'll add to that that there was a lot of discussion on this item, and I think the most important thing is that there was already a lot of consideration has been given to the changing workforce post-COVID, and that's been accounted for in the reduction of size, the changes in office areas.

So I know that's always a question on everyone's mind right now when you're talking about spending a lot of money on facilities, have we taken those things into account. Much of that has already been done more currently.

So with those being the four requests, the LAR will also propose the continuation of riders that currently exist in the fiscal year 2022-2023 budget, with changes to dates, amounts, and naming conventions.

In addition, the new rider will be requested to

authorize the appropriation of all MVCPA fees as authorized by statute.

2.3

Also, at the Finance and Audit Committee meeting yesterday, the committee voted to recommend to the board to direct staff to prepare the fiscal year 24-25 legislative appropriations request to include the LAR items presented by staff and in our reports and schedules required by the Legislative Budget Board in its LAR instructions, subject to any technical corrections that are approved by the department's chief financial officer and executive director to comply with the instructions from the Legislative Budget Board.

Okay. Regarding that item, Ms. Bowman and Mr. Ralston are here and will be glad to answer any questions, along with myself, you may have.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Chairman Graham.

That was an incredibly substantive committee meeting, and lots of important work being done there by you and the committee, so thank you for that.

Members, are there any questions on this information that Chairman Graham has shared with us here?

MS. GILLMAN: I have a comment.

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Gillman.

MS. GILLMAN: Just that I, too, am interested in and want to learn about the expectation of physical

presence and attendance for not only the Camp Hubbard project but also the expanded regional service centers in Houston and Dallas.

I wholeheartedly agree that the population in Texas is growing and that we should plan for huge growth in services that we need to provide with the DMV. I hope to sell a whole lot of cars, I'm excited about it.

I continue to be baffled by the number \$100 million, but I heard you say it's a placeholder, and I look forward to hearing the plans and the preparation for the growth in Texas, and I'd love to have a report either from an architect or a contractor or from Daniel kind of talk us through that, but when it's appropriate.

MR. BACARISSE: That's a great point, Member Graham.

Mr. Avitia.

2.3

MR. AVITIA: Chairman Bacarisse and Chairman Graham, thank you both. Chairman Graham, first for chairing the committee and helping us with this conversation.

To answer your question, Member Gillman, we absolutely will keep this board informed about every step of this process. It's so important that we do that for transparency and so that you can help us move through this process as well. We realize as an agency that this is a

1 significant ask, and we don't make this ask lightly. 2 Please keep in mind, as you know, we are 3 currently in the very beginning phases of working with an 4 architect and engineer. We've had maybe two meetings so 5 The next step is what they call a visioning process, 6 where we start putting some of the pieces together, and so 7 after we have our visioning meeting with the architect and engineering firm -- Marmon-Mok, if you will -- we will 8 9 bring that information back to the board and completely 10 share every bit of that information, so we have your input as well, as we move forward with this new project. 11 12 MS. GILLMAN: Thank you. 13 MR. AVITIA: Thank you for the question. 14 MR. BACARISSE: Great. Members, any other 15 questions for Member Graham? 16 Yes, Member Scott. 17 MR. SCOTT: We've got a half a million dollars, I think it was, for a study for RTS. 18 19 MR. GRAHAM: \$5.5 million. 20 MR. SCOTT: For the study for the RTS. million for the RTS? 21 22 MR. BACARISSE: Just for the study. 2.3 MR. GRAHAM: Am I correct, \$5.5 million for the 24 study? 25 MR. AVITIA: That's correct, yes.

1	MR. SCOTT: I thought we had \$5.5- for the
2	building.
3	MR. BACARISSE: No. It will take a lot more
4	than that to totally rebuild RTS, but we first need to map
5	it.
6	MR. GRAHAM: There's a placeholder for the
7	building project at \$100 million.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Right. That's the physical
9	building, yes.
10	MR. SCOTT: I'm talking about the study for
11	RTS.
12	MR. GRAHAM: Yes. That is the first of four
13	exceptional items, and it is a request for \$5.5 million
14	for Phase 1 of a two-part process to study the entire RTS
15	system.
16	MR. SCOTT: Well, a follow-up question to that
17	is is there some anticipation as to when we'll be able to
18	overhaul or fix the RTS, put new RTS in?
19	MR. GRAHAM: I don't know what that timeline
20	is.
21	MR. AVITIA: That is the \$70 million question,
22	yes, sir. Member Scott, thank you for the question.
23	So as Chairman Graham laid out, the first phase
24	is making sure that we complete this study and this study
25	will incorporate help from not just the vendor but

discussion with our TAC partners, with our RSC users throughout the state, so that we can identify all of the components, if you will, to the current system, all of the systems that integrate into RTS.

1.3

2.3

RTS is the agency's largest and most robust system that we currently operate. So that is the first phase and the first ask of this legislative session is to provide us with the authority to spend our money to be able to fund the study.

Second phase is next session in which we will then go, after we've studied everything and we have a good concept of what replacement costs might be for the agency, would be going into the next session -- not this coming session but the following -- to ask for the funding, again capital authority for us to spend funding within the agency then to engage vendors to replace RTS.

Does that answer your question?

MR. SCOTT: Yes. Thank you.

MR. AVITIA: Very good. Thank you.

MR. SCOTT: Another question.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott.

MR. SCOTT: When we're looking at the new Camp Hubbard \$100 million, we haven't had an update, I guess, on where are we on the mandate that DMV take over drivers' license?

1	MR. AVITIA: I can answer that.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Hopefully we buried it, but
3	yeah, go ahead.
4	(General laughter.)
5	MR. AVITIA: Correct. Member Scott, there is
6	no mandate at present for the TxDMV to take over drivers'
7	licensing.
8	MR. SCOTT: I thought there was going to be a
9	study.
10	MR. AVITIA: There was a study conducted last
11	session, if I'm not mistaken, and the recommendation that
12	came out of that study was not for the DMV, in fact, to
13	take over drivers' licensing but that a new entity should
14	be created to oversee drivers' licensing. At current
15	there is no discussion; at least I know I have not been
16	engaged to discuss drivers' licensing.
17	MR. SCOTT: The last I heard they were doing a
18	study, but I had never heard the result of it.
19	MR. AVITIA: Correct. Thank you, sir.
20	MR. SCOTT: So we don't need \$100 million.
21	MR. GRAHAM: We're not worrying about that one
22	right now.
23	MR. BACARISSE: Thankfully, yes. Good.
24	All right. Any other questions, members?
25	Member Ramirez.

MR. RAMIREZ: I want to thank staff for the 1 2 briefing prior to the meeting going over these questions, 3 I think we all have very similar questions. And thank 4 you, Chairman Graham, for your work in keeping us in the 5 right direction here. 6 I think it is important to note that isn't DMV, 7 if I'm not mistaken, the only self-funded agency? 8 MR. BACARISSE: It's not the only one but it's 9 one of the bigger ones. 10 MR. RAMIREZ: One of the biggest self-funded, so whenever we're making these decisions, you know, we're 11 12 not asking for additional tax revenue from citizens, it 13 really is to expand service offerings and make sure that 14 we're providing efficient service. 15 And the RTS project is going to be a monster, 16 but it's a very important one. I think we've kicked the 17 can long enough, and if someone doesn't stand up and say we've got to take action, then no one else will. 18 19 supportive of your recommendations, Chairman. 20 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. Another interesting 21 point that came out of yesterday's meeting, Glenna had 22 mentioned that I think the budget had been relatively flat 2.3 since 2016. 24 MR. AVITIA: 2016.

MR. GRAHAM: And so, you know, we've done a

25

1	great job. Well, it's always a double-edged sword.
2	Right? You work hard to be good stewards of taxpayer
3	dollars, but at some point the snowball kind of catches up
4	to you and you've got to start reinvesting, and we're sort
5	of at that point right now, we believe.
6	Okay. Are you ready for me to march along?
7	MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions?
8	Member Prewitt?
9	MR. PREWITT: I was ready to submit a motion,
10	Mr. Chairman, if you feel it's appropriate.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Well, do you have some more to
12	share with us?
13	MR. GRAHAM: I'm not finished.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Chair, go ahead.
15	Hold that thought, Member Prewitt.
16	MR. GRAHAM: I'm almost at the halfway point.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Go, go.
18	MR. GRAHAM: Okay. Also discussed at the
19	meeting was item 11.C. Texas Comptroller of Public
20	Accounts post-payment audit of the TxDMV. Eric Horn
21	provided an update of the Texas Comptroller of Public
22	Accounts post-payment audit.
23	The TxDMV was selected for a routine post-
24	payment audit by the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
25	under the authority granted in Texas Government Code

403.011. The audit covered the period beginning December 1, 2019 through November 30, 2020. The final report was published on April 7, 2022.

2.3

The audit results showed that the TxDMV largely complied with the General Appropriations Act, relevant statutes, and Comptroller requirements. TxDMV was fully compliant in five of the eight areas audited: payroll, travel, grants, refunds of revenue, and fixed asset transactions.

Three of the eight areas audited had findings related to reporting to the vendor performance tracking system, strengthening security access to systems for employees promoted internally so that they do not retain their hold security access once they are granted new access, and notifying the Comptroller's Office to remove security access for separated employees no later than the separated employee's last day of employment.

All audit recommendations have been fully implemented, and I'll just add that this overall was a very, very good audit conclusion, and the three items that were listed were relatively minor and have already been taken care of and implemented.

So with that, I'll see if we have any questions before I move to 11.D.

MR. BACARISSE: Any questions?

1	MR. PREWITT: Mr. Chairman, the question I have
2	is that item B is an action item, the others are briefing
3	items, we just finished item C, and I would ask that we go
4	back to item B, since it is an action item, and vote on it
5	as a board.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Very good. Good point. Thank
7	you, Member Prewitt.
8	I would entertain a motion if there aren't
9	any other questions on item B, I would entertain a motion
10	on that item.
11	MR. PREWITT: I'd like to propose that motion,
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Sure. Go ahead.
14	MR. PREWITT: I move that the board direct the
15	staff to prepare the FY 2024-2025 legislative
16	appropriations request, to include the LAR items presented
17	by staff and all reports and schedules required by the
18	Legislative Budget Board in its LAR instructions, subject
19	to any technical corrections that are approved by the
20	department's chief financial officer and the executive
21	director to comply with instructions from the Legislative
22	Budget Board.
23	MR. BACARISSE: And is there a second for this
24	motion?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. OMUMU: I second, Mr. Chairman.

25

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
2	All right. I do have a motion and a second.
3	Any other questions? Is there public comment on this
4	item, Aline?
5	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
6	No public comment.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Great. Then I would call for
8	the vote.
9	Member Alvarado?
10	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman is absent at the
12	moment.
13	Member Graham?
14	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
16	MS. McRAE: Aye.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
18	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
20	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez?
22	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
23	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
24	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
25	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote

aye as well. It's unanimous. Thank you. There are eight votes for it. Thank you.

How fast can you go?

1.3

2.3

MR. GRAHAM: I'm going fast.

MR. BACARISSE: You go.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Member Prewitt. I was kind of trying to truck along, and I rolled right by that.

Item D was the Internal Audit Division status update. We were updated on a number of things, fraud pulse survey, dealer licensing audit. I will condense this part of the report to the board and focus on the dealer licensing audit, which was the audit that the board requested back in October at the first big issue of tags.

The audit covered the time period of September 2020 to October 2021. During this time frame the audit found strengths and weaknesses, but I would broadly say the audit found that the department in places did not consistently detect deficient application materials or consider other components, to include a review.

Also, the review process alone is not enough to identify potential bad actors. Continuous monitoring with preventative and detective controls is needed. There were a total of four recommendations that were rated high. The department has either acted on or is currently taking action to resolve many of these issues identified in this

audit.

2.3

I know you probably do not read every audit that comes through the audit department, but this is one that I encourage each of you to go back and read it in its entirety. It is very interesting, it's very relevant, and it is critical for our path forward as an agency when it comes to licensing GDN holders.

MR. BACARISSE: And remind me of the dates of that audit that they looked at.

MR. GRAHAM: It was September of 2020 to October of 2021.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.

MR. GRAHAM: I will tell you that one might quickly conclude that what is the relevance of that date range with all of the drastic changes that have occurred in the last six months.

The relevance of that date range was that we were able to take GDN holders who have now been suspended, go look at their applications, and figure out what we did wrong, what did we miss, what did we not scrutinize, and that gave us a lot of great information in building the -- I say us, the audit team -- a lot of bullets, so to speak, to build recommendations on paths forward. So that information was really critical.

Also in that audit was an analysis of current

GDN holders who are printing tags in excess of five per 1 vehicle sold, 10, 20, 50, and so what that has allowed us 3 to see is a snapshot of what we would now consider 4 midrange abusers. 5 They may only be printing 500 or 100 or 50 6 fraudulent tags, but now we're down to the point where we 7 can see that scope. And so I know time is short; I 8 encourage you to read that audit, and I imagine there will 9 be ongoing work on this moving forward in the coming

Shout out to Jason. You remember Jason

Gonzalez got this thrown at him when we were right in the middle of our transition from -- Sandra had left, and we were looking for a new auditor, and he picked up the ball.

He and his team did an incredible job, then

Salem came in and just threw the icing on the cake, and we

came out with a really, really good audit, and it's going

to be very, very beneficial to this agency for continuing

its work on fraud.

MR. BACARISSE: Very true.

MR. GRAHAM: I will stop there and take any questions you may have.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.

Members, any questions?

(No response.)

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

months.

MR. BACARISSE: This is very substantive.

1.3

2.3

MR. RAMIREZ: A comment from me. I agree with you. I think it's very advantageous to have the data on a back look looking at the GDN holders that have been suspended and why we've done that.

At the outset I don't think anybody saw that as an internalized function to look at that business unit and see if it's operating correctly, but they took the project, and the most illuminating thing for me was as we discovered problems, we were already implementing fixes, and that's a credit to the Executive Director's Office and the entire staff. And the wheels we had in motion with legislative fixes were already relevant. So it was illuminating and very good for me to see the audit and look it over.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Member Ramirez.

I actually, towards the latter stage of the audit, sent the data on abusers, mid-level abusers, I requested a refresh of that from like April and May, and by the time I got that back -- which was not very long -- they had already taken -- it had identified some more issues with GDN holders, and they had already run with that before I even got the report back. So you're exactly right. They're aggressively working to shut down trouble when they see it.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Good.
2	MR. GRAHAM: That is my report, Mr. Chairman.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Chairman Graham, thank you and
4	your committee for the great work, and kudos to our audit
5	team, absolutely. Jason, Salem, thank you.
6	Aline, any comments on this area?
7	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
8	No public comment on agenda item 11.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Okay, great.
10	Do we have any other public comment generally?
11	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
12	No public comment on agenda item 14 titled
13	Public Comment.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Okay, great.
15	At this point then we have no other general
16	public comments so I'm going to move us into executive
17	session at this time. That is agenda item number 12. It
18	is now 11:20 a.m. on June 30, 2022. We'll go into closed
19	session under Texas Government Code Section 551.071,
20	551.074, 551.076, and 551.089.
21	For those of you in the audience, I anticipate
22	being in this closed session for approximately one hour,
23	and we'll reconvene in open session after that.
24	
	With that, we are now recessed from the public

1	(Whereupon, at 11:20 a.m., the meeting was
2	recessed, to reconvene this same day, Thursday, June 30,
3	2022, following conclusion of the closed session.)
4	MR. BACARISSE: It's 12:39 in the afternoon
5	right now and we have returned from executive session.
6	The Board of the DMV is back now in open session.
7	No action items were taken up from the closed
8	session, and we will now move to agenda item 15,
9	Adjournment. Unless there's any other business and no
10	other public comment has been registered I would like
11	to entertain a motion to adjourn.
12	MR. GRAHAM: So moved.
13	MR. BACARISSE: We have a move from Member
14	Graham.
15	MS. GILLMAN: Second.
16	MR. BACARISSE: And Gillman is the second.
17	Member Alvarado?
18	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Gillman?
20	Ms. GILLMAN: Aye.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Graham?
22	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
23	MR. BACARISSE: McRae?
24	MS. McRAE: Aye.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Omumu?

1	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Prewitt?
3	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Ramirez?
5	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Scott?
7	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
8	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
9	aye too. It's unanimous. We are adjourned at 12:40
10	it's still 12:39. The meeting is adjourned.
11	(Whereupon, at 12:39 p.m., the meeting was
12	adjourned.)

Austin, Texas

DATE: June 30, 2022

LOCATION:

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 119, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: July 12, 2022

/s/ Nancy H. King (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., #515 Austin, Texas 78752