TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

PROJECTS AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

William B. Travis Building 1701 N. Congress Avenue Room 1.111 Austin, Texas 78701

> Wednesday, April 13, 2022

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

John Prewitt, Chair Christian Alvarado Stacey Gillman Tammy McRae Paul Scott (absent)

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM PAGE			AGE	
1.	Roll	Call	and Establishment of Quorum	6
2.	Pled	ges o	f Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	7
3.		r, Cor	and Announcements from Committee n mmittee Members, and Executive	one
BRIE	FING 2	AND A	CTION ITEMS	
4.			tion and Possible Recommendation for the Full Board and Briefings:	
	Α.		unts Receivable Study EFING ONLY)	7
	В.		quarters Building Project EFING ONLY)	11
	С.		ve Agency Projects Update EFING ONLY)	21
		1.	Statewide webDEALER Adoption (SWA) Phase II	22
		2.	webSALVAGE	23
		3.	Registration and Title System (RTS) Projects I. Tax Assessor-Collectors (TAC) T1	24
		4.	Digital License Plates (DLP)	27
		5.	Call Center Upgrade Phase II	28
		6.	Texas International Registration Plan (TxIRP) Upgrade	28
		7.	Texas by Texas (TxT)	29
		8.	Motor Carrier Credentialing System (MCCS) Rewrite	31

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 D. Replace Balanced Scorecard with Key 36
Performance Indicators for the Department's
Performance Measurement System
(ACTION ITEM)

CLOSED SESSION

5. The Committee may enter into closed session none under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code Chapter 551:

Section 551.071 Section 551.074 Section 551.076 Section 551.089 Section 551.089

- 6. Action Items from Closed Session
- 7. Public Comment none
- 8. Adjournment 39

2.3

PROCEEDINGS

MR. PREWITT: Good afternoon. My name is John Prewitt, and I'm pleased to open the Projects and Operations Committee meeting of the Board of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

It is now two o'clock p.m. Central Standard

Time, and I'm calling the Board meeting for April 13, 2022

to order. I want to note for the record that the public

notice of this meeting, containing all items on the

agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on

April 5, 2022.

I want to welcome those who are with us today for today's committee meeting. Face coverings and social distancing are not required at this meeting, and each individual attending the meeting as a right and responsibility to decide for themselves based upon their personal circumstances. It is your choice, and you're welcome here at this meeting of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in a silent mode, and please, as a courtesy to others, do not carry on side conversations or other activities in the meeting room.

If you do wish to address the board or speak on

an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker's sheet at the registration table or send an email to GCO_General@TxDMV.gov. Please identify in your email the specific item you're interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

1.3

2.3

In accordance with department administrative rule, comments to the board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has been provided. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow for one minute, and then red when your time is over.

Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers, and comments should be pertinent to the issue on the comment sheet. When addressing the board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

There are few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record: please identify yourself before speaking: speak clearly, which I'm currently trying to do; do not speak over others; and please ask the chairman to proceed and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I would also like to thank our court reporter who is transcribing this meeting.

1.3

2.3

To make sure we have an accurate recording of this meeting, it is very important that board members and anyone presenting today identify themselves before speaking and speak clearly and slowly.

Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our board meetings. In the department's rule Section 206.22, the board chair is given authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting or is otherwise violating the timing or presentation rules I just discussed.

The posted agenda stated that a quorum of the board may be present at this meeting; however, board members who are not members of the Projects and Operations Committee will not vote on any committee agenda item today, nor will any board action be taken.

I see that there are no other board members outside the committee present, so we'll proceed and see if we can have a roll call and establishment of a quorum.

I'd like to have a roll call of the board members. Please respond verbally when I call your name.

Member Alvarado?

1	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
2	MR. PREWITT: Member Gillman?
3	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
4	MR. PREWITT: Member McRae?
5	MS. McRAE: Aye.
6	MR. PREWITT: And let the record reflect that
7	I, John Prewitt, am here as well; we have a quorum. Also
8	let the record reflect that Member Scott is absent today.
9	The next agenda item is the pledge of
10	allegiance to both the United States and Texas. I would
11	ask Member Alvarado to lead us in the pledge to the United
12	States, and Member Gillman for the pledge to Texas.
13	(Pledges of Allegiance were recited.)
14	MR. PREWITT: Thank you.
15	Under agenda item 3. Comments and announcements
16	from the committee chair, members, or executive director,
17	we do not have any comments or announcements today, so
18	we're not taking up agenda item 3, so we will move to
19	agenda item 4.
20	On the first part of that, agenda 4.A, we'll
21	hear from Glenna Bowman and Eric Horn on this agenda item
22	4.A.
23	MS. BOWMAN: Good afternoon, Chairman Prewitt
24	and members. For the record, my name is Glenna Bowman.
25	I'm the chief financial officer for the Texas Department

of Motor Vehicles.

1.3

2.3

Today I have with me Eric Horn. He's our director of accounting operations. He'll be available to help answer questions if you drill down into a lot of detail.

As you know, the department received \$3.5 million in supplemental appropriations in HB 2 during the 87th Legislative Session for the deployment of an accounts receivable system to ensure revenue collections are tracked accurately and consistently across the department.

This is to more allow for better tracking of accounts receivable balances and improve the ability to monitor and conduct accounts receivable activities. We anticipate the new system will include an accounts receivable aging schedule, an executive level dashboard that includes the use of metrics and performance indicators.

TxDMV contracted with Gartner, Inc. on February 5, 2022, to complete the first phase of the project, which is a study of the current decentralized process and to determine recommendations for available software that will best fit the department's needs. Finance staff are meeting weekly with Gartner to provide information, receive updates and keep the project on schedule.

The study will be completed no later than June

30, 2022. The initial phase includes documentation gathering and interviews with each of the program areas throughout the department that have an accounts receivable function.

1.3

2.3

These meetings have been completed, as well as a first draft of all current process diagrams. Gartner is now in the process of conducting peer outreach sessions with similar Texas state agencies and DMVs from California and Florida.

These sessions will help Gartner make recommendations to improve current TxDMV processes and recommend future processes, including whether they remain decentralized with the program areas, move to a centralized process within Finance and Administrative Services, or change to a hybrid process.

The process recommendations are due by May 1 of this year; a second report is due by June 30 that will include software recommendations and a plan to integrate the new software with recommended processes. And this study then will drive implementation efforts in FY 2023.

That concludes my formal remarks, and we're happy to answer any questions.

MR. PREWITT: Are there any questions from the committee members for staff?

MS. GILLMAN: I've got a question. Does the

1	company that owns the software today have a training
2	program?
3	MS. BOWMAN: Well, I am sure that will be part
4	of our requirements. We haven't actually selected the
5	vendor that we're working with right now is only doing the
6	study.
7	MS. GILLMAN: Oh, I see.
8	MS. BOWMAN: So we're not quite there yet, but
9	we will definitely have training incorporated into the
10	plan.
11	MS. GILLMAN: So this study will then lead to a
12	recommended software to purchase?
13	MS. BOWMAN: Yes, one or more. There may be
14	more than one recommendation.
15	MS. GILLMAN: Okay. Excellent. We're excited
16	for you.
17	MS. BOWMAN: Yes. We're excited too.
18	MS. GILLMAN: Good.
19	MR. PREWITT: Any other questions?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. PREWITT: Are there any public comments for
22	this agenda item?
23	Ms. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
24	No public comments on this item.
25	MR. PREWITT: Thank you, Aline.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

If there are on other comments from the public, then I would like to move to the next agenda item, which is 4.B. Headquarters building project, which we'll also be hearing from Glenna, as well as Ann Pierce.

2.3

MS. BOWMAN: Thank you, Chairman. Again for the record, I'm Glenna Bowman, chief financial officer for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. And with me is Ann Pierce, our director of Administrative Services, who is a wealth of information, so if you've got detailed questions, she will help answer those questions.

My prepared remarks are a little longer, so if you have questions as I'm going, feel free.

As discussed in the last Projects and Operations Committee meeting that was held in December, the supplemental appropriations bill again appropriated \$6.2 million to TxDMV to fund planning and design of a new headquarters facility at the Camp Hubbard campus.

The planning and design, which is also referred to as Phase 1, has six components. First there's a site plan for the Camp Hubbard campus property which will include property division and analysis to split the property out properly between the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles and the Department of Banking.

There's a parking study to determine both current and potential future needs. There will be

renovation plans for Building 6 to accommodate staff and equipment relocation. There will be demolition plans for Building 5. There will be a design of a replacement building on the footprint of Building 5 where it sits right now, and improvements to Building 7, which is the campus central utility plant for the central chiller plants, if you will.

2.3

We have been involved in a number of activities recently. We held a meeting with the Department of Banking, since they are taking part of the property at Camp Hubbard. We have been meeting with them or speaking with them, and we had an introductory meeting so that both agencies agreed that where we can find opportunities to work together and develop some synergy as we move forward with the design planning and construction that we will do that.

Both the Department of Banking and TxDMV are working with the Texas Facilities Commission and actually have the same TFC project manager, so that provides us some opportunities.

TxDMV executed an interagency contract with TFC in January, followed by a kickoff meeting to discuss the project at a very high level. On January 12 of this year, TFC sent a request for quote to over 1300 vendors for architectural and engineering services, with a submittal

deadline of February 15.

1.3

2.3

January, on the 25th and 26th. A total of 41 vendors attended. TFC received twelve quotes and, with input from TxDMV, narrowed down the list to the top three. TFC and TxDMV staff interviewed each of the three finalists on March 8 and selected a vendor to provide the requested services, or at least our recommendation.

TFC now will still have to go present the final selected architectural and engineering candidate at their next commission meeting, which is, I believe, next week on April 21, and they will request approval to negotiate a contract and move forward with these services.

Once the selected candidate is formally approved and the contract is in place, the selected candidate will host a kickoff meeting, and we anticipate that to be in May.

TFC has already engaged site surface and subsurface utility review services, and TxDMV is conducting site visits to other properties to review design elements for consideration as we move forward.

TxDMV has also already scheduled meetings with various trade and technical experts from TxDMV and the Facilities Commission to assist in refining a document called The Owner Project Requirements. This outlines the

component systems to be included in the new building, so 1 2 for example, we've met with mechanical, electrical and 3 plumbing trade people, we've looked at IT-related items, 4 we recently had a meeting on fire protection and related 5 matters, and we anticipate having a meeting soon on 6 architectural issues. 7 We will also be holding meetings in the very 8 9 an opportunity to participate and share their needs and 10

near future with each of our TxDMV divisions so they have an opportunity to participate and share their needs and desires for the new building, and at the end of Phase 1, TxDMV will have design drawings and fully detailed construction cost estimates that we can present with our FY 2024-25 legislative appropriations request for Phase 2, which would be demolition and construction.

This concludes my formal remarks, and we're happy to answer any questions.

MR. PREWITT: Are there any questions from the committee members?

Yes, Christian.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ALVARADO: Yes. Thank you.

A couple of quick questions on the line items presented here in the schedule, the cost component schedule it looks like here.

MS. BOWMAN: Yes, sir.

MR. ALVARADO: So this is a 15-acre tract. Is

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 that correct?

1.3

2.3

MS. BOWMAN: Yes.

MR. ALVARADO: I see a little bit above about the vendor process and related to engineering and architecture. Can you talk a little bit about a couple of these line items, the process behind them. And the ones specifically I was interested in are the ALTA survey, the Phase 1 environmental site assessment. Those two just strike me as very high.

MS. BOWMAN: And I'm probably going to bring
Ann up here, because she was here when some of these were
done. These are the original cost estimates that were
done two years ago when we were doing the original LAR.

But why don't you, Ann, go ahead and talk a little bit about this.

MS. PIERCE: For the record, Ann Pierce, director of Administrative Services.

The American Land Title Association has to do a survey, so when we originally were talking about taking this property over, we didn't really know any kind of cost estimates or anything, so we worked with TFC.

We had a partnership with them previous to this project that was kind of like a pre-project where we looked into what we thought we might need and what we thought the costs might be so that we could go before the

legislature to request this Phase 1 project that we're beginning now.

1.3

2.3

So in order to do that, we subcontracted through TFC with a company called O'Connell Robertson; they're an architectural and engineering firm. And we also did a space study, an initial space study with TFC on what we saw as our needs.

When all this was going on, that was pre-COVID, so we recognize there's going to be a lot of different things with the land itself, as we began looking at the land and conducting the survey that we have to do -- and we do have a historical building that has to be considered in this process -- and we will be validating what we think our true needs are moving forward for the actual building footprint.

So those were originally just estimates, and this was the breakout that O'Connell Robertson provided us at the time so we could start moving the project forward.

That does not necessarily mean that is exactly what we're going to spend, and we are trying to find cost savings.

MR. ALVARADO: Thank you.

MR. PREWITT: Just curious, are there any lift stations or subterranean like sewer or wastewater?

 $\mbox{MS. PIERCE:} \mbox{ We do have a lift station at } \\ \mbox{Building 1.}$

MR. PREWITT: So one of the things I would just 1 2 say, that the topographical survey is going to be more 3 expensive if that's going to include the lift station 4 dynamics, as far as how far down in the ground it goes. 5 MS. PIERCE: And originally when OCR was 6 looking at our property, I think to some degree they were 7 looking at the entire property, because at that time we 8 were considering the entire property, and now, of course, 9 where Building 10 is located, we're not looking at that, 10 and they have some underground tanks and stuff that may have been considered in this original estimate as well 11 that won't be impacting us now. 12 13 MR. PREWITT: Okay. Good. 14 MS. PIERCE: Thank you. 15 MR. PREWITT: Thank you. 16 MS. GILLMAN: I've got a question. 17 MR. PREWITT: Yes, ma'am. Member Gillman. 18 MS. GILLMAN: What I heard you say was during 19 the study that also other properties will be explored for 20 consideration. And the only reason I'm mentioning it is because we all know that Austin, Texas's real estate has 21 22 exploded, and while this campus is plenty big, I don't 2.3 know if there has been any conversation about moving. 24 MS. BOWMAN: I think perhaps you may be

referring to another part of the report where we were

25

talking about going and looking at other properties that 1 had been built by similar architectural firms to look at 3 the design elements. We have not been talking about 4 moving our campus; we like that campus. So sorry if we 5 did not communicate that well. 6 MS. GILLMAN: So no consideration as yet for 7 moving. MS. BOWMAN: Not that I am aware of. 8 9 MS. GILLMAN: And the space study, I'm very 10 interested in what the conversations interdepartmentally -- interdepartment conversations about 11 12 what each division director thinks that they need in 13 square footage to accommodate, and will that be shared 14 with this board prior to drawings? 15 MS. BOWMAN: Yes. 16 Daniel? 17 MR. AVITIA: Very good question, Member Gillman, and absolutely as we start working with 18 19 architects and engineers to come up with what a future 20 facility might look like, we will certainly bring that information back to the board. 21 22 Whether we share it in open session or in 2.3 closed session, it really depends on how collaborative we

needs are going to be now and a couple of decades down the

need to work with the board to determine what the space

24

25

road as well. But we will have those conversations with the board, yes, ma'am.

1.3

2.3

MS. BOWMAN: And we do anticipate having pretty detailed discussions, because there's all the issues of the population growth, what does that mean for our staffing in terms of being able to support that for 50 years, we hope.

MS. GILLMAN: Yes. It's such a strange time, because remote working has been introduced, and y'all have been working very well under those conditions. However, the State of Texas is growing so fast, and in planning the next 50 years, you would think you would go bigger, however, on this hand you may not need as much space.

Anyway, I think the space study is valuable, and I'm just interested.

MS. BOWMAN: Right, yeah, and I think we will have a lot of discussions around that. We did go look at another facility that took into account all the remote work space.

There were actually at least three different configurations on different floors to accommodate hoteling work spaces and that sort of thing, as well as permanent offices for people that are in the office more frequently. So I think we will have a lot of expertise from the architects and engineers that are coming in, and there are

lots of flexible options to choose so that it can 1 2 accommodate a workforce that's set up in more than one 3 way. So as things change, we would be able to accommodate 4 that as well. 5 We'll be happy to keep you updated. It will be 6 a very interesting discussion. 7 MR. AVITIA: Chairman, if I may? 8 MR. PREWITT: Yes, sir. 9 MR. AVITIA: Daniel Avitia, executive director, 10 for the record. Member Gillman, just to add on to what our CFO 11 was just stating, the architects and engineer firm that we 12 13 interviewed during, I guess, the bidding process, if 14 that's what we want to call it, were very much in tune to 15 teleworking needs, hoteling needs, and what an agency 16 needs today versus what it might need in 50 years. 17 So we certainly look forward to having those conversations with them and then, once we have that 18 19 information, bringing that back to the board for your review and consideration. 20 MS. GILLMAN: I don't even know what is 21 22 hoteling? 2.3 MS. BOWMAN: Shared working space. 24 MR. AVITIA: Shared space. 25 MS. GILLMAN: We're not having sleepovers.

1	MR. AVITIA: No, ma'am. And we will not be
2	having sleeping pods either. That's a new thing as well.
3	MS. GILLMAN: Really?
4	MR. AVITIA: Yes, it is.
5	MS. BOWMAN: I'm not sure we listed in our
6	specifications I don't know if our architects will have
7	their crystal ball to look at what the workforce will look
8	like, but we're looking at that.
9	MS. GILLMAN: No sleepovers.
10	MR. AVITIA: No.
11	MR. PREWITT: All right. Any other questions
12	regarding hoteling or anything?
13	MS. GILLMAN: Hoteling, trying to save on
14	travel costs.
15	(General laughter.)
16	MR. PREWITT: Aline, are there any public
17	comments for this agenda item?
18	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
19	No public comments on agenda item 4.B.
20	MR. PREWITT: Seeing as there are none, thank
21	you, ladies.
22	And we'll move on to agenda item 4.C, which is
23	the active agency projects, with Wendy Barron.
24	MS. BARRON: Good afternoon, Chairman Prewitt,
25	committee members, Director Avitia. For the record, I am

Wendy Barron, chief information officer of the TxDMV.

2.3

I'm here today to brief the committee on the active agency technology projects. This is a briefing item only, and no recommendations are being presented today. This item can be found starting on page 9 of your materials.

The department currently has ten active projects overseen by the Information Technology Services Division Project Management Office, and there are two additional operational initiatives that I'm going to be highlighting today that support the Registration and Title System, or RTS. I will be providing the committee with an updated status of each project and initiative today.

On the project portfolio dashboard that's found on page 10 of your materials, you will see that seven of the ten projects have a green status and are progressing in alignment with their plans, schedule and budget.

Two of the projects are in yellow status, and one project is in red status due to schedule or budget issues, and I'm going to provide more details about those projects and their status in the individual project discussions, so I'm going to go ahead and move on to the project discussions.

The first project I'm going to talk about today is the statewide webDEALER adoption of Phase II, or SWA

Phase II. The purpose of this project is to implement several priority tickets that have been identified by our tax assessor-collector customers. These tickets will increase application throughput, maximize workflows, and streamline processing in the system.

1.3

2.3

Most of the contractors have been secured, and work has been initiated with the first ticket of this project being delivered in the next release, which is Release 13.0, in July.

The project is currently in yellow because we believe the level of effort on the remaining tickets exceeds the budget that we have for the project. We're still gathering requirements on all of the tickets, so until we get those requirements and have a solid level of effort, we don't know where that cutoff point is.

So at the point we get those requirements identified at cutoff, we'll go back to the executive steering committee, and a decision will be made to either reduce scope or increase budget, depending on other department priorities and funds availability.

Any questions?

(No response.)

MS. BARRON: Awesome.

The next project is webSALVAGE. This is a project that will expand the throughput of electronic

titling processes in webSALVAGE and the issuance and transfer of electronic titles. The project is currently in green status. The charter has been finalized, we're routing it for review and signature, the requirements are currently being gathered, and we're securing the contracting resources that will be doing the work.

1.3

2.3

Moving on, the next group of projects are focused around improvements and support of the Registration and Title System. These projects include the TAC, or tax assessor-collectors T1 upgrade project, the TAC workstation refresh, the RTS batch cycle, and then the two initiatives I spoke about, RTS support and performance stabilization.

So the TAC T1 upgrade is going to upgrade the older T1 technology that provides the internet services to newer metro ethernet and then also cellular technologies. It's going to increase the reliability of the network services for the TACs and also provide redundant network routing in the event the primary route fails.

The project is in green status, we have written and are reviewing the eProject charter, routing it for approval. The statement of work has been written and is currently making its rounds internally for internal review.

Once we get that statement of work through all

the review and approval processes internally, we'll follow back up with the vendor and engage in final negotiations.

2.3

The tax assessor-collectors workstation refresh, this project is going to deploy 50 percent of the workstation equipment to the TACs, and we're also going to establish the operational processes and procedures to transition this from a project-tied deployment to an annual operational initiative. Instead of deploying so much at once, we're going to spread it out over time, plan for less disruptions for the tax assessor-collectors, and just operationalize this so that it's more predictable and smoother transitions, more manageable.

The project is in green status. We've reviewed and approved the charter. The statement of work has actually been submitted to the vendors that are going to help us deploy this equipment, and bids are due this month. Once we get those bids, we'll select a vendor and get them started.

The RTS batch cycle project, the intent of this project is to optimize the batch processes in RTS. The overall health of this project is in green. The original scope of the project was to complete the optimization of 33 batch jobs. Those jobs were deployed in February.

We did recommend that we extend this project, and the ESC and governance team approved that extension,

so we've extended the project to the end of the fiscal year, and we will have a total of 75 jobs completed by the end of August.

1.3

2.3

This project we've seen a lot of really positive results, reducing times from twelve hours to less than one, and what this does is enable us to provide data more efficiently, quickly to our tax assessor-collector partners.

Two of the initiatives that I spoke of, first of all, the RTS support initiative, this is a block of funds that we've used to bring on supplemental contracting resources to help us develop legislative changes and then also to make system improvement items that have been reported as inefficiencies or components that might be broken, and so these folks are working on those types of activities to improve RTS and make the needed changes.

We also have another initiative that we're focused on in IT on performance stabilization, and at the last meeting we had some discussions about RTS, and we have established what we call a Tiger Team. This is a focused group of staff from key sections in the division, their task was researching and implementing possible solutions.

Staff from our development section, infrastructure section, our operations sections, they're

all working together. They meet daily to review progress, discuss new ideas, new things to research. There are several solutions that we have identified and implemented, and I think Tammy will agree -- I talked to her last week -- that we've seen some improvements.

MS. McRAE: Yes. We've seen a lot of improvements in this part, so thank you.

2.3

MS. BARRON: Good deal, happy to hear that, and we're not stopping. There's still some opportunities for improvement, so this team still continues to work and look for new solutions, and we've found some new solutions that we're going to be looking at.

They're going through review and analysis right now, they'll move into testing and be deployed soon. But we've seen lots of positive improvements as a result of forming this team.

Digital license plates is the next project I'm going to talk about. This project implements SB 604, and it's to support statutory requirements that allow digital license plates to be displayed on motor vehicles within the state of Texas.

The final testing results are pending, and we're tentatively looking at a production readiness date end of April, beginning of May. DMV has completed all of the application development work, everything that we need

to do to help facilitate Reviver -- who is our vendor -- in their execution of selling the digital plates.

1.3

2.3

That work has been completed, and so things are in Reviver's hands. They're working with the testing vendors to get those tests completed, and once they're completed, we can sign off on the final contract requirements and they can start selling those digital plates.

The call center update project Phase II, the intent of this project is to implement a post-call survey tool to improve customer interaction quality with the department. We finished the first phase, which was for our Customer Relations Department, we are working on the second phase, which is for Vehicle Titles and Registration, and then Motor Carrier Division as well.

We did have to write a new statement of work to scope out the work for those two divisions, and that's why the project's overall health is in red because that introduced some uncertainties to the schedule. We need to get that statement of work completed and back to the vendor. Once we get a timeline and have a good solid end date, we'll re-baseline the project and it will move back into green.

The Texas International Registration Program upgrade, this project is going to improve TxIRP, the

online user experience and reduce auto service fraud. The project is currently in the procurement phase. We're wrapping up, there's some final contract details that we're working through but we are wrapping up those vendor negotiations.

2.3

The overall health of the project is yellow because of delays in the funds approval, but the funds have been approved, and so as soon as we execute the contract with the vendor and we have a schedule, we'll again re-baseline the project and move status back to green, but we're leaving it in yellow until we have that final schedule.

The Texas by Texas project, the applications have been deployed, and so this application provides the constituents of Texas with a secure and mobile-friendly platform that allows them to do business with multiple state agencies with a single user sign-on account.

For the DMV portion, users can create their account, link their vehicles, and then establish personal profiles with stored payment information, it has their transaction history, their preferences and other features, and then once their registration is due, users can renew their registration within this new system.

We continue to partner with the Department of Information Resources to execute the statewide marketing

campaign. DIR is leading that campaign, and the participating agencies are working in coordination with them, and we've seen increases in usage every single month, so as of yesterday I got new numbers, so the numbers I have a little bit newer than what you see in your materials.

1.3

2.3

We have over 1.2 million people signed up for Texas by Texas, we have over 400,000 vehicles that have been linked, and over 250,000 vehicle registration transactions have been completed, and as of yesterday we've seen that the apps, either the Google app or the Android app or the Apple app, have been downloaded 54,000 times.

So the stats just keep on going up, it's catching on and people are really excited. And I've had conversations with people in my personal life that have checked it out and they love it; I've gotten positive feedback. So very exciting. DMV is the only agency with the mobile app, so we were the first, and it's pretty exciting that we've got a mobile app now that you can register your vehicle.

There's a final component that we're going to be executing within Texas by Texas to wrap up this project, and that is the electronic renewal notice opt-in, and basically what that means is that users will be able

to select the option to receive their vehicle renewal notice electronically rather than paper, and so that's going to be a big step for the agency and hopefully will help us reduce the paper costs and mailing costs over time as more and more people start using that.

2.3

The final project I'm going to talk to you about today is the Motor Carrier Credentialing System rewrite, or MCCS. MCCS, with this rewrite project we're going to replace the legacy system that's been around for quite some time. I know Jimmy's excited.

The intent is to replace it with a modern, reliable application. We're looking at a customizable off-the-shelf solution provided by a vendor, and we believe that there are products out there implemented in other states that provide similar functions, so we are currently gathering requirements, defining the as-is state, looking at the to-be state, and drafting the statement of work. The project is in green, and we are moving quickly.

This concludes my presentation, and I'm available to answer any questions you guys may have.

MR. PREWITT: That's a lot of stuff.

MS. BARRON: It's a lot of stuff.

MR. PREWITT: Are there any questions from the committee members?

MS. McRAE: I have a question, Chairman. 1 2 MR. PREWITT: Yes, Ms. McRae. 3 MS. McRAE: Wendy, I commend y'all on the work 4 y'all have put into correcting the slowness for RTS; it 5 has made a tremendous difference. I think all of y'all 6 can see our offices are extremely busy, and so that's 7 really helped us and I appreciate it. 8 I had requested a copy of the tickets for the 9 webDEALER, and I did receive those and I appreciate that, 10 but we're seeing slowness in the webDEALER application, and I think that's been reported, and I didn't see in that 11 12 list of tickets a mention of that, so if we could have 1.3 that looked into, because again, it slows down the 14 processing on our end, which slows down the dealers on 15 their end. 16 MS. BARRON: Yes, ma'am. We will look into 17 that. MS. McRAE: All right. Thank you. 18 19 MR. PREWITT: Member Gillman. MS. GILLMAN: Yes. I second her emotion. 20 21 (General laughter.) 22 Anything that we can do to speed MS. GILLMAN: 2.3 up webDEALER will be appreciated, and in my investigation, 24 certainly just from internal dealership operations, 25 sometimes the last step is webDEALER.

I mean, it's taking us a long time to get the 1 2 titles released from the grips of Montana, and then 3 finally we get to do it, and so we're already frustrated 4 and our hair is being pulled out, so the final step is 5 webDEALER, and if you all don't do it like fast, it's so 6 frustrating. 7 So I acknowledge oftentimes we already have 8 been working 30-plus days, so anything you can do to speed 9 up webDEALER would be appreciated. 10 MS. BARRON: Absolutely. We will get on that. MS. GILLMAN: And my question is what is the 11 statewide adoption of webDEALER? Can you break it up by 12 13 franchised and independent dealers? 14 MS. BARRON: I don't know that level of detail 15 in terms of the tickets, but there were --

MS. GILLMAN: I don't know the word "tickets."

MS. BARRON: I'm sorry.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. GILLMAN: I do understand usage.

MS. BARRON: So we have kind of a to-do list of items that were identified that were maybe inefficiencies in the process in a certain area of webDEALER or maybe there was a field that needed to be added or some other type of issue with the system, and so those tickets were -- it's a -- the ticket is kind of the requirement, the one line-item requirement -- fix this, fix that, fix

this -- and so those were prioritized. 1 2 MS. GILLMAN: I'm asking about usage in 3 general. Out of the 5,000 dealers in Texas how many use 4 webDEALER? 5 MS. BARRON: We can get that information. 6 MR. PREWITT: Can I ask the executive director 7 to address that? 8 MR. AVITIA: Chairman, thank you. 9 record, Daniel Avitia, acting executive director. 10 Member Gillman, I understand your question is to find out how many active users we have in the system, 11 12 and Wendy and her team will work towards that end, 1.3 identify the number of users, and if we can separate out 14 both independent and franchised and provide that 15 information. 16 I think for the purposes of the project 17 statewide webDEALER adoption, what Wendy was mentioning is 18 those are enhancements to the system. Separate and apart 19 from those enhancements, Member McRae, as well we will go 20 back and look at slowness and more than likely reach out 21 to your offices so we can see what you're seeing in real 22 time and try to bring that back in-house and administer a 2.3 fix if we can find one there. 24 MS. McRAE: Okay.

MS. BARRON: We will absolutely do that.

25

1	MS. McRAE: May I speak, Chairman?
2	MR. PREWITT: Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. McRAE: Member Gillman, those tickets, I
4	think the majority of them, all of them are initiated from
5	the tax assessor-collectors.
6	It's issues that we see or ideas that we have
7	that would improve the efficiency overall of the
8	processing once y'all as a dealer have submitted it to us,
9	so that's just ways for us to get it processed quicker or
10	correct issues.
11	Thank you, Wendy.
12	MS. BARRON: Thank you.
13	MR. PREWITT: Member Alvarado, do you have any
14	questions?
15	MR. ALVARADO: No, sir.
16	MR. PREWITT: Or any questions from the public,
17	do we have any public comments?
18	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
19	No public comments on agenda item 4.C.
20	MR. PREWITT: Okay. Wendy, thank you for your
21	presentation. Very good.
22	MS. BARRON: Thank you.
23	MR. PREWITT: Next I'd like to move to agenda
24	item D, which is replacing the balanced scorecard with key
25	performance indicators for the department's performance

measurement system. Acting Director Daniel Avitia will discuss.

1.3

2.3

MR. AVITIA: Chairman, members, thank you, and good afternoon again.

Staff is going to ask the Projects and Operations Committee to adopt the KPIs as far as the department's performance measurement systems go. More specifically, department staff has been working on the development of a balanced scorecard and its 26 leading and lag measures since July of 2018, when the board approved the balanced scorecard to be the department's performance measure system.

Since then, department staff have been providing monthly and/or quarterly reports of the department's key performance indicators since fiscal year 2013, including during the development of the board's balanced scorecard. The board currently receives KPI quarterly reports via the board's Sharepoint site.

In November 2021 we have found that here we are with regard to identifying what a best practice for performance measurement is, and we've identified that the balanced scorecard is not the most ideal performance measurement system for the department. It does not provide practical guidance for the department at this point.

That being said, Chairman and members, staff is 1 2 recommending the Projects and Operations Committee 3 recommend to the full board to adopt the department's KPIs 4 as the actual performance measurement system and do away 5 with a balanced scorecard as it has previously been 6 approved by the board. 7 Members, this concludes my remarks on this topic, and I seek your favorable consideration. 8 9 MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Chairman, I have a motion. 10 MR. PREWITT: Before you take that -- thank you, Member Gillman -- are there any questions from other 11 12 committee members regarding this? 1.3 (No response.) 14 MR. PREWITT: There's no public comment at all 15 on this? 16 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 17 No public comment on agenda item 4.D. MR. PREWITT: Okay. Given this is an action 18 item and hearing no comments, I'd like to now entertain a 19 20 motion for the agenda item, Member Gillman. 21 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. GILLMAN: 22 I move that the committee recommend that the 2.3 board adopt the key performance indicators as the 24 department's performance measurement system, which will 25

replace the balanced scorecard.

1	MR. PREWITT: We have a motion from Committee
2	Member Gillman. Do I have a second?
3	MS. McRAE: I'll second, Chairman.
4	MR. PREWITT: We have a second from Member
5	McRae. Is there any further discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. PREWITT: Hearing none, I call for the
8	vote. Board members, when I call your name, please state
9	you vote for the record.
10	Member Alvarado?
11	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
12	MR. PREWITT: Member Gillman?
13	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
14	MR. PREWITT: Member McRae?
15	MS. McRAE: Aye.
16	MR. PREWITT: And I, Chairman Prewitt, vote
17	aye. Let the record reflect there were four votes for and
18	zero votes against this measure, and the vote is basically
19	unanimous.
20	MR. AVITIA: Members, thank you. Appreciate
21	the consideration.
22	MR. PREWITT: We have no closed session today
23	so we will not be taking up item 5 or 6. We move to item
24	7. Is there any public comment?
25	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.

1	No public comment.
2	MR. PREWITT: Given there's no public comment,
3	I would like to entertain a motion for adjournment.
4	MS. McRAE: I move that we adjourn.
5	MR. PREWITT: We have a motion from Member
6	McRae.
7	MS. GILLMAN: And I'll second.
8	MR. PREWITT: And a second from Member Gillman.
9	Board members, when I call your name, please
10	state your vote for the record.
11	Member Alvarado?
12	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
13	MR. PREWITT: Member Gillman?
14	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
15	MR. PREWITT: Member McRae?
16	MS. McRAE: Aye.
17	MR. PREWITT: And I, Chairman Prewitt, vote aye
18	as well. Let the record reflect the vote is unanimous.
19	This meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
20	(Whereupon, at 2:49 p.m., the meeting was
21	adjourned.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: TxDMV Projects and Operations Committee Austin, Texas 4 LOCATION: 5 DATE: April 13, 2022 6 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 7 numbers 1 through 40, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording 8 9 made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. 10 DATE: April 22, 2022 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 /s/ Nancy H. King (Transcriber) 18 19 20 On the Record Reporting 21 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., #515

22

23 24 Austin, Texas 78752