# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES BOARD MEETING

William B. Travis Building 1701 N. Congress Avenue Room 1.111 Austin, Texas 78701

Thursday, February 10, 2022 9:00 a.m.

#### BOARD MEMBERS:

Charles Bacarisse, Chair
Christian Alvarado
Stacey Gillman
Brett Graham
Tammy McRae
Sharla Omumu
John Prewitt
Manuel "Manny" Ramirez (absent)
Paul R. Scott

### I N D E X

<u>AGENI</u>	DA TTEM	PAGE
1.	Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	7
2.	Pledges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	8
3.	Chair's Reports (deferred) Update on TxDMV's Implementation of Denial of Access to the Temporary Tag Database Rules	9
4.	Executive Director's Reports (deferred)  A. Survey of Employee Engagement  B. Update on TxDMV Law Enforcement Communication Efforts  C. Awards, Recognition of Years of Service, and Announcements	21
ACTIO	ON ITEMS Pre-licensing Policy and Rulemaking Discussion regarding Applicants for Licensure (ACTION ITEM)	20
RULE 6.	ADOPTIONS Chapter 217. Vehicle Titles and Registration (ACTION ITEM) Amendments, §\$217.2, 217.4, 217.23, 217.28, 217.36, 217.45, 217.46, and 217.89 (Relating to titling and registering motor vehicles, including SB 876, allowing a person to apply for title and registration of a motor vehicle with any willing county) (Published November 12, 2021 - 46 Tex. Reg. 7762)	36
7.	Chapter 217. Vehicle Titles and Registration (ACTION ITEM) Amendments, §217.56 (Relating to obtaining registration under the Unified Carrier Registration System Plan and Agreement prior to registering under the International Registration Plan; cleanup) (Published November 12, 2021 - 46 Tex. Reg. 7769)	49
RULE 8.	PROPOSALS Chapter 217. Vehicle Titles and Registration (ACTION ITEM) Amendments, §217.5 (Relating to Evidence of Motor Vehicle Ownershi	55 p)

9.	Chapter 217. Vehicle Titles and Registration. (ACTION ITEM) Amendments, §217.9 (Relating to Bonded Titles)	59
	FING AND ACTION ITEMS  Specialty Plate Designs (ACTION ITEMS)  A. Classic Black-Silver - New Design proposed under Transportation Code \$504.801  B. Classic Blue-Silver - New Design proposed under Transportation Code \$504.801  C. Classic Pink-Silver - New Design proposed under Transportation Code \$504.801	64
11.	Legislative and Public Affairs Committee Update (BRIEFINGS ONLY)  A. Briefings on Legislative Implementations B. Briefing on 88th Regular Legislative	67 e
12.	Finance and Audit Modify Contract Approval Procedures Adopted on May 2, 2019 (ACTION ITEM)	89
13.	Delegation of Authority to the Executive Director to Approve the Contract to Modify the Texas International Registration Plan System (TxIRP) to Implement Senate Bill 1064 (ACTION ITEM)	93
14.	Renewal of the Contract for Software Maintenance and Support for the TxIRP (ACTION ITEM)	95
15.	Delegation of Authority to the Executive Director for the Approval and Execution of the End-User Information Technology Outsourcing Contract(s) (ACTION ITEM)	99
CLOSI 16.	ED SESSION  The Board may enter into closed session under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code Chapter 551:  Section 551.071	9 104

Section 551.074 Section 551.076 Section 551.089

- 17. Action Items from Closed Session
  - Appointment of Internal Audit Director under 105 Texas Government Code Section 2102.006(a)
  - Appointment, employment, evaluation, salary, 107 reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of, as well as any complaints or charges concerning, TxDMV staff including, but not limited to, the Executive Director, Deputy Executive Directors, General Counsel, Associate General Counsels, and any other TxDMV staff who are employed to work as an attorney at TxDMV.
- 18. Public Comment

none

19. Adjournment

1.3

2.3

### PROCEEDINGS

MR. BACARISSE: Good morning. My name is Charles Bacarisse, and I'm pleased to open the Board meeting of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

It is 9:00 a.m., and I am now calling the Board meeting for February 10, 2022, to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on February 2, 2022.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in the silent mode. And please, as a courtesy to others, do not carry on side conversations or other activities in the meeting room.

I want to welcome those who are with us for today's Board meeting. Face coverings and social distancing are not required at this meeting, but each individual attending the meeting has the right and responsibility to decide for themselves based on their personal circumstances. It is your choice, and you are welcome here at this meeting of the DMV.

If you wish to address the Board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker's sheet at the registration table or send an email to GCO General@TxDMV.gov. That's GCO General@TxDMV.gov.

Please identify in your email the specific item you're interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we'll take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

1.3

2.3

In accordance with department administrative rule, comments to the Board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has been provided. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow for one minute, and then red when your time is over.

Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers. Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet, and when addressing the Board, please state your name and affiliation for our record.

There are a few things that will assist in making the meeting more smoothly and also assist our court reporter in getting an accurate record: please identify yourselves before speaking, speak clearly, do not speak over others, and please ask the chairman to proceed and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I would like to also thank our court reporter who is transcribing this meeting. To make sure we have an

1	accurate recording of this meeting, it's very important
2	that Board members and anyone presenting today identify
3	themselves before speaking and speak clearly and slowly.
4	Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all
5	presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct
6	at our Board meetings. In the department's rule Section
7	206.22, the Board chair is given authority to supervise
8	the conduct of our meetings. This includes the authority
9	to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the
10	meeting or is otherwise violating the timing or
11	presentation rules just discussed.
12	And now I'd like to have a roll call of the
13	Board members. Please respond verbally when I call your
14	name.
15	Member Alvarado, are you here?
16	MR. ALVARADO: Here.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
18	MS. GILLMAN: Here.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
20	MR. GRAHAM: Here.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
22	MS. McRAE: Here.
23	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
24	MS. OMUMU: Present.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?

1	MR. PREWITT: Here.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
3	MR. SCOTT: Here.
4	MR. BACARISSE: And Member Ramirez is absent
5	today; we'll note that for the record.
6	And let the record reflect that I, Charles
7	Bacarisse, am here too, so we have a quorum.
8	And I think we're ready now to move to item 2,
9	which is pledges of allegiance to the U.S. and Texas
10	flags. So I'll turn it over to Member Gillman to lead us
11	in the U.S. Pledge. Please rise.
12	(The U.S. Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
13	MR. BACARISSE: Now Member Graham will lead us
14	in the pledge to the Texas flag.
15	(The Texas Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
16	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, members. Please be
17	seated.
18	This morning, since we have a couple of
19	important closed sessions to do, one in which we are
20	interviewing candidates for the posted position of
21	internal auditor, I would like to move the next agenda
22	item out of order and go to item 16, which is closed
23	session, so that we may interview the two candidates that
24	are here with us today.
25	I'd prefer not to ask them to wait through the

entire meeting before they can interview with us. So with your permission, we will now recess into closed session so we make take agenda item number 16 out of order.

2.3

It is now 9:04 a.m. on February 10, 2022, and we'll go into closed session under Government Code Sections 551.071, 551.074, 551.076, and 552.089.

For those of you in the audience, I anticipate being in this session for maybe as long as two hours.

We'll reconvene in open session after that.

And with that, we are recessed from the public meeting and we'll go into closed session.

(Whereupon, at 9:04 a.m., the meeting was recessed, to reconvene this same day, Thursday, February 10, 2022, following conclusion of the executive session.)

MR. BACARISSE: It's now 12:49 p.m., and thank you all for hanging with us. We are ready to return to open meeting. It's 12:49, and the Board of the Texas DMV is back in open session.

So no action items were taken in that session, and we can now move on to agenda item number 4, which was my report, and I really have none. But I do understand that there is someone here to speak and signed up under my section, so if you want to speak to me about my report which I didn't give, you're welcome to. We'll hear you now.

1	MS. AUCOIN: For the record, Aline Aucoin,
2	associate general counsel for TxDMV.
3	So for agenda item number 3
4	MR. BACARISSE: Number 3. Sorry.
5	MS. AUCOIN: and there are three
6	commenters. And I'll just name them in order and after
7	the first one goes, then I'll name the second one.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Thanks, Aline.
9	MS. AUCOIN: The first one in order is Mr.
10	Kohler.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Okay, yes. Welcome, Mr.
12	Kohler. Come right on up here.
13	MR. KOHLER: I was really looking forward to
14	your report.
15	MR. BACARISSE: I'm saving it for April.
16	MR. KOHLER: Just to remind the Board
17	members Mr. Chairman, Madam Vice Chair and Board
18	members, thank you so much for allowing me to be here
19	today David Kohler, K-O-H-L-E-R, law enforcement here
20	in Central Texas.
21	I was going to I wanted to hear your speech
22	and compliment and appreciate everything that the Board
23	has done over the last several months and moving in a
24	positive direction over this.
25	The one thing I did want to address, Miss Vice

Chair, in the January 27 meeting you questioned 17-1 character VINs and you were advised it was corrected. 3 That was the partial truth. The characters, the periods, 4 the colons and exclamation points, that's been addressed. 5 The addressment of the VIN verification is still an 6 issue. 7 February 5, this past Saturday, these two 8 buyers' tags were printed from a legitimate franchised 9 dealership. One buyer's tag has 18 characters and one 10 buyer's tag has 15 characters. When that car is involved 11 in a criminal offense, we cannot run that VIN to verify 12 the true registration of that car, whether it's Texas or 1.3 it's an out-of-state vehicle. 14 And that's what I wanted to bring up and 15 demonstrate. And if you have any questions, I'd be more 16 than happy to try to answer them. 17 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Kohler. 18 Members, any questions of Mr. Kohler on this 19 point? 20 MS. GILLMAN: I have a question. MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Gillman. 21 22 MS. GILLMAN: Because I'm sort of unfamiliar 2.3 with the software. Does the software allow you to have 18 24 characters --

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. KOHLER: Our Texas software doesn't.

MS. GILLMAN: -- or 15 characters? 1 2 MR. KOHLER: I'm sorry. I thought you were 3 asking me, I thought you were finished. I didn't mean to 4 interrupt. 5 MS. GILLMAN: I don't know who exactly I'm 6 addressing, who can answer. When you print a buyer's 7 tag -- because I'm a franchised dealer -- from my office, does the software allow 15 characters in a VIN versus 18 8 9 characters in a VIN? Isn't that what you were saying? 10 MR. KOHLER: Correct. The answer is yes. MS. GILLMAN: I didn't know that. 11 12 MS. MELLOTT: We do not have VIN decoding 13 software on the system. I mean, it's definitely something 14 we're looking at and we think it's a great idea. 15 One of the things we've run into in the past with looking at the VIN decoding software has been we have 16 17 trailers that have less than 17 VINs. We have homemade trailers that have small VINs. We have some states that 18 19 use up to 22 VINs. 20 MS. GILLMAN: It's not a mandatory 18 or 17. 21 Right. And also, when brand new MS. MELLOTT: 22 vehicles come in, a lot of times they're not even loaded 2.3 into the VIN software before they're being sold, so they 24 reject those. There's just things we have to look at and

25

look into further.

1	MS. GILLMAN: Thank you.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions of
3	Mr. Kohler?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, sir. We appreciate
6	your time and coming down and staying with us here.
7	MR. KOHLER: Thank you.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir.
9	Okay. That's on item number 3. Item number
10	4 oh, I'm sorry.
11	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
12	We have the second commenter and that is Cpl.
13	Mike Bradburn.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir. Sorry about that,
15	Corporal.
16	CPL. BRADBURN: I was also going to support you
17	after you spoke, sir.
18	(General laughter.)
19	MR. BACARISSE: Maybe I should say something.
20	No, I'm kidding. Please, I'm glad you're here. Go ahead,
21	sir.
22	CPL. BRADBURN: Good morning, Mr. Chair and
23	members of the Board. My name is Mike Bradburn. I'm a
24	corporal for Constable Stacy Suits. I'm on the Clean Air
25	Task Force.

Although I serve on the MVIRAC committee, I am here not speaking in that capacity, not cleared to be able to speak. I'm here as a law enforcement detective. I wanted to give you a historical perspective.

2.3

You're going to see where we were a year ago and where we are today with what's going on, it's working. In 2021, there were 17 independent licensed dealers I identified as part of our investigation. This does not consider dealers who were operating prior to 2021. These dealers are part of an organized criminal group that started selling buyer tags as early as 2018. As soon as a dealer is revoked, they move on to the next license.

In 2021 it is estimated these 17 dealers created approximately 1.2 million buyer tags that were distributed at the international level. This was approximately 36 percent of all independent tags last year. There are other dealers selling tags that were not part of our investigation, but that number gives you a point.

With the recent implementation of House Bill 3927, there was an immediate impact. I wanted to bring that to the Board. Now that you are using that authority, we used to see 25,000 tags each week from these criminal dealers, 25,000 tags. We're now seeing numbers in the low hundreds.

The criminals are moving on to two things: low level dealers, which I know Brian is already working on, we're working together -- and fake tags. They're taking legitimate tags, they're making tampered documents that the Board doesn't regulate, so that's a win right there.

2.3

I personally believe we're going to see additional low level dealers pop up because IP addresses show they have a lot of licenses right now. As such, I believe they should be fingerprinted pre-licensing and afterwards if you haven't done it, and have premise inspections.

One issue we do face daily is the lack of access to the eTAG system. I don't want to beat a dead horse, but it would be a lot easier to have that than do it on request for a 10-day wait.

I'll leave it at that. I wanted you to know the success. I am going to speak one more time later, so I'm just letting you know. I'm available for questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions?
Yes, Member Graham.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you for taking the time to come down and speak. We are really interested to hear what each of y'all have to say because we want to get this right. We want to get it right for you, we want to get it right for the citizens of the state and for this agency.

One question that I would have is -- and I would agree with you, the sense that I'm getting from the information I'm getting is that some of the actions we've taken are really beginning to make serious improvements.

Now they're going to shift it, just instead of making tags out of our system, they're just going to start printing fake tags because they're paper tags. Right? Until we can address that situation, we're just going to have to deal with the next problem in the whack-a-mole scheme, basically.

1.3

2.3

But I did have the thought to myself -- and this is the first time I've done something other than just think it -- is I wonder if we have the capacity, or how quickly we could change the design or the color of our temporary tags to try to throw a wrench into the creation of these fraudulent fake tags. Although, as soon as we print those, I guess they can just copy those, so there's probably no way around it. But like you, I think that's what our next battle is going to be until we can figure out a solution to it.

CPL. BRADBURN: I would agree it is confusing to law enforcement right now. Of course, every day across the entire nation we support investigations and they'll take a tag from a Toyota dealer, manipulate it, say it's a Lamore tag, one that we shut down. And right now it's

confusing as heck on nationwide law enforcement. 1 2 We're putting bulletins out and educate them 3 because before they just had the Texas tag, and now 4 they're going to get more likely a tampered tag, which I 5 would think in the future going forward they're going to 6 realize it's a fake tag. You run it in the system, it 7 comes back as a Toyota and you've got a Chevy, it's a fake 8 taq. 9 So I mean, it's a step in the right direction, 10 and I don't know about changing colors. That's up to y'all. It may be a good idea, it may not be. 11 12 MR. GRAHAM: Yeah, gotcha. And certainly, just 13 speaking for myself, I think it needs to be a priority of 14 the agency to figure out how to get y'all that data in 15 some way, and that's something we'll be talking about, I'm 16 sure. 17 Thank you. 18 CPL. BRADBURN: Thank you. 19 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 20 Members, anyone else? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you. 2.3 Aline. 24 MS. AUCOIN: For the record, Aline Aucoin.

We have one more speaker on item number 3 and

1	that's Mr. Escribano.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Sergeant, how are we doing
3	today?
4	SGT. ESCRIBANO: Mr. Chairman, I'm doing fine.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Good.
6	SGT. ESCRIBANO: I'm doing fine.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Sorry for the delay.
8	SGT. ESCRIBANO: I'm Sgt. Joe Escribano with
9	the Travis County Constable's Office, Precinct No. 3, and
10	that's who I'm speaking for.
11	Mr. Chairman, thank you and the Board for your
12	hard work over the past several months bringing this
13	situation to an end or trying to bring it to an end.
14	MR. BACARISSE: The beginning of the end.
15	SGT. ESCRIBANO: Everything that you have been
16	doing so far has been good. It really has. We are
17	addressing the problem.
18	Yesterday during the Legislative and Public
19	Affairs Committee meeting, I listened to Mr. Luna and Mr.
20	Ge present a very viable administrative solution to this
21	problem, including the much needed additional
22	investigative personnel. That was very good, very good.
23	I commend them for that.
24	With that said, I think that that addresses
25	probably about 50 percent of the solution because it

addresses the administrative portion of it, which is extremely important because that's where it all starts. The other 50 percent is the addition of the Travis County Constable's Office Precinct No. 3 resources, and by way of our network of over 370 law enforcement agencies FTEs — and that would be your FTEs right there as far as help. Not taking away that they really do need those FTEs, but you would have an additional 370, including state and federal agencies that would be at your disposal to help you.

2.3

We are in daily contact with these agencies and we are recognized as a subject matter expert in the field of Texas tag investigations. They come from everywhere.

Director Mellott, our offer to assist your agency still stands and it will continue to stand. We are here to help you. Let's work together and end the cycle of having to go through the hoops to go ahead and get information.

Granting us the same access that your investigators of the Texas DMV of webDEALER and the eTAG system for the much needed and real-time reporting, we do need that. I've been asking for that for a long time and I think it's time that we go ahead and obtain that. We came to you in 2017 with an extended hand and that hand is still open today and we are willing to work with you and

1	do whatever we've got to do.
2	That's my only comment. I put myself down for
3	3, 4 and 5 but this is the only comment I've got.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, sir, appreciate it.
5	Members, any questions?
6	(No response.)
7	SGT. ESCRIBANO: Thank you very much.
8	MS. McRAE: Thank you. We appreciate it.
9	MR. BACARISSE: We're staying on it, we're not
10	done. Thank you.
11	That's all the commenters on item 3. I'd like
12	to now move to item 4, and Ms. Mellott has said that she
13	would like to pass on her comments because there will be a
14	lot more of them at our next meeting to report out on.
15	However, we may have some people who wish to make a public
16	comment on item 4.
17	MS. AUCOIN: For the record, Aline Aucoin.
18	For item number 4, we have two commenters. One
19	is Sgt. Escribano, and I believe he just said that he made
20	all of his comments
21	MR. BACARISSE: He just passed.
22	MS. AUCOIN: The second is Mr. Kohler.
23	MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Kohler, you can come back.
24	MR. KOHLER: (Speaking from audience.) I'll
25	pass.

MR. BACARISSE: All right. Mr. Kohler passes, for the record. Thank you, gentlemen.

1.3

2.3

So now I'd like to move to item 5 which has to do with the pre-licensing policy and rulemaking on applicants for licensure. So I would turn this over to Shelly for her to lay out the issue.

MS. MELLOTT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good morning, members -- good afternoon now.

As you know, there's been a request from law enforcement and stakeholders, including recommendations from our advisory committee, namely the MVIRAC committee, to have additional controls in place to keep the bad actors from obtaining GDN licenses from the department. The Board has already asked the department to initiate rulemaking for fingerprinting applicants to address criminals circumventing our name-based background check requirements by using stolen identities, and you asked us to do this in the last Board meeting.

The purpose of item number 5 being on the agenda today is to streamline the application process for licensing while ensuring the department gets the information needed to fully vet potential GDN license holders. We're asking the Board to direct the department to initiate rulemaking while the agency further explores the legal and operational impacts of additional pre-

licensing checks the department may conduct on applicants for GDN licenses. The goal is not to put any barriers in place for licensees, but rather to make sure we're requesting the needed information during the pre-licensing vetting process.

1.3

2.3

Our director of the Motor Vehicle Division discussed a few ideas for the department to explore, including additional site visits, requesting additional information on an application for license and additional documentation that can be required to ensure the applicant can meet our premise requirements. If the Board approves the department initiating rulemaking, this will allow us to begin drafting the potential policy considerations while we're also exploring the impacts of those policies, so the Board has a fuller picture of what that means prior to the Board's consideration of the rules for adoption.

I acknowledge this will have an impact on both the department as well as the industry, and it is important that we be transparent in how we provide and seek feedback. I ask the industry and all impacted parties to provide honest and constructive feedback to the department as we go through this expedited process so the Board can determine the most appropriate policy direction on this important matter.

What is being recommended is for the TxDMV

Board to instruct the department to initiate proposed rules related to pre-licensing requirements for GDN applicants, for the department to publish the proposed rules in the Texas Register for public comment, and for the department to bring the rules and any public comments back to the Board in a future Board meeting to consider for final adoption.

2.3

Our goal here is to be responsive to our stakeholders and law enforcement partners while also looking at ways to pursue policy changes that do not disrupt the over 20,000 legitimate dealers that contribute to the citizens and economy of the state.

So with that, Mr. Chairman, that ends our request.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you for laying that out for us. Thank you.

Members, are there any questions of Shelly in this regard?

I see one down there. Mr. Graham.

MR. GRAHAM: Shelly, would you kind of outline, just for my benefit, what the timeline looks like? We initiate rulemaking, we have a period of time where you work with stakeholders, stakeholders are involved.

MS. MELLOTT: Right. We would put rules out for public comment, and this would be pre-licensing rules,

around the application process. And we would put those 1 2 out for public comment -- I'm actually working with 3 general counsel on this. MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the 4 5 record, director of the MVD, Motor Vehicle Division. 6 I imagine we'd put it out for public comment 7 and also probably maybe reconvene our members of the 8 MVIRAC committee so they can provide feedback on some of the suggestions they have, and whether they feel these 9 10 would be effective in helping us vet the applicants that are coming through to apply for a GDN license. And then 11 12 we'd reconvene them again to go over the public comments 13 and then bring all of that information back to the Board 14 for consideration. 15 MR. BACARISSE: Before our April meeting? 16 MS. GILLMAN: That was my question. What's the time frame? 17 I guess that would be a guestion 18 MS. JOHNSTON: 19 for maybe Legal, if we could have that advisory committee 20 reconvened by then and then have the public comments if rules were posted. I don't if that would be possible. 21 Ι 22 know that they have to be posted for 60 days. Right? 2.3 MS. MELLOTT: Thirty. 24 MS. JOHNSTON: Thirty. 25 MS. AUCOIN: For the record, Aline Aucoin.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

So we have to publish for comment 30 days but I think that April is super, super aggressive.

1.3

2.3

MR. BACARISSE: That's what we want to be, but can we make it is the question.

MS. AUCOIN: I mean, almost to the point of impossibility.

MR. GRAHAM: You know, it's absolutely critical that we engage our stakeholders, and when I say stakeholders, in my mind we don't limit to committee members. This is a big deal and will impact a lot of people, and so we have important partners out there who are not on the committee necessarily, so I don't want to exclude them either in the early process.

So that's something, I think, for us to talk about is how do we engage all those parties in what is a very important subject. And I agree, you know, in order to meet the deadline for April, I mean, you would have to have this group of stakeholders and committee members together scheduled and come out with something in three weeks, probably, which is going to be extraordinarily difficult or impossible.

In any case, I was just kind of wanting to walk through that in my mind, so in all likelihood, probably 90 days before we have something in front of us on the first round of consideration.

1	MS. MELLOTT: Probably the June meeting would
2	be my guess.
3	MR. GRAHAM: June for that and then it would be
4	the subsequent meeting for passage if it worked through.
5	So thank you. I just wanted to understand that timeline.
6	MS. MELLOTT: Member Graham, I think the
7	request is for us to bring them back to the Board for
8	adoption, so we would have the proposal, we'd meet with
9	the stakeholders and the committee, we'd have the
10	proposal, we'd get the public comment, and then we would
11	bring rules back to the Board for adoption in June.
12	MR. GRAHAM: Oh, great.
13	MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?
14	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Scott.
15	MR. SCOTT: What about moving the April meeting
16	to May? You know, there's a sense of we want to keep
17	moving as quickly as we can, as expeditiously, so maybe we
18	just move the April meeting to May where we can get to it.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Does that help with the
20	calendar?
21	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
22	And we will need to get a determination letter
23	from the Regulatory Compliance Division as well.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Sure.
25	MS. AUCOIN: And they also have a

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	responsibility to post the rules for comment for a 30-day
2	period.
3	MR. BACARISSE: They can do it concurrently,
4	but yet that is a challenge. Right?
5	MS. AUCOIN: Not concurrently with DMV.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Okay.
7	MS. AUCOIN: Once we publish, then we provide
8	it to the Regulatory Compliance Division and they have
9	their process.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah, okay. So it's sequential
11	in that regard. Right?
12	MS. AUCOIN: You know, I'm not certain.
13	MR. BACARISSE: You know, we're in new
14	territory here and I'm trying to move us as rapidly as we
15	can without losing our minds or making a mistake.
16	MR. GRAHAM: Absolutely.
17	MR. BACARISSE: So I'm pushing you, and I know
18	that, but I don't want to
19	MS. MELLOTT: Chairman, know that we will push
20	them as quickly as we can, I just can't give you a date.
21	MR. GRAHAM: And we can revisit that. We don't
22	have to hammer that out now. I was really just trying to
23	get my head around it and have a discussion about it, and
24	if we need to make adjustments
25	MR. BACARISSE: to the calendar, we could

1 do that. Okay. So we don't have to answer my questions 2 today. 3 But to your point, Member Scott, a sense of 4 urgency is on us and required. 5 MR. SCOTT: Yes, but don't we already have 6 recommendations from MVIRAC? 7 MS. JOHNSTON: The recommendations that came out of the last meeting were for fingerprinting and then 8 9 for required site visits which were discussed during the 10 committee yesterday. They did not discuss the additional 11 changes to the rules regarding the pre-licensing 12 requirements. So those suggestions that have come out of 1.3 us researching what we can do to tighten up the pre-14 licensing process, they have not seen those yet. MR. SCOTT: So, I'm sorry. If we push the pre-15 16 licensing off to the next, would we be able to move on the 17 fingerprinting and the inspection at the April meeting? MS. JOHNSTON: That I would have to defer. On 18 the fingerprinting, I know the rules -- we decided at the 19 20 last meeting to start publishing rules. I don't know what 21 the timeline is: I'll have to defer to Office of General 22 Counsel for that. 2.3 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 24 Our office could work as expeditiously as 25 possible with the resources that we have. I mean, at this

point I don't feel like I could guarantee any sort of 1 2 deadline other than we would earnestly work as fast as 3 possible to get draft rules published. 4 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. But that's for the 5 fingerprinting point. 6 MS. AUCOIN: Fingerprinting. 7 MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, for the 8 record. 9 For the required site visits, I believe during 10 the committee yesterday we discussed the fiscal impact of implementing those, so that would require additional funds 11 12 for that to be implemented. 1.3 MR. BACARISSE: Certainly. 14 MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman? 15 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Scott. 16 MR. SCOTT: I'm just saying if we have three 17 items, we have pre-licensing, we have fingerprinting and we have site visits, I'd like to see if it's possible for 18 19 us to move on the fingerprinting and the pre-licensing and 20 the site visits, give the staff and give everyone, give 21 MVIRAC time, but we already have a report from MVIRAC on 22 fingerprinting. 2.3 MS. JOHNSTON: That is correct. 24 MR. SCOTT: So all we need to do from a staff 25 standpoint moving on fingerprinting is to develop

1 something to come back to the Board to be implemented, then if it's decided, then published. Right? 3 MS. JOHNSTON: That is correct. Monique 4 Johnston, for the record. 5 At the last Board meeting they gave the 6 authority to staff to publish those, so they can already 7 be published, and then when they would come back to you would be for adoption. 8 9 MR. BACARISSE: And so you're thinking, Member 10 Scott, that we ought to take as they come, in a sense, 11 rather than waiting. 12 MR. SCOTT: That's what I'd like to see happen. 13 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Member Graham, I see a 14 look on your face. 15 MR. GRAHAM: Yeah. I'm just thinking about the 16 fingerprinting. So it's out being worked on currently by 17 the committee, and when we see it next, it will be for adoption. Is that correct? 18 19 MS. MELLOTT: Correct. 20 MR. BACARISSE: Right. And we anticipate that to be April, at the April meeting, if that calendar holds, 21 22 just fingerprinting? Is that what I'm hearing? No? 2.3 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 24 We can certainly try. 25 MR. BACARISSE: I understand.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. AUCOIN: I think that -- I'm not at a point 1 2 where I can quarantee that our office has the resources to --3 MR. BACARISSE: Finish that. 4 5 MR. PREWITT: Pardon me. Mr. Chair, John 6 Prewitt, for the record. 7 MR. BACARISSE: John, yes. 8 MR. PREWITT: What resources do you guys 9 require that you don't have right now? Are you lacking 10 resources to get this done by an April deadline, or do you need more resources? And if so, what would those be? 11 12 MS. MELLOTT: We are currently -- I think we 1.3 have four or five open positions in our Office of General 14 Counsel. 15 MR. PREWITT: Okay. So would it be a 16 possibility -- again asking just a question -- do we 17 engage outside counsel to assist in the formulation of this rulemaking to help us expedite the process? Would 18 19 that be something the agency would consider or should 20 consider? 21 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 22 For a state agency to engage outside counsel, 2.3 there is a whole process for that. We have to get 24 approval from the Attorney General's Office and that could

be a lengthy process. And even if we do engage outside

counsel, they likely won't have background experience and 1 knowledge about the fingerprinting and the pre-licensing 3 and all of the issues that are the subject matter of these 4 rules. 5 MR. PREWITT: Okay. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Just a question I have. 7 Bacarisse, for the record. Is there not -- and I'm asking this out of 8 9 ignorance -- is there not expertise within the Office of 10 Attorney General that could assist with this? Understanding what you just said about fingerprinting in 11 12 particular, but do they have any expertise that could 13 help? 14 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 15 We are by statute required to use the Attorney 16 General for any outside counsel outside of our office. 17 MR. BACARISSE: Any outside counsel. MS. AUCOIN: And if they're not available, then 18 19 we hire outside counsel, private law firms. 20 MR. BACARISSE: Got it. 21 MS. AUCOIN: I don't know whether the Attorney 22 General's Office has people with expertise on these 2.3 I would just be guessing if I were to give you an issues. 24 answer. I would be speculating.

MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Scott. 1 2 MR. SCOTT: For the record, Paul Scott. 3 So since the last meeting, the Board directed 4 the staff to begin to work on the fingerprinting issue. 5 MS. JOHNSTON: At the January 27, yes, that is 6 correct. 7 MR. SCOTT: So we are already working on that. 8 MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, I believe they're already 9 being worked on. Yes, that is correct. 10 MR. BACARISSE: Just need more time is the 11 issue. Right? Okay. Well, we may, you know, I think, depending on 12 13 what comes out of the conclusion of this meeting today, we 14 may want to look at trying to adjust our summer meeting 15 schedule. And if it appears that things could be ready, 16 we could call a special meeting. We're open to that. 17 But when you get a chance to kind of get your head around it a little bit more, you can help us 18 19 understand the timing of it, and then we're just anxious 20 to move forward. But again, we don't want to make a 21 mistake and we want to have good rules, so respect the 22 process. 2.3 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 24 And we can certainly provide a briefing to the 25 Board at the April Board meeting to let you know the

1	status and where we are, but as far as for having
2	MR. BACARISSE: It's not going to be ready
3	then.
4	MS. AUCOIN: Yeah.
5	MR. BACARISSE: All right.
6	MR. SCOTT: Do we have a sense, though, that we
7	can break these items up and not have to wait for all of
8	them?
9	MR. BACARISSE: I mean, I'm certainly open to
10	that.
11	MS. MELLOTT: The fingerprint rules will be
12	separate from the pre-licensing.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Okay.
14	MR. SCOTT: And site visits and so forth.
15	MS. MELLOTT: Yes.
16	MR. SCOTT: Okay, okay.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Okay, members. Good. Thank
18	you.
19	Monique, thank you, appreciate it.
20	MR. ALVARADO: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a
21	motion on item number 5, if I may.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Alvarado, please. I'd
23	entertain a motion.
24	MR. ALVARADO: Mr. Chairman, Christian
25	Alvarado.

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

I move that the department initiate rulemaking 1 2 for pre-licensing and review of applicants for and holders 3 of a franchised and independent general distinguishing 4 I move the department review their statutory 5 authority and business processes for conducting pre-6 licensing review of applicants for and at renewal of a 7 GDN. 8 I move the department publish the proposed 9 rules in the Texas Register for public comment, and for 10 the department to bring the proposed rules and any public comments back to the Board in a future Board meeting as 11 12 quickly as possible to consider for adoption. 13 MR. BACARISSE: Is there a second to that 14 motion? 15 MS. OMUMU: I'd like to second, Mr. Chairman. 16 MR. BACARISSE: It's seconded by Member Omumu. 17 Is there any further discussion on this agenda item as 18 laid out by Member Alvarado? 19 (No response.) 20 MR. BACARISSE: If not, I will call for a vote. Member Alvarado? 21 22 MR. ALVARADO: Aye. 2.3 MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman? 24 MS. GILLMAN: Aye. 25 MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
3	MS. McRAE: Aye.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
5	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
7	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
9	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
10	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Charles Bacarisse, vote
11	aye as well. This is unanimous. Thank you.
12	So we'll now move to agenda item number 6,
13	Vehicle Titles and Registration, and Roland is going to
14	come forward and lay that out for us.
15	Thank you, Roland.
16	MR. LUNA: Hello, Chairman Bacarisse, Board
17	members. Roland Luna, Sr., Vehicle Titles and
18	Registration Division director.
19	The action item before you can be found on page
20	14 of your Board book. This action item is a request from
21	the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division for approval
22	and adoption for publication in the Texas Register of
23	amendments to the following sections: 217.2, 217.4,
24	217.23, 217.28, 217.36, 217.45, 217.46, and lastly,
25	217.89.

The purpose of the amendments to the aforementioned sections is to implement Senate Bill 8776, which allows any willing county tax assessor-collector to process title transactions in addition to counties designated by statute which includes the buyer, seller, lien holder county registration transaction, in addition to the owner's county of residence.

1.3

2.3

Senate Bill 876 is effective March 1, 2022.

Senate Bill 876 removes the provision that required the purchaser's county of residence to be closed in order for a title transaction to be processed by any willing county, as well as the requirement for the owner's county of residence to be closed in order for a registration renewal to be processed by any willing county. Effective March 1, customers have the option to submit title and registration transactions to any willing county, any county willing to accept them, in addition to the counties statutorily required to process registration transactions.

The proposed sections were published for public comment on November 12, 2021 and closed on December 31, 2021 in the *Texas Register*. The department received written comments from the Lubbock County Tax Assessor-Collector, the Tax Assessor-Collector Association of Texas, the Texas Automobile Dealers Association, the Texas Independent Automobile Dealers Association. Comments that

were received did not necessitate edits or changes to the proposed rules, however, several of the comments were specific to transactions handled by county tax offices.

2.3

While it is not necessary to make changes to the proposed rules, we recognize the need to address the comments. Information was included in the Registration and Title Bulletin that is planned for distribution to the counties in advance of March 1, as well as webinars that are scheduled the week of February 22, and registration and title release notes will also be provided.

The agency requests approval to adopt the amended rules and approve publication in the *Texas*Register.

This concludes my prepared remarks and I'll take any questions that you have.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Luna.

Members, any questions for Mr. Luna?

MS. McRAE: I have a comment, Chair.

MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae, Vice Chair McRae.

MS. McRAE: And this is just more for clarification purposes, and I know we discussed this yesterday in our Legislative and Public Affairs Committee, but we are still awaiting clarification from the Comptroller's Office as to their interpretation of where the sales tax money will actually -- whether it will stay

with the processing county or if it will be sent to the resident's county.

1.3

2.3

And I'd just like to go on record and say that the Tax Assessor-Collectors Association has worked very closely with Roland and his team since I think it was March, that we've been working almost a year on this trying to -- or actually I think it may have been July, but working trying to get this clarified. And still at this time we do not have clarification from the Comptroller's Office. And I think you have been in contact -- someone has been in contact and we expect clarification prior to the implementation.

MR. LUNA: Yes, ma'am.

Chairman Bacarisse?

MR. BACARISSE: Please, Mr. Luna.

MR. LUNA: Roland Luna, Sr., Vehicle Titles and Registration Division director.

Dating back to the introduced version of the bill in March of 2021, myself and several other staff members from the department attended the committee hearing and the hearing that discussed the committee's substitute. Since that time in March of 2021, we have engaged the Comptroller's Office for clarification to the 5 percent tax issue as to whether or not the processing county would keep the 5 percent tax or if it would go to the resident

county.

1.3

2.3

And from our understanding, with our legal interpretation with our Office of General Counsel, the understanding was that the 5 percent would go to the resident county. We have been trying to work very closely with the Comptroller's Office so that we can resolve this prior to the effective date of March 1 for implementation of SB 876.

In addition to that, we have been meeting with the Tax Assessor-Collectors Association. We have a monthly meeting with them. This has been at the forefront, ensuring that there are no surprises with the implementation of the bill, as well as discussion during one of the Customer Service Advisory Committee meetings in late fall, early winter of 2021.

And if there is additional information needed on this matter by the Board, we're happy to provide it as soon as we have an answer from the Comptroller's Office.

MS. McRAE: And I do believe that the programming is in place either way. Correct?

MR. LUNA: Yes, ma'am. So whether the resident county keeps the 5 percent or it goes to the processing county, that programming has been completed and will be effective March 1.

MS. McRAE: Thank you, Roland.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Great. I appreciate y'all
2	being ready to be nimble on that whenever the decision is
3	made.
4	MR. LUNA: Yes, sir.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
6	Members, any other questions for Mr. Luna?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. BACARISSE: Are there any public comments
9	on this, Aline?
10	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
11	We have one commenter, Karen Phillips.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Great. Ms. Phillips.
13	Thank you, Roland.
14	MS. PHILLIPS: Good afternoon. Karen Phillips,
15	GC with TADA.
16	As much as I appreciate how challenging the
17	language that was adopted by the legislature is, my
18	members would still like to have some guidance with
19	respect to what does it mean for a county to be willing to
20	accept applications. In other words, are we going to have
21	to call on a registration or application by application
22	basis if we can't go to the county of residence, the
23	county where it's financed or the county that it's sold
24	in?

Are we going to have to contact another

25

county -- say I need to go to Montgomery County, am I going to have to call that county, Montgomery County, and ask, will you be willing to accept an application or applications? And what will you need from me as a dealer in order to make that determination?

1.3

2.3

And so we would still like to have some guidance, because I know I'm going to get that question come March 1. What counties can I go to other than the three statutory counties as provided by law? How am I going to know if a county is willing to accept my paperwork? Am I going to send it and then they're going to deny it? And will I need to get a bond for that county in order to get perhaps hard plates or in order to do the full service deputy thing?

These are questions that we still don't have an answer to. And I'm going to be getting those questions because my members are going to ask those questions because we still have some challenges in various counties with respect to them being open, with them processing the paperwork and such on a very timely basis. And so if I need to go to TACA and ask those questions because the agency can't provide that guidance, I'm happy to do that, but the rules don't really address these questions.

In addition to that, I don't know when a county is going to be considered closed. Are they closed if

they're open less than eight hours a day, five days a week? Are they closed if they're open only five hours a day? Are they closed if they're only open two days a week?

So where is the line for demarcation with respect to the dealers who need to process this paperwork? Because we still get complaints and consumers come to us with the complaints, where are my hard plates? And when we contact a county, we need to be able to know what to say.

And we're still not getting these answers and the customers are complaining to us. So any guidance or suggestions you have for TADA and my members, and I'm certain for TIADA, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Ms. Phillips.

Any questions, members, for Ms. Phillips on these issues that are definitely challenging?

Mr. Graham.

2.3

MR. GRAHAM: Well, it's really kind of a Board discussion, but stay up here because somebody else may have a question for you, Ms. Phillips.

What do we do with counties that won't reopen?

We have an obligation as the Texas Department of Motor

Vehicles to process titles, and if we don't have willing

partners, I guess we need to start thinking real seriously

about some other alternatives.

1.3

2.3

MR. BACARISSE: Well, you know, I'll speak as a formerly elected constitutional officer in the state. I had a lot of latitude as a constitutionally elected district clerk back in the days. I could open or close my office.

Now, I'd have to answer to my commissioners and they could handle my budget for me if I wasn't doing my job. But that is part of the challenge and the beauty of Texas Government is you have independently, constitutionally elected individuals, and Member McRae can decide how to operate her office and it's very different from what we may see in a contiguous county or what-have-you.

So maybe some of that answer could really be best answered by Vice Chair McRae. Let me recognize you, please.

MS. McRAE: Thank you.

Karen, I understand your challenge and I think that's going to continue to be a challenge as far as knowing who is going to be classified as a willing county or not. Because as I understand the way the bill is written, I can be a willing county today and I can decide tomorrow that I'm no longer a willing county.

So there are some challenges, and I think

because of that reason -- and I'm speaking out of turn here because I cannot speak for the agency itself in that capacity -- but I think because of those reasons that's why DMV, the agency itself, will not be able to put on their website these are the willing counties so it's easy to identify because we could change our minds along the way.

1.3

2.3

Now, with that being said, we have the president of the Tax Assessor-Collectors Association, Randy Riggs, in the audience, and we also have one of our board members, Shay Luedecke, in the audience. We could certainly try to put something, I think, on our TACA website. Would the information be 100 percent accurate? No, it probably will never be because of that very flexible latitude that we have.

I don't have the solution to your question, and it's not specified in statute in Senate Bill 876. I apologize, that's not much of an answer, but I do understand your challenge. I think as an association -- I think I can speak on behalf of the association and say that we would do as an association as much as we can to help try to communicate that information out to the dealers.

MS. GILLMAN: I have a comment.

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Gillman.

MS. GILLMAN: I think it just should be mentioned that for a dealer the impact of not being able to title a car timely is not only poor customer satisfaction for the great citizens of Texas, but also fines and fees on the dealers when very often it's completely out of our control. So I appreciate the efforts for clarity. I appreciate the efforts and kind of the push to be open and get the job done because it's costing the dealers and often the citizens of Texas.

2.3

MS. PHILLIPS: That's right, and we're having people run around on paper plates instead of a hard tag.

MR. BACARISSE: It's just exacerbating the problem that way. But Vice Chair McRae has additional comments.

MS. McRAE: And this is kind of in response to Member Gillman's comment. As long as those transactions are received in any county tax office within that 30 days, there are no additional penalties or fines that are passed on to the dealer because we have the transactions and they were submitted timely.

And I will add that the majority of the Texas counties of the 254 are open. And I think once we get clarification from the Comptroller's Office on where that sales tax, that 5 percent sales tax commission will go, I think that will help more of the Texas counties make that

decision on whether they want to be a willing county or 1 not. 3 MR. BACARISSE: The challenge is that you have independently constitutionally elected officers and a lot 4 5 of us, most of us take that oath very seriously. 6 Unfortunately there are a few counties that have yet to 7 see the wisdom in opening and they're just in the wrong place is really the issue brought by volume, I understand. 8 9 Thank you, Ms. Phillips. 10 MS. McRAE: Thank you, Karen. MR. BACARISSE: We're not going to leave this 11 alone. 12 13 MS. PHILLIPS: I know. 14 MR. BACARISSE: We're going to keep working on 15 it, absolutely. 16 Are there any other commenters on this agenda item, Aline? 17 MS. AUCOIN: For the record, no other 18 19 commenters. 20 And I guess I just want to make one response to Karen Phillips's comment about whether a county is closed 21 22 or not. The whole concept of the closed county, that a 23 county has to be closed in order to go to another county 24 has been eliminated by the statute and that's why we have 25 any willing county. That basically replaced the closed

county concept.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. BACARISSE: Right. And I'll say this for the record -- I think I've said it but I'll say it again -- I appreciate our collaborative and very close working partnership with the tax assessor-collectors of the state. Thank y'all.

I was at your meeting last summer, and if you want me back this summer for more fun and conversation,

I'm happy to come, so you let me know.

MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Scott.

MR. SCOTT: I'd like to make a motion.

MR. BACARISSE: Please.

MR. SCOTT: I move that the Board approve the adoption of amendments to Chapter 217 concerning titling and registering of motor vehicles, including Senate Bill 876 allowing a person to apply for title and registration of a motor vehicle with any willing county, as recommended by staff. I also move that the Board grant the department the ability to make changes to the adopted sections based on non-substantive corrections made by the Texas Register.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Scott.

Do we have a second for that motion?

MS. McRAE: I'll second.

MR. BACARISSE: There's a second by Vice Chair

1	McRae. Is there any further discussion on this agenda
2	item, this motion?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I'll call the
5	vote for this issue.
6	Member Alvarado?
7	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
9	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
11	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
13	MS. McRAE: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
15	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
17	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
19	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Charles Bacarisse, vote
21	aye as well. This is unanimous. Thank you.
22	Mr. Archer, please come and lay out agenda item
23	number 7 for us, please.
24	MR. ARCHER: Good afternoon, Chair Bacarisse
25	and members of the Board, Executive Director Mellott,

General Counsel Aucoin.

2.3

This item number 7 on your agenda may be found at page 72 of your Board book. For the Board's consideration I'm presenting these rule amendments to 43 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 217 for adoption and publication in the Texas Register.

The proposed amendments were published for comment in the November 12, 2021, issue of the *Texas*Register. Comment period was closed on December 13, and the department did not receive any comments.

These rule amendments are necessary to conform our rules to the Transportation Code, by requiring an applicant for a vehicle registration in the International Registration Plan to register under the Unified Carrier Registration System plan and agreement, or UCR, under federal law before applying for International Registration Plan registration if the applicant is required to register under UCR. By deleting certain definitions because of relevant terms defined in the IRP which is incorporated by reference in Section 217.56, and by incorporating by reference the current edition of the IRP dated January 1, 2021.

By way of background, Texas participates in the Unified Carrier Registration, which is a federal registration program that is administered in 41 states in

the United States. Motor carriers and certain transportation service providers that provide interstate transportation services must register under UCR and must pay the fees that are required under federal law. The department believes that implementing 502.091(b) will help Texas comply with the 85 percent UCR compliance rate as required by the UCR state performance standards.

1.3

2.3

And just as an aside, I'll let you know that our current compliance rate is 85.2 percent and we've never fallen below 85 percent since I've been in the department. The department also believes that implementing this will help Texas increase its UCR compliance rate because many owners of commercial vehicles that travel through more than one IRP jurisdiction want to get IRP registration.

Texas is a member of the International Registration Plan, which is a vehicle registration reciprocity agreement between the 48 contiguous states, the District of Columbia, and the Canadian provinces, and there's a total of 59 member jurisdictions in IRP. Many owners of commercial vehicles that travel through more than one of the member jurisdictions want to get IRP registration because it's an efficient and cost-effective way to obtain vehicle registration in all member jurisdictions.

When the owner registers its vehicles with one member jurisdiction under the IRP, the vehicles are only required to display one license plate and that indicates the vehicles are registered for all member jurisdictions.

Also under IRP the owner is only required to pay a portion of the registration in any member jurisdiction or apportioned registration. If the owner of a commercial vehicle does not have IRP registration, the owner must generally obtain vehicle registration in each jurisdiction through which they travel, which can be very expensive.

There will be no financial impact to state or

There will be no financial impact to state or local governments as a result of enforcing or administering these proposed amendments, and at this time the department intends to implement the amendments without programming changes to automated systems. What we'll do is we'll add a check box on the IRP application so they can indicate that they have these through our registration.

In closing, again, as I said, these amendments were published in the *Register* and we had no comments, and I ask the Board to adopt these rules as I laid them out.

And I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

MR. BACARISSE: Great. Thank you.

Members, are there any questions for Mr.

25 Archer?

1.3

2.3

1	(No response.)
2	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, are there any
3	public commenters on this item, Aline?
4	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin.
5	No comments.
6	MR. BACARISSE: No comments. Thank you.
7	Any other questions?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. BACARISSE: If not, the chair will
10	entertain a motion on agenda item 7.
11	MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, I'll make a motion.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Graham.
13	MR. GRAHAM: I move that the Board approve the
14	adoption of amendments to Chapter 217 concerning
15	registration under the Unified Carrier Registration System
16	Plan and Agreement before registering under the
17	International Registration Plan, as recommended by staff.
18	I also move that the Board grant the department the
19	ability to make changes to the adopted sections based on
20	non-substantive corrections made by the Texas Register.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
22	Is there a second for that motion?
23	MR. SCOTT: I'll second.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott, thank you.
25	Any further discussion on this agenda item,

1	members?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I'll entertain a
4	vote, please.
5	Member Alvarado?
6	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
8	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
10	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
12	MS. McRAE: Aye.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
14	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
16	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
18	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
19	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Charles Bacarisse, vote
20	aye as well. This is unanimous. Thank you.
21	MR. ARCHER: Thank you.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Jimmy, appreciate
23	it.
24	Now moving to agenda item number 8, Vehicle
25	Titles and Registration. I think Mr. Luna is going to

come back up and lay this out for us, please.

1.3

2.3

MR. LUNA: Hello again, Chairman Bacarisse, Board members. Roland Luna, Sr., Vehicle Titles and Registration Division director.

The next two agenda items are rule proposals. This one specifically is related to 217.5. The action before you is a request from the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division for Board approval to publish the proposed amendments to Texas Administrative Code 217.5 related to evidence of motor vehicle ownership in the Texas Register in accordance with the Board's statutory authority. The amendments submitted for your consideration can be referenced on page 89 of your Board book.

The proposed amendments to 217.5 expand the definition of evidence of ownership to provide an alternative to filing lawsuits to satisfy evidence of ownership requirements under Texas Transportation Code 501.033(b) related to assignment and reassignment of identification numbers by the department. The proposed amendments will allow applicants to apply for a bonded title through a surety bond to serve as ownership evidence when applying for an assigned or reassigned number from the department.

When someone purchases a vehicle and the

vehicle identification number is missing or it cannot be determined, the department has the authority under Texas Transportation Code 501.033 to issue an assigned or reassigned number. The department assigns a number when the original manufacturer's identification number cannot be determined or reassigns a number when the original identification number can be determined but is missing from the vehicle. In order for the department to issue an assigned or reassigned number, the applicant must provide valid ownership evidence.

1.3

2.3

Oftentimes, valid ownership evidence is unavailable or cannot be connected to the vehicle without the identification number. In these instances it is necessary for the applicant to pursue a court order awarding the applicant ownership of the vehicle. This causes an undue burden to the applicant in court costs and potential delays in processing.

A bonded title under Transportation Code
501.053 is existing means to establish ownership evidence
for a vehicle when evidence cannot be provided by the
applicant and the vehicle identification number is not
missing or undetermined. The bonded title process
requires the applicant to apply for a bond to the
department through a regional service center.

The department establishes the amount of the

bond which is one and a half times the value of the 1 vehicle and the applicant uses the information to obtain a 3 surety bond from an entity authorized to conduct surety 4 bond business in the State of Texas. The surety bond is 5 then used by the applicant to obtain the title in their 6 name at the county tax assessor-collector's office. 7 This amendment would align this process for manufactured vehicles with the current processes for 8 9 assembled vehicles. Owners of assembled vehicles that 10 lack ownership evidence and require an assigned or reassigned number may pursue a surety bond as ownership 11 evidence. 12 13 The agency requires your consideration for the 14 proposed amendments to Texas Administrative Code 217.5 15 related to ownership of evidence. 16 This concludes my prepared remarks and I'll 17 take any questions that you have. 18 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. 19 Members, any questions for Mr. Luna on this item? 20 21 (No response.) 22 MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, is there any 2.3 public comment? 24 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 25 No comments.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. With those two issues
2	taken care of, the chair would entertain a motion for
3	agenda item 8.
4	MS. McRAE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a
5	motion.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae.
7	MS. McRAE: I move that the Board approve the
8	proposal of amendments to Chapter 217 concerning motor
9	vehicle titles and the evidence of ownership requirements,
10	as recommended by staff. I also move that the Board grant
11	the department the ability to make changes to the proposed
12	sections based on non-substantive corrections made by the
13	Texas Register.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Vice Chair McRae.
15	Is there a second to that motion?
16	MS. GILLMAN: I'll second, Mr. Chairman.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman, thank you.
18	Any further discussion, members, on this item?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I will call the
21	vote, please.
22	Member Alvarado?
23	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
25	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
2	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
3	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
4	MS. McRAE: Aye.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
6	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
8	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
10	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
11	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
12	aye as well. Let the record reflect that was unanimous.
13	Thank you.
14	We now move to agenda item 9 and I'll turn it
15	back over to Mr. Luna.
16	MR. LUNA: Roland Luna, Sr., Vehicle Titles and
17	Registration Division director.
18	This is another rule proposal for 217.9. The
19	action before you is a request from VTR for Board approval
20	to publish the proposed amendments to Texas Administrative
21	Code 217.9 related to bonded titles in the Texas Register
22	under the Board's statutory authority. The amendments
23	submitted for your consideration can be referenced on page
24	104.
25	The proposed amendments to 217.9 clarify

portions of the rule which removed duplicative information and provide alternatives for establishing the vehicle value for owners of vehicles 25 years or older and owners of trailers and semi-trailers. The proposed amendments allow owners of vehicles that are 25 years or older applying for a bonded title the option to obtain an appraisal in lieu of using a national reference guide.

2.3

In addition, the amendments will allow the owners for trailers and semi-trailers to use the department's established value of the trailer or semi-trailer in lieu of obtaining an appraisal.

As mentioned with the proposed amendments in 217.5 previously, part of the surety bond application process is for the department to establish the amount of the bond, which is one and a half times the value of the vehicle. The value of the vehicle is determined by using the standard presumptive value, SPV, which is based on similar sales in the Texas region.

If SPV is unavailable, then the value is determined by using a national guide such as NADA. If the value is not available through either of those options, an appraisal must be obtained through a Texas licensed motor vehicle dealer or an insurance adjustor.

SPV is not available for some vehicles that are older than 25 years. This leaves a national reference

guide as the only basis to establish the vehicle's value.

In some instances the national reference guide may be well over the value of the vehicle that is 25 years or older.

2.3

For example, if you have a 1965 Ford pickup that may have been left in a field for many years that needs to be completely restored, oftentimes, the worth that is noted in the established national guide is well over the value. The proposed amendments will allow the owner the option to obtain an appraisal in lieu of having to utilize the national reference guide.

Additionally, for trailers and semi-trailers, especially those that are homemade, a national reference guide value is often not available. To prevent an owner of a trailer or semi-trailer from having to pay or obtain an appraisal, this proposed amendment would allow an owner the option to use values established by the department based on the length of the trailer. The established values of the proposed amendment were determined based on observations of what typical appraised values are for trailers and semi-trailers.

In addition, the minimum value set at \$4,000 is to ensure the bond amount would not be less than \$6,000, which is one and a half times the \$4,000 a surety bond company would set. This is consistent with the minimum

value currently established for vehicles 25 years or older 1 of the same process. 3 These proposed amendments are customer-centric 4 by providing the applicant discretion when establishing a 5 value for their vehicle. The agency requires 6 consideration for the proposed amendments for 7 Administrative Code 217.9. This concludes my prepared remarks and I'll 8 9 take any questions that you have. 10 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Roland, appreciate it. 11 12 Members, any questions for Mr. Luna on this 1.3 item? 14 (No response.) 15 MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, I would entertain 16 a motion for agenda item 9. 17 MS. McRAE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a motion. 18 19 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Vice Chair McRae. 20 MS. McRAE: I move that the Board approve the 21 proposal of amendments to Chapter 217 concerning bonded 22 titles, as recommended by staff. I also move that the 2.3 Board grant the department the ability to make changes to 24 the proposed sections based on non-substantive corrections

made by the Texas Register.

25

1	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
2	Is there a second for this motion?
3	MS. GILLMAN: I'll second, Mr. Chairman.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman. Okay. Thank
5	you.
6	Any further discussion, members?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I would like to
9	all a vote, please.
10	Member Alvarado?
11	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
13	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
15	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
17	MS. McRAE: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
19	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
21	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
23	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
25	aye as well. This is unanimous. Thank you.

We now move to agenda item number 10 which has to do with specialty plate designs. So Roland, keep going.

MR. LUNA: Roland Luna, Vehicle Titles and Registration Division director.

2.3

Chairman Bacarisse, Board members, the action item before you is a request from the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division for Board approval or denial in accordance with the Board's statutory authority for three plates. The three plate designs submitted for your consideration can be referenced on page 111. Each plate design is from the state's specialty license plate marketing vendor, My Plates. The plate designs are from My Plates who seeks approval in accordance with 504.801.

The three plates that you see are the Classic Black, Classic Blue, and Classic Pink. Each one of them has a Silver sheeting over the top of it. The department posted all three proposed plate designs for public comment in December of 2021.

For the Classic Black plate with Silver sheeting, 665 people liked the design and 70 did not. For the Classic Blue with Silver sheeting -- which is the one in the middle -- 517 people liked the design, and 105 did not. For the Classic Pink and Silver, 348 people liked the design and 307 did not.

1	The agency requests your consideration for
2	approval of these plates, and I will take any questions
3	that you have. And while Brian is shining the light over
4	there, I will say those have all the security features
5	that all our other metal plates have as well, I just want
6	to point that out. They have the high definition sheeting
7	as well so they have maximum reflective sheeting on there.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Texans just have a problem with
9	pink plates, I guess. Is that right?
10	MR. LUNA: Not sure. I like blue.
11	MR. BACARISSE: There you go. Thank you.
12	Members, any questions for Mr. Luna?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. BACARISSE: Is there any public questions
15	on this item?
16	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
17	No public comments.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
19	If there are no questions, I would entertain a
20	motion to approve this agenda item.
21	MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?
22	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Scott.
23	MR. SCOTT: I move the Board approve the
24	Classic Black Silver, Blue Silver and Pink Silver plate
25	designs as presented by staff under Transportation Code

1	Section 504.801.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Scott.
3	Is there a second for this motion?
4	MS. McRAE: I'll second.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae seconding.
6	Any other discussion on this item, members?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. BACARISSE: If not, I'll call the vote,
9	please.
10	Member Alvarado?
11	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
13	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
15	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
17	MS. McRAE: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
19	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
21	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
23	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
25	aye as well. It's unanimous. Thank you.

We will now move on to agenda item number 11,
Legislative and Public Affairs Committee update. That
committee met yesterday under Chair Paul Scott, so Member
Scott, do you have some things you'd like to share?

2.3

MR. SCOTT: Thank you, Chair Bacarisse and Director Mellott and members.

Yesterday, Members McRae and Alvarado of the Legislative and Public Affairs Committee joined me in discussing legislative and policy items important to the Board.

We began the meeting by receiving status updates on pieces of legislation passed during last year's legislative session. In your Board books you will find a packet of one-page summaries for each of the 27 bills of major significance to the department being tracked at this time. I'd like to highlight several of those for you.

The Board is aware of the implementation status of House Bill 3927, enhancing the regulation of temporary tag production and use. I congratulate both the Board and department staff on the success of these activities and their potential to significantly reduce the production and use of fraudulent.

However, this is not the only work the department has been undertaking the last several months.

In December staff completed an implementation of House

Bill 2152 which allows Texans to renew vehicle registration online for up to 12 months past expiration.

1.3

2.3

Senate Bill 792 became effective January 1, changing the allowed use of disabled veteran tags for parking access. Disabled veterans plates must now have the international symbol for access, or ISA, to access disabled parking spaces. Disabled veterans whose license plates are not yet up for renewal and who meet the ISA standard can continue to also use disabled parking placards until they are able to obtain new plates.

Next, Senate Bill 1815, while not requiring direct implementation of the agency, was passed during the last legislative session and aligns the state vehicle size and weight standards with federal regulations. Some may remember that this legislation was the result of Board recommendations made prior to the last legislative session.

Finally, on December 20, seven new specialty license plates became available to the public. Several of the new military-related plates are also available with disabled veteran designation.

This work is a reminder that while department and staff have been primarily focused on addressing the issue of fraudulent temporary tags and their public safety impacts, staff has continued to accomplish the regular

daily work required of the DMV to meet any needs of the motoring public.

And we also have Keith Yawn here if anyone has any questions about legislative followup.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions for Mr. Yawn? If you have any, he's here and available.

(No response.)

1.3

2.3

MR. BACARISSE: Go ahead.

MR. SCOTT: All right.

Mr. Yawn also provided the committee and overview of the planned upcoming schedule for the identification, analysis and approval of legislative recommendations to the 88th Texas Legislature. We discussed during our deliberations on the temporary tag issue it can be useful for the Board to make recommendations to the state legislature about ways the department identifies to be more responsive to our customers and regulated industries that might require statutory change. While it may seem early to begin this discussion, it can take time to collect these ideas from our various stakeholders and appropriately vet their legal, operational and financial impacts so that we have a clear understanding of what we are recommending to the legislature.

Staff is beginning the process of identifying

early ideas for statutory change this month through internal staff and stakeholder groups. Of course, Board members are also encouraged to suggest ideas for consideration.

1.3

2.3

Staff will collect the ideas and review their potential impacts throughout the spring, creating a prioritized list. They hope to bring the Board an initial slate of potential recommendations for our consideration in the early summer. After receiving our feedback on the ideas, they will further refine them as needed and bring us the final list of recommendations at the end of the summer.

While this is an aggressive schedule, the time should allow the staff to use the months leading into the session to educated legislators and elected official offices on the statutory needs of the department.

Anyone have any questions so far?

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions for Mr. Scott on his report?

(No response.)

MR. SCOTT: Okay. Next concerning the briefing on MVIRAC, Monique Johnston and Brian Ge provided an update on the Motor Vehicle Regulation Industry Advisory Committee meeting and the committee's recommendations.

Ms. Johnson provided information about the MVIRAC's

recommendations to fingerprint independent GDN holders. Implementing this recommendation would allow the department to fingerprint approximately 83 percent of the license holders, including non-franchised motor vehicle, motorcycle, towable travel trailer, utility trailer, and semi-trailer dealers which is 83 percent of the license holders.

1.3

2.3

Fingerprints would be collected using the Department of Public Safety's vendor, and that vendor has a large nationwide network of locations. Individuals being fingerprinted would have to prove their identity and pay the cost, currently \$38.25 per person. Fingerprints would only be required once an associated license is approved and continues to be timely renewed.

The eLICENSING system must be modified to store related criminal history information or other databases.

A solution must be identified that meets DPS requirements.

In addition to temporary tag abuse, the department is also concerned about other serious disqualifying crimes, such as human trafficking. So Ms. Johnston provided information about the impact if the Board decided to broaden the fingerprint requirement for those with access to eTAG, which is approximately 92 percent of license holders, or all license holders.

Mr. Ge provided information about the MVIRAC's

recommendation to conduct in-person, onsite premise inspections prior to a person being issued a license. Implementing this recommendation would allow the department to ensure that all licenses and applicants are operating at the locations indicated on their applications and that the locations meet the premise requirements of TxDMV rules.

2.3

If the department were to conduct premise inspections for all new applications, which based on fiscal year '21 data would be approximately 4,000 premise inspections, the department would need a minimum of 14 investigators, one supervisor, one attorney and eleven vehicles, for an annual cost of about \$890,000 and a one-time cost of \$275,000.

So if the department were to conduct premise location inspections for all new and renewal applications, which based on fiscal year '21 data would be approximately 13,900 premise inspections, the department would need a minimum of 20 investigators, one supervisor, two attorneys, and 13 vehicles, at an annual cost of \$1.3 million and a one-time cost of approximately \$325,000.

If the department were conduct premise inspections for all new and renewal applications and amendments to existing licenses, which based on fiscal year '21 data would be approximately 16,300 inspections,

the department would need 24 inspectors, two supervisors, two attorneys and 20 vehicles, at an annual cost of about \$1.5 million and a one-time cost of \$500,000.

2.3

If we have any questions about that, Ms. Johnston and Mr. Ge are here to answer any questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions of our staff or Chair Scott on these issues?

MR. GRAHAM: Yes. This is Member Graham.

MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham, yes, sir.

MR. GRAHAM: I would just like to take a moment if we could, maybe talk about the opinions of this Board on potential collaborative partners to achieve this. I heard in the report yesterday during your committee hearing, Mr. Scott, that 70 percent of these folks are located in major metro areas, 30 percent are in the other ginormous 250 counties in the state -- or whatever that equates out to -- and so I just think we really need to think about some collaboration with some partners if that's where we decide to go to achieve this.

Rather than putting people in vehicles and driving them all over the state, we have some great partners, like law enforcement, in these communities, boots on the ground, know the folks there, know the properties, know the lay of the land, seems like there might be a fit. And I was just wondering if we could just

talk about that a moment.

1.3

2.3

MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman, did you have a comment?

MS. GILLMAN: I also think that we should think through how to best do these site visits using Texas resources. I feel like inspecting all may be overkill, yet inspecting the identified abusers may be the right thing to do, and I think is it Braeburn -- Bradburn identified 17. In earlier conversations, other discussion, the abusers may be -- I'm throwing out a number -- less than 500 per year, and we might utilize support that already exists, other resources, certainly with law enforcement, if they're identified as maybe suspected fraud or abusive practices.

So I just think that possibly without painting a broad brush and maybe wasting some tax dollars we could narrow the focus in more of a rifle shot instead of shotgun approach and attack the problem. So I just think that there should be further discussion, all the while the mission and objective is to do site visits on those suspected of not really being good car dealers.

MR. BACARISSE: Good points, and I'll chime in and just say that I think we absolutely need to know our customers, every one of them. And perhaps, to your point, just to amplify, we ought to look at asking the

legislature to really increase the funding dramatically to the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention fund which then could be given out to local law enforcement for this purpose, so that we're leveraging resources and partnerships and we're giving resources to local law enforcement because they know where the problems are on the ground.

1.3

2.3

So I think everything ought to be on the table in these conversations. I salute your committee, Chair Scott, for focusing on this, and I think we ought to put everything on the table and figure out what the best solution might be, or option, that we can either enact through our own rulemaking capability or go back to the legislature and ask for additional assistance.

MR. SCOTT: I'd also like to say that we are currently, the department currently, if we detect a problem with an application, either the photos don't match up properly or there's other reason to suspect that there may be a problem with the application, we are doing onsite visits using current resources.

Is that correct, Monique?

MS. JOHNSTON: Monique Johnston, director of the Motor Vehicle Division.

Yes, that is correct. During the licensing process if we have concerns about the location, do not feel the dealer meets maybe premise requirements or

there's concern about the location based on previous criminal activity or issues or enforcement activity, then we would request a site visit as a part of the application process.

2.3

MR. SCOTT: Yes. So some of this stuff, doing onsite visits for new applications and renewals, that's going to take legislative action because we have to have appropriations for that. Okay? But I would commend us for looking for ways right now -- we know we have a problem and there's certain things we can take action on now using current resources.

And we're doing what we can and I would say that we're going to be looking for more ways to do what we can while on that site visit and so forth, doing as good a job as we possibly can on making sure that we're licensing the right people using the resources we have. If we want to go out and decide we want to do site visits on every new application, then we're going to have to leverage things.

We're going to have to use maybe Chairman

Bacarisse's idea on using, you know, the Motor Vehicle law
enforcement, that's probably a good idea. But while we're
developing the legislative action that we need to take, we
are already looking at the problem and trying to figure
out what can we do right now with the resources that we

1	have. Am I correct?
2	MS. JOHNSTON: That is correct, yes, sir.
3	MR. SCOTT: Okay.
4	MR. BACARISSE: We salute that.
5	MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you.
6	MR. SCOTT: And if anybody has any more
7	suggestions on what we can do, we'd love to hear from any
8	member of staff, from the Board, stakeholders. Using
9	those resources we have, we need to keep working on this
10	every day.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Chairman Scott.
12	Member Gillman.
13	MS. GILLMAN: I volunteer to sell the vehicles
14	to the great State of Texas.
15	MR. SCOTT: They have to be white.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Yeah, they have to be white.
17	MS. GILLMAN: That's okay, we can do that.
18	(General laughter.)
19	MR. BACARISSE: Really doing your part, I
20	appreciate that.
21	All right. Thank you, Chairman Scott, I
22	appreciate that briefing.
23	I'm sorry?
24	MS. AUCOIN: For the record, Aline Aucoin.
25	There is one commenter on agenda item 11.C

which is the item just covered, Cpl. Mike Bradburn. 1 2 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir, Cpl. Bradburn. 3 CPL. BRADBURN: Good afternoon. Thank you for 4 having me back. 5 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir. 6 CPL. BRADBURN: I actually came here with the 7 big discussion y'all just had, that's what I'm going to 8 talk about. To clarify, ad-libbing, that was 17 dealers 9 I'm investigating for a criminal enterprise. There's more 10 than that that are out there. 11 MR. BACARISSE: Oh, sure. 12 CPL. BRADBURN: Doing investigation I go out 13 sometimes to Houston, wherever, sometimes they've maybe 14 rented a spot for a day, put a sign up, but they're flat 15 out not there. You're going to need more investigators, 16 that's no doubt. That would prevent a lot of stuff. That's also going to get dealers in compliance. 17 For the record, most dealers are law-abiding 18 19 citizens. I used to work at DMV. I deal with criminals, 20 so what I'm talking about, I'm talking about criminals. I'm going to do a little advocating on the 21 22 investigators as well. They're civilian investigators, 2.3 you're sending them out every day to do inspections, to 24 investigate dealers on the administrative side. However,

I can tell you cartels are in dealerships.

25

Money is being laundered to go to foreign entities that are very bad people. They're doing tax fraud by undervaluing vehicles using their license, selling this stuff. That's the minority, however, your investigators are going out right now unarmed.

2.3

The State of Texas allows any agency to let their staff carry a weapon for personal protection only if you allow it; other agencies do. I would just recommend you looking at that for their safety. That's something I believe in.

With the next legislation cycle on the horizon it's time for everybody to get together. You're all talking about that. I can tell you the last cycle our office worked with CLEAT, the law enforcement association, and Jennifer specifically. We got House Bill 3927 passed, we can see the success right now.

I'm throwing that out there, if we can work together, we're already looking at the next cycle, we can be an advocate for you as well. You're going to need money, money for computer systems to verify VINs, staffing.

I'll leave it at that. If you have any questions, I'm available.

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.

Members, any questions for Mr. Bradburn?

MS. GILLMAN: Thank you. 1 2 CPL. BRADBURN: Thank you. 3 MR. BACARISSE: Thank you. Appreciate the 4 additional points made. Thank you. 5 Aline, any other commenters? 6 MS. AUCOIN: No other commenters on the rest of 7 the agenda. 8 MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Thank you. 9 Chair Scott, have you got one more? 10 MR. SCOTT: Yes. I've got agenda item 11.D. --11 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir. 12 MR. SCOTT: -- which is a briefing on the DMV 1.3 review of potential enhancements to temporary tags. 14 Roland Luna provided an update on potential 15 enhancements to the temporary tags. Mr. Luna described 16 the research conducted to identify, develop and implement 17 temp tag enhancements and also explained the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators license plate 18 19 quide. 20 Mr. Luna further explained how the department has implemented the majority of best practices related to 21 22 temporary tags and will fully implement all best practices 2.3 since all provisions of House Bill 3927 are in place. In 24 addition to best practices, the department will continue

to identify methods to combat temporary tag fraud.

25

Mr. Luna described how VTR, ITSD and MVD are working together to implement the max tag and system denial rules and a comprehensive review of the eTAG system which identified a list of additional enhancements and safeguards that might be implemented. The level of effort and prioritization of these enhancements was also completed since the IT programming and coordination.

1.3

2.3

Mr. Luna described how VTR is working with other states to determine and document best practice. VTR has identified several other states, New York, Ohio, Florida, Nebraska, North Carolina, Utah and Nevada, and will also meet with them over the next couple of weeks to evaluate their practices, procedure and temporary tag systems. A summary of findings will be produced and provided for future coordination and implementation.

Furthermore, VTR researched and analyzed tamper-evident products and services available from third-party vendors that could possibly assist with eTAG security. VTR is currently working with multiple vendors that offer products that may be useful in enhancing eTAG security. Information from these companies will be compiled and discussed internally to determine the viability for implementation.

Mr. Luna concluded by stating the TxDMV will continue to monitor and evaluate any and all measures to

improve and secure the eTAG system.

1.3

2.3

Mr. Luna is here if anybody has any questions about that.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions?

Member Graham, I think you have a question.

MR. GRAHAM: I was curious if at this point you have any data on how many other states utilize paper tags.

MR. LUNA: Roland Luna, Vehicle Titles and Registration Division director.

It's a mixed bag, Member Graham. There are some states that only use metal plates; there are some states that use a combination of metal plates and temporary tags. There are some states that require the purchase of a new vehicle to be reflected in our version of RTS, Registration and Title System. The customer would have to return to the dealership three to four to five days later to take possession of the vehicle. That is not customer-centric.

So with some of those compliance regulations that they have would cause an undue burden, not only to the customer but could possibly impact economic development here in Texas. We understand that we need to strike a balance between voluntary compliance, enforcement, and promoting business and commerce here in the State of Texas. So while we have already reached out

to six states, we did reach out to an additional 42 states to see what they're doing for temporary tags.

1.3

2.3

While AAMVA has produced the best practices guide, we use that as a starting point and we don't believe that that's the end-all. We think it's important for us to explore additional enhancements that we can implement to combat temporary tag fraud, which is why we reached out to some vendors.

And the tamper-evident products that Chairman Scott talked about, some of those include ink, secure paper. They include multi-factor authentication which helps identify a person's identity. And we think by having these protections and these mechanisms on the front-end confirming someone's identity will help prevent fraud in the future. And that's why we wanted to reach out to all these other states to also identify the technologies that they're using, because if there's a technology that they're using with temporary tag regulation, we want to borrow that process if it's working.

Did that answer your question, sir?

MR. GRAHAM: Well, I'm still not sure how many states use paper tags.

MR. LUNA: I don't have a number on that, sir, but it is a mixed bag. From our research so far, it is

paper tags and metal. 1 2 MR. GRAHAM: And so when -- what kind of 3 timeline before y'all have this work completed, do you think? 4 5 MR. LUNA: Definitely by the next Board 6 meeting. 7 MR. GRAHAM: Okay. Very good. Look forward to 8 hearing that. 9 MR. LUNA: Yes, sir. 10 MR. GRAHAM: You know, clearly we have some issues with our temp tags and having an idea of what else 11 12 is going on out there will be helpful to finding the right 13 solution -- well, not the right solution, the right 14 solutions because it's going to be many legs to that 15 table. 16 So anyway, thank you very much. 17 MR. LUNA: Yes, sir. MR. BACARISSE: Hang just a minute. 18 19 Member Prewitt, did you have a question? 20 MR. PREWITT: Yes, Mr. Chairman. John Prewitt. 21 Just a question. Have you asked the state that 22 used metal plates versus paper plates what the difference 2.3 is in fraud? In other words, is there a significant 24 decrease in fraud with the use of metal plates versus

25

paper plates?

MR. LUNA: There is still fraud, but it's not fraud involving temporary tags. There still is fraud using metal plates where vehicles are stolen, plates are stolen, or where there's fraud in a particular office where those plates are unaccounted for and they're sold from the office. Some of those states that do have metal plates, they also have a law enforcement unit that is embedded within the agency that provides the plates.

2.3

MR. PREWITT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any other questions on this subject? This is all very important. Good job.

MR. SCOTT: Yes, thank you.

I just have a further comment. I'd like to say that as we look at enhancements we can make to paper tags, as we look at different states, see what other people are doing, I think we need to look at the things and say this could be broken into several components. And I want to caution us against waiting till we have all the lights on green before we leave. Okay?

There's several pieces, so we need to identify and prioritize the pieces and as quickly as we can get them ready we need to take action on them. So we don't need to wait for one thing because we don't have the other thing ready to go yet. We get a priority and press hard and keep moving.

MR. BACARISSE: Point well made. Thank you.

Members, any other questions for Mr. Luna?

MR. LUNA: Chairman Bacarisse, if I may?

MR. BACARISSE: Yes, please.

1.3

2.3

MR. LUNA: Part of the committee remarks yesterday were to that point that Chair Scott is talking about. The internal enhancements that we've identified are things that we can do at the agency level without additional funding, without the research from other states. We have some security enhancements that we've prioritized internally that we will be working on over the next few months to increase identity validation, to increase the verification of the vehicle, the VIN decoding, ensuring that that is a legitimate vehicle prior to it being sold.

So there's a number of things that we're working on in addition to the implementation of HB 3927 for the denial process and the establishment of max tag limits. So we have that with the enhancements that we have and the external research that we're conducting to make sure that we're looking at all of those things to make sure that we continue to combat temporary tag fraud.

MR. BACARISSE: Love that. Thank you. Good stuff.

MR. SCOTT: And we had some testimony, Mr. Ge

spoke to us yesterday about the specific things that we've been able to do already as a result of the action the Board took in January. Is that correct?

MR. GE: Brian Ge, managing attorney.

Yes, sir. So some of the things that we've already been doing with the authority that the Board granted us about two weeks ago, we have suspended continued access to the temporary tag database for six We conducted investigations on all of those dealers. dealers. We have all the evidence needed to move forward with further administrative action, and those dealers, they're not printing tags anymore, they're not selling them.

We have -- as Cpl. Bradburn testified earlier, we have gone from 25,000-something tags a week to low hundreds, and that's thanks to the efforts of our investigators who drive out to these locations to conduct site visits, to follow up with TACs, tax assessorcollectors, to get vehicle inventory tax statements, following up with all of our stakeholders, really, to get what we need to shut these people off quickly and still fairly.

> MR. BACARISSE: Great.

Members, any questions of Mr. Ge?

MS. GILLMAN: Good job.

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	MR. BACARISSE: Yes. We appreciate that
2	effort, absolutely.
3	MR. GE: Thank you.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Ge.
5	MR. GRAHAM: One thing.
6	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham, yes, sir.
7	MR. GRAHAM: I feel like I need to ask, is
8	there anything you need today that you don't have,
9	anything this Board can do for you to assist you in this
10	process? We want to give you the tools you need to be
11	able to shut these bad actors down as quickly as possible.
12	Is there anything else you can think of that we need to
13	be working on?
14	MR. GE: To implement 3927 we don't need
15	anything else. We have all the tools that we need.
16	Again, as everyone else stated, other things
17	that we can do to deter fraud, conducting more premise
18	inspections on the front-end, having more investigators on
19	hand to just be able to look at more things. I mean,
20	those are discussions for the future, but in terms of what
21	we need right now to shut down temporary tag abusers, we
22	have what we need and we can do it.
23	MR. GRAHAM: Great. Thank you.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
25	Members, anything else?

MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Mr. Ge, appreciate it. Thank you.

1.3

2.3

Now we want to move to agenda item number 12, a report from the Finance and Audit area, and we've got Glenna Bowman here and Ann Pearce coming up. Ladies.

MS. BOWMAN: Good afternoon, Chairman

Bacarisse, Board members. For the record, my name is

Glenna Bowman. I'm the chief financial officer for TxDMV.

If you will refer to item 12 on page 196 of your Board materials, you will find a memo that outlines the recommendation for this item, and it's followed by a redline version of the contract approval procedures we would like to update. I have Ann Pearce with me. She's our director of Administrative Services, in case I need help answering any of your questions.

TxDMV staff recommends that the Board approve proposed updates to the contract approval procedures that were adopted by the Board on May 2, 2019.

As you may recall, the Texas Department of Transportation is in the process of moving off the Camp Hubbard property where TxDMV's headquarters facility is located. As part of this transition, TxDMV is taking on certain facilities maintenance responsibilities. As part of this transition, staff recommends changes to the Board's contract approval procedures to include additional

exemptions from Board approval authority for certain routine operations. Those things would include recycling services, elevator related services, heating and cooling -- we're taking over the HVAC system from TxDOT -- and so on, and there's a list in your materials.

2.3

Staff is also recommending that we exclude certain real estate leases for the same location from the approval procedures, but this exclusion would only apply to renewals and amendments to existing leases as well as new leases for the same real estate that we were occupying under the prior lease. So the lease agreements are typically included in our operating budget which the Board approves each fiscal year, so you would still see that information. And again, the exclusion does not apply to the new lease for a different property, such as when TxDMV is moving to a new location or adding another location, so it's very specific.

Staff further recommends deleting Footnote 2 from the procedure. This excepts interagency contract between TxDMV and TxDOT from the general exception for interagency contracts. While the TxDOT contract is going to remain in effect after the transition of the facility's maintenance responsibilities from TxDOT to TxDMV, our cost under that contract will be dramatically reduced because we'll be paying our vendors, we're providing the

maintenance services rather than paying TxDOT.

2.3

The contract will continue, just for your information, to be in effect because it will require TxDMV to continue to pay TxDOT for maintenance services for some of our regional service centers that they continue to do maintenance on. And it will continue to govern other matters between TxDMV and TxDOT, such as sharing of data and systems by the two agencies, but there's not a cost associated with those items.

Finally, we are recommending authorizing the Finance and Audit Committee chairman, in addition to the Board chairman, to approve the exception of a contract on an emergency basis. That way we have two people who can sign on an emergency basis. In the event the chairman is not available, we would go to the chairman of the Finance and Operations Committee.

And there's no financial impact associated with this item. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions for Ms. Bowman on this item?

(No response.)

MR. BACARISSE: Okay. Hearing none, I would entertain a motion for agenda item number 12.

MS. OMUMU: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a motion, please.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu.
2	MS. OMUMU: I move that the Board approve the
3	modifications to the May 2, 2019, contract approval
4	procedures, as recommended by staff.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Is there a second?
6	MR. GRAHAM: Second.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham.
8	Is there any discussion on this agenda item?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, I'll call the
11	vote, please.
12	Member Alvarado?
13	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
15	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
17	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
19	MS. McRAE: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
21	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
23	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
25	MR. SCOTT: Aye.

MR. BACARISSE: And I, Charles Bacarisse, vote aye as well. It is unanimous.

Thank you, Ms. Bowman.

1.3

2.3

MS. BOWMAN: Thank you.

MR. BACARISSE: And I will move now to agenda item 13 which is a delegation of authority issue. And we'll turn it over to Jimmy Archer for his presentation.

MR. ARCHER: Good afternoon again, Mr. Chairman and Board.

This item number 13 is found on page 201 of your Board book. For the Board's consideration, I'm requesting the Board delegate authority to the executive director to approve the contract to modify the Texas IRP System to implement Senate Bill 1064. The estimated cost to update the Texas IRP System for this information is up to \$250,000, and these funds were allocated by the legislature in the last session.

Senate Bill 1064 allows a county with a population of at least 3.3 million to register the vehicles it owns and exclusively uses for up to eight years at a time. At this time the provisions only apply to Harris County.

Implementation of the bill requires administrative rules and programming to department computer systems primarily handled by our contract vendor.

Finance and Administrative Services and the Information 1 2 Technology Division are assisting MCD in the procurement 3 of this contract. Proprietary procurement is in progress. 4 Harris County fleet administration have been notified that 5 the possible completion of the implementation will likely 6 be in November of 2022. 7 In closing, again I'm requesting the Board 8 delegate authority to the executive director to approve and sign a contract to modify the Texas IRP system to 9 10 implement Senate Bill 1064. Thank you. 11 MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions for Mr. Archer on this item? 12 1.3 (No response.) 14 MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, I would entertain 15 a motion on this item. 16 MR. GRAHAM: Member Graham. I'll make a 17 motion. MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Graham. 18 19 MR. GRAHAM: I move the Board delegate the 20 authority to the department's executive director to 21 approve the contract to modify the Texas International 22 Registration Plan System to implement Senate Bill 1064. 2.3 MR. BACARISSE: And is there a second on that 24 amendment?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. OMUMU: I second, Mr. Chairman.

25

1	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu seconds. Any
2	further discussion on this item?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none and seeing none, I
5	will call the vote, please.
6	Member Alvarado?
7	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
9	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
11	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
13	MS. McRAE: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
15	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
17	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
19	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote
21	aye as well. It is unanimous. Thank you.
22	Now we move to number 14, Mr. Archer.
23	MR. ARCHER: Yes, sir. Again for the record,
24	Jimmy Archer, director of the Motor Carrier Division.
25	This is item number 14 and it is found on page

202 of your Board books. For the Board's consideration, we're requesting the Board to approve the renewal of a software maintenance and operations contract for Explore Information Services for its continued maintenance and operations of our Texas IRP System. This renewal should be exercised so the vendor can continue to offer software maintenance and support for the Texas IRP System.

1.3

2.3

This would be the second of three two-year renewals. The cost supports the online IRP System and related components. The monthly cost is \$28,025 per month, or \$336,000 annually.

In 2005 the Department of Transportation entered into a turnkey contract with Explore Information Services to provide a proprietary base, custom-off-the-shelf system, or COTS system, and develop modules specific to Texas which became the Texas IRP System, and to implement and maintain this system as a replacement for existing mainframe-based IRP systems. Since the system implementation, Explore has provided maintenance services for software updates and enhancements and system support services on an ongoing basis at the direction to Texas DMV staff.

Texas IRP collected \$209,015,088 to the Texas IRP System in fiscal year 2021. The annual cost to maintain the system online is \$336,000, or one dollar for

1	every \$621.51 of revenue collected. The system supports
2	the following: fleet registration, apportioned
3	registration, token trailer registration, forestry, rental
4	trailers, PRISM, and records and review audits.
5	In closing, again I'm requesting the Board
6	approval of this renewal option for the software
7	maintenance contract. And I'm happy to answer any
8	questions you may have.
9	MR. BACARISSE: Members, any questions for Mr.
10	Archer on this agenda item?
11	MR. PREWITT: Mr. Chairman, John Prewitt.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Prewitt.
13	MR. PREWITT: Mr. Archer, I saw where the code
14	is continued to be owned by the vendor. Is that correct?
15	MR. ARCHER: Yes, sir, that is correct.
16	MR. PREWITT: And for the foreseeable future
17	that's the plan is to have them own the code?
18	MR. ARCHER: Yes, sir.
19	MR. PREWITT: Okay. Thank you.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Any other questions, members?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I'd entertain a
23	motion on agenda item 14, please.
24	MR. PREWITT: Mr. Chairman, John Prewitt.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Yes.

1	MR. PREWITT: I'd like to move that the Board
2	approve the renewal of the contract for the software
3	maintenance and support for the Texas International
4	Registration Plan System.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Okay. And is there a second?
6	MS. GILLMAN: I'll second, Mr. Chairman.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you, Member Gillman.
8	I'll make a note of that, and is there any
9	further discussion on this item?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, I'll call the
12	vote, please.
13	Member Alvarado?
14	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
16	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
18	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
20	MS. McRAE: Aye.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
22	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
23	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
24	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?

MR. SCOTT: 1 Aye. 2 MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote aye as well. It's unanimous. 3 4 Thank you, Mr. Archer again. 5 MR. ARCHER: Thank you. 6 MR. BACARISSE: Yes, sir. 7 Wendy Barron is going to come up and address 8 agenda item number 15 for us, please. 9 Ms. Barron, thank you for being here. 10 MS. BARRON: Absolutely. Good afternoon, Chairman, members of the Board. For the record, I'm Wendy 11 Barron, chief information officer. 12 13 I'm here today to request that the Board 14 delegate authority to the department's executive director 15 to approve and sign the end-user information technology 16 outsourcing contract, and including any renewals after 17 consulting with the Board chairman. The purpose of this contract is to support the 18 19 over 500 tax assessor-collector offices, the 16 regional 20 service centers, and the DMV headquarters in Austin. Because the solicitation for this contract is pending, we 21 22 don't want to publicly disclose estimated costs at this 2.3 time, however, it is likely that the cost will exceed one 24 million dollars.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

The DMV IT Services Division right now does not

25

have the adequate full time equivalent staff to support over 500 tax assessor-collector offices, 16 RSCs and the headquarters all at the same time, so the goal of this contract is to engage one or more vendors that can provide the applicable and necessary labor, supervision, maintenance, consultation and/or materials to provide the deliverables described in the statement of work, including any hardware life cycle refreshes and computer break fixes or peripheral and printer support. The level of this support is necessary so that we can continue to maintain and secure our information technology resources and to continue to provide good customer service.

2.3

Due to the dollar amount of the potential contract, we are requesting that the Board delegate signature authority to the executive director to sign the contract, which is how the Board has handled prior contracts under Section 2261.254. Due to the need of these services and the fact that the next Board meeting is scheduled for April, at this time we're also requesting that the Board delegate authority to the executive director to approve the contract after consulting with the Board chairman. The department would like to have the authority to sign the contract as soon as possible, as soon as we are ready to award the contract.

That concludes my presentation. I'm here to

1	answer any questions you may have.
2	MR. BACARISSE: Thank you.
3	Members, any questions for Ms. Barron?
4	MS. McRAE: I have just one comment.
5	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member McRae.
6	MS. McRAE: We need your support.
7	MS. BARRON: Absolutely, yeah.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Critical.
9	MS. BARRON: Very important.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Any other questions, members?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. BACARISSE: Seeing none, I'll entertain a
13	motion on item number 15, please.
14	MS. OMUMU: I'd like to make a motion, Mr.
15	Chairman.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Omumu.
17	MS. OMUMU: I move that the Board delegate
18	authority to the department's executive director to
19	approve and sign the end-user information technology
20	outsourcing contract, including any renewals after
21	consulting with Board chairman.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Very good. Is there a second
23	to that motion?
24	MS. McRAE: I'll second that motion.
25	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chair McRae, thank you.

1	Members, any other discussion or further
2	discussion on this motion or agenda item?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none or seeing none,
5	I'll call the vote, please.
6	Member Alvarado?
7	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
8	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
9	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
10	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
11	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
12	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
13	MS. McRAE: Aye.
14	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
15	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
17	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
18	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott?
19	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: And I, Chair Bacarisse, vote
21	aye as well. That is unanimous.
22	Thank you, Ms. Barron, appreciate that.
23	And at this point
24	MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman?
25	MR. BACARISSE: Yes, Member Graham.

1	MR. GRAHAM: I'm sorry to interrupt.
2	MR. BACARISSE: That's all right.
3	MR. GRAHAM: I have a question perhaps a
4	matter of new business but really just a request, so I'm
5	not exactly sure. I'm going to tell you what I would like
6	for you to consider.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Okay.
8	MR. GRAHAM: And then you can tell me if we can
9	talk about that.
10	(General laughter.)
11	MR. BACARISSE: Very good. All right.
12	MR. GRAHAM: The last thing I need is trouble.
13	MR. BACARISSE: I'm always open to suggestions.
14	Go ahead.
15	MR. GRAHAM: What I would like to ask is that
16	we request IT to take a look at our options on how we
17	might go about expediently providing access for law
18	enforcement to our system.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Well, that's a great question,
20	Member Graham, and that's one which I was planning to
21	address in our upcoming closed session, exactly that
22	issue.
23	MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this
24	point, I'll rescind.
25	MR. BACARISSE: That's a great question.

1	You've just given folks a preview of some of what we're
2	going to talk about.
3	MR. GRAHAM: My bad. I thought we're not
4	supposed to do that, but anyway. I'll shut up now.
5	MR. BACARISSE: No, I appreciate it. No,
6	listen, I think this Board is all kind of thinking in the
7	same direction, so we appreciate that very much,
8	seriously.
9	Do we have any public comment, general public
10	comment, Ms. Aucoin?
11	MS. AUCOIN: For the record, Aline Aucoin.
12	No public comment.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Okay, thank you.
14	At this point we now need to take up agenda
15	item number 16, and we're going to go back into closed
16	session. It is 2:41 p.m., and we are going to go back
17	into closed session under Texas Government Code Sections
18	551.07, 551.074, 551.076, and 551.089.
19	For those of you in the audience, I anticipate
20	being in this session less than we were the first time.
21	(General laughter.)
22	MR. BACARISSE: And we'll reconvene in open
23	session after that and we may have some business to tend
24	to coming out of that, so hang loose.
25	We are recessed from this public meeting and

we're going into closed session. 1 2 (Whereupon, at 2:41 p.m., the meeting was 3 recessed, to reconvene this same day, Thursday, February 4 10, 2022, following conclusion of the executive session.) 5 MR. BACARISSE: It is 4:15 in the afternoon --6 and I'm going to get on the right page here so I can write 7 that down -- and the Board of the Texas DMV is back in 8 open session. 9 The Board will now take up agenda item 17 for 10 the action items. We did not take any actions in closed session but we do, I think, have some things to attend to 11 12 now. 13 So I'd like to entertain a motion on personnel 14 matters under consideration. Member McRae, do you have a 15 motion? 16 MS. McRAE: I do, Chairman. I move the Board 17 approve the appointment of Salem --18 MR. BACARISSE: Wait, hold on. I'm sorry, go 19 ahead. 20 MS. McRAE: Okay. I move the Board approve the appointment of Salem Chuah as Internal Audit director for 21 22 the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles, contingent on 2.3 satisfying all TxDMV Human Resources qualifications and 24 subject to any negotiations, as authorized by the chairman

of the Finance and Audit Committee.

25

1	MR. BACARISSE: Is there a second on this
2	motion?
3	MR. GRAHAM: I'll second that motion.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Graham seconds. Any
5	discussion, members, of this motion?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I will call for
8	the vote.
9	Member Alvarado?
10	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
12	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
13	MR. BACARISSE: Member Graham?
14	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
15	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
16	MS. McRAE: Aye.
17	MR. BACARISSE: Member Omumu?
18	MS. OMUMU: Aye.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
20	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
21	MR. BACARISSE: Member Scott is now absent, he
22	had a flight to catch.
23	And I, Chairman Bacarisse, vote aye, so that is
24	unanimous. Thank you.
25	Is there another item someone wishes to make a

1	motion?
2	MS. GILLMAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to
3	make a motion.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman.
5	MS. GILLMAN: I move that the Board approve the
6	appointment of Daniel Avitia as acting executive director,
7	effective February 11, 2022.
8	MR. BACARISSE: And is there a second for that
9	motion?
10	MS. McRAE: I would like to second that motion.
11	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae, vice chair.
12	Thank you.
13	Is there any discussion on this motion,
14	members?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. BACARISSE: Hearing none, I would call the
17	roll.
18	Member Alvarado?
19	MR. ALVARADO: Aye.
20	MR. BACARISSE: Member McRae?
21	MS. McRAE: Aye.
22	MR. BACARISSE: Member Gillman?
23	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
24	MR. BACARISSE: Member Prewitt?
25	MR. PREWITT: Aye.

1	MR. BA	CARISSE: Member Graham?
2	MR. GR	AHAM: Aye.
3	MR. BA	CARISSE: Member Omumu?
4	MS. OM	UMU: Aye.
5	MR. BA	CARISSE: And I vote aye as well. That
6	is unanimous. The	e other two members are absent at this
7	time.	
8	The ch	air would entertain a motion to adjourn
9	MR. GR	AHAM: So moved.
10	MR. BA	CARISSE: Is there a second?
11	MS. GI	LLMAN: Second.
12	MR. BA	CARISSE: This meeting is adjourned at
13	4:17 p.m.	
14	(Where	upon, at 4:17 p.m., the meeting was
15	adjourned.)	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

MEETING OF: TxDMV Board

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: February 10, 2022

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 109, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: February 17, 2022

/s/ Nancy H. King (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., #515 Austin, Texas 78752